



# Australian vegetable growing farms: an economic survey, 2007-08

Sarah Crooks

ABARE research report 09.15

September 2009

abare.gov.au

© Commonwealth of Australia 2009

This work is copyright. The *Copyright Act 1968* permits fair dealing for study, research, news reporting, criticism or review. Selected passages, tables or diagrams may be reproduced for such purposes provided acknowledgment of the source is included. Major extracts or the entire document may not be reproduced by any process without the written permission of the Executive Director, ABARE.

ISSN 1447-8358 ISBN 978-1-921448-59-1

Crooks, S 2009, *Australian vegetable growing farms: an economic survey, 2007-08*, ABARE report to Horticulture Australia Ltd, Canberra, September.

Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics									
Postal address	GPO Box 1563	Canberra	ACT	2601	Australia				
Location	7B London Circuit	Canberra	ACT	2601					
Switchboard	+61 2 6272 2000								
Facsimile	+61 2 6272 2001								

ABARE is a professionally independent government economic research agency.

ABARE project 3326

### Acknowledgments

ABARE thanks Horticulture Australia Limited (HAL) for funding the survey of vegetable growers conducted in 2009 and Ian James (a vegetable industry economist) and Ravi Hedge of HAL for providing comments on the draft report.

The author is grateful for the assistance provided by ABARE officers who collected and edited the data: Ken Colbert, Mark Neilsen, Paul Phillips, Surya Dharma, Merrilyn Woodhouse, Jason Bakonji, Teresa Laface, Alex Robertson, Chris Conroy, Joy Moloney, Evan Calford, Frank Mills, Gwen Rees, Henry To, Ross Fenwick, John Kemp, John Lisle, Kathrine Bayles, Keely Harris-Adams, Lara Clark, Michael Trevaskis, Neil Thompson, Orion Sanders, Peter Zacker, Philip Reed, Prue Weir, Ray Fossey, Rebecca Petchey, Richard Clingeleffer, Rob Ashurst, Robert New, Robert Crawford, Roslyn Joseph, Stuart Brummell and Teena Wilcock.

Many thanks to Milly Lubulwa for her guidance and overall management of the project.

Participation in the survey of vegetable growers was voluntary. The cooperation of vegetable growers responding to the survey is greatly acknowledged. Their assistance was vital to the success of the project.

# Foreword

The Australian vegetable production sector is an important source of food, supplying most of the fresh vegetables consumed in Australia and providing inputs for a large proportion of the processed vegetable products consumed in Australia and exported overseas. The gross value of production of the vegetable industry is estimated to have been around \$3.5 billion in 2008-09, contributing around 8 per cent to Australia's gross value of agricultural production.

Information available on the physical and financial characteristics of Australian vegetable farms is limited. To cover this information gap, Horticulture Australia Limited commissioned ABARE to conduct three surveys of vegetable growers to help build a rich database of information for the industry. Horticulture Australia Limited funded this project using the vegetable industry levy which is matched by funds provided by the Australian Government. The survey of vegetable growers was conducted in close cooperation with the industry.

This report presents results from the second of the three ABARE surveys conducted on behalf of Horticulture Australia Limited. The survey was conducted in May 2009 and collected comprehensive data on the physical, financial and socioeconomic characteristics of vegetable farms in 2007-08 and 2008-09.

Additionally, a comparison of results was undertaken using results from the first survey conducted on behalf of Horticulture Australia Limited and a survey conducted by ABARE in 2007 on behalf of the Australian Vegetable Industry Development Group (AVIDG).

The information contained in this report is expected to contribute to policy decisions affecting the future direction and growth of the Australian vegetable growing industry. Survey results will assist with benchmarking to improve the industry's performance and provide information to target industry efforts to improve productivity and profitability.

Phillip Glyde Executive Director ABARE September 2009

# Contents

Exe	cutive summary	1
1	Introduction Background Australian vegetable production Employment in the vegetable industry	3 3 4 4
2	Profile of vegetable growers	6
3	Farm performance to 2008-09 Farm physical performance Farm financial performance Capital and debt Financial performance by area of vegetable crops sown Financial performance by equity and farm cash income position Financial performance of other specialised vegetable growers Financial performance of farms growing vegetables under protection	8 10 17 19 20 22 24
4	Costs of vegetable production	26
5	Other issues Irrigation use Food safety precautions Pests and diseases Vegetable production and selling methods Education and training Research and development priorities Value adding Socioeconomic and business structure of vegetable farms Future in vegetable growing	30 30 34 34 36 37 37 39 40
Арр	pendices	44
A B	Definitions and methodology Appendix tables	45 52

## Tables

1	Gross value of vegetable production, by state, 2006-07	4
2	Employment in the vegetable growing industry, 2005-06	5
3	Area operated and area sown to vegetables, 2005-06 to 2007-08	6
4	Distribution of vegetable farms, by area sown to vegetables, by state, 2007-08	7
5	Proportion of vegetables produced from each state, 2007-08	7
6	Vegetable growers' assessment of seasonal conditions, by state, 2007-08	8
7	Area sown, quantity produced and yield, by vegetable crop, 2006-07 to 2008-09	10
8	Quantity sold, value of production and price received, by vegetable crop, 2006-07 to 2008-09	12
9	Financial performance of vegetable growing farms, 2005-06 to 2007-08	14
10	Financial performance of vegetable farms, by state, 2005-06 to 2007-08	15
11	Vegetable farms with negative farm cash income, 2005-06 to 2007-08	16
12	Farm business profit of vegetable farms, 2005-06 and 2006-07	16
13	Rate of return, excluding capital appreciation, for vegetable farms, 2006-07 to	16
14	Distribution of vegetable growers, by equity ratio and farm cash income 2007-08	20
15	Financial performance and debt characteristics, by area sown to vegetables	20
15	2007-08	21
16	Financial performance and debt characteristics, by equity ratio and farm cash incor position, 2007-08	ne 22
17	Selected estimates for specialist tomato and potato farms, 2007-08	23
18	Financial performance and debt characteristics of specialist tomato and potato farr	٦S,
	2006-07 and 2007-08	23
19	Selected estimates for farms that used protection, 2007-08	24
20	Financial performance and debt characteristics for farms that used protection,	
	2007-08	25
21	Financial performance and debt characteristics of specialist tomato and potato farr	רא, ראל
22	Components of costs of production for vegetable growers, 2007-08	20
23	Cost of potato production per tonne for vegetable growers, 2007-08	28
24	Cost of potato production per tonne, by area of vegetables sown and quantity of	
	potatoes harvested, 2007-08	28
25	Vegetable farms using irrigation water, by state, 2006-07 and 2007-08	30
26	Area irrigated, crop yield and water applied, by vegetable crop, 2007-08	31
27	Source of irrigation water, by state, 2007-08	31
28	Source of additional irrigation water, by state, 2007-08	32
29	Food safety precautions undertaken by vegetable growers, by state, 2007-08	33

30	Safety precautions undertaken by vegetable growers, by area of vegetables sown,	
	2007-08	33
31	Pests and disease monitoring, by state, 2007-08	34
32	Vegetable production methods, 2007-08	35
33	Vegetable selling methods, 2007-08	35
34	Relationship with main buyer, 2007-08	36
35	Education and training undertaken by vegetable growers, by state, 2007-08	36
36	Perceived research and development priorities, by state, 2007-08	38
37	Level of value adding, by state, 2007-08	39
38	Socioeconomic and business characteristics of vegetable farms, 2007-08	39
39	Intentions of vegetable growers in five years, 2007-08	40
40	Age of operator and area operated, by intention in five years, 2007-08	40
41	Method of vegetable production expansion in the next 3 to 5 years,	
	2007-08	41
42	Management practices to improve vegetable farm productivity, 2007-08	42
43	Management practices to improve vegetable farm productivity, by area of vegetable	es
	sown, 2007-08	42
44	Constraints to changing vegetable crop mix, 2007-08	42
45	Major growth opportunities for vegetable farms, 2007-08	43
46	Impediments to developing export markets for vegetable farms, 2007-08	43
47	Impediments to future business viability of vegetable farms, 2007-08	44
48	Population and sample numbers for the Australian vegetable industry survey,	
	2007-08	47

# Figures

а	Growers facing adverse seasonal conditions, by vegetable crop, 2006-07	
	and 2007-08	9
b	Total cash receipts, 2007-08	11
С	Composition of cash costs of vegetable farms, 2007-08	13
d	Total business capital of vegetable farms, 2006-07 and 2007-08	17
е	Total farm debt of vegetable farms, 2007-08	18
f	Debt servicing ratio of vegetable favrms, 2006-07 and 2007-08	18
g	Equity ratio of vegetable farms, 2006-07 and 2007-08	19
h	Farms intending to increase irrigation water use, by state, 2007-08	32
i	Growers expecting to do more value adding in the future, by state, 2007-08	37
j	Intention to expand vegetable produciton area in the next 3 to 5 years, 2007-08	41

## Appendix tables

A1	Selected physical estimates of vegetable farms, by state, 2007-08	52
A2	Vegetable yields, by state, 2007-08	53
A3	Farm cash receipts of vegetable farms, by state, 2007-08	55
A4	Quantity sold, value of production and price received, by vegetable type, by state,	ГC
		50
A5	Farm cash costs of vegetable farms, by state, 2007-08	58
A6	Financial performance of vegetable farms, by state, 2007-08	59
A7	Area irrigated and irrigated vegetable production, by state, 2007-08	60
A8	Volume of irrigation water used and use per hectare, by state, 2007-08	61
A9	Costs of vegetable production, by state, 2007-08	61
A10	Selected physical estimates of vegetable farms, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08	63
A11	Vegetable yields, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08	64
A12	Farm cash receipts of vegetable farms, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08	66
A13	Quantity sold, value of production and price received, by area of vegetables sown,	
	2007-08	67
A14	Farm cash costs of vegetable farms, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08	69
A15	Financial performance of vegetable farms, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08	70
A16	Area irrigated and irrigated vegetable production, by area of vegetables sown, 2007- 71	80
A17	Volume of irrigation water used and use per hectare, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08	72
A18	Costs of vegetable production, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08	73
Мар		
1	Vegetable growers' assessment of seasonal conditions, 2007-08	9

# **Executive summary**

This report presents results from the second of three surveys of Australian vegetable growers conducted by ABARE on behalf of Horticulture Australia Limited (HAL). Comprehensive data on the physical, financial and socioeconomic characteristics of vegetable growing farms in 2007-08 and some preliminary data for 2008-09 were collected as part of this survey. A comparison of results from the first survey funded by HAL conducted in 2008 and a survey conducted in 2007 by ABARE, funded by the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry on behalf of the Australian Vegetable Industry Development Group (AVIDG), was also undertaken.

During 2007-08, there were an estimated 3781 commercial vegetable farms operating in Australia with an estimated value of agricultural output (EVAO) of at least \$40 000. These farms accounted for 73 per cent of all vegetable growing farms. The average area sown to vegetables was 29 hectares a farm in 2007-08. However, half of Australian vegetable growers produced vegetables on areas of less than 9 hectares in 2007-08.

The main results from the report are:

- An estimated 57 per cent of vegetable growers experienced drought or below average seasonal conditions in 2007-08. This proportion was around the same as in the previous financial year. Reflecting continued adverse seasonal conditions for vegetable growing for some parts of Australia, crop yields are estimated to have fallen for tomatoes, onions, carrots and broccoli.
- Total cash receipts for vegetable farms in 2007-08 are estimated to have been \$570 100 a farm on average, of which 83 per cent was from the sale of vegetables. Vegetable receipts are estimated to have fallen by 6 per cent on average between 2006-07 and 2007-08 because of lower vegetable sales even though there was a rise in the average price received for vegetables sold. Despite the fall in vegetable receipts, total cash receipts increased slightly in 2007-08 because of higher receipts from other enterprises.
- Total cash costs were just less than \$404 000 a farm on average in 2007-08, which was an increase of 2 per cent from the previous financial year. The largest share of average cash costs per farm in 2007-08 was accounted for by hired labour.
- Despite the rise in total cash receipts between 2006-07 and 2007-08, average farm cash income is estimated to have fallen by 3 per cent because of higher costs. The average farm cash income for vegetable farms in 2007-08 was \$166 100 a farm.
- The proportion of vegetable farms realising negative farm cash income fell from 17 per cent in 2006-07 to 13 per cent in 2007-08.
- Vegetable farms had an estimated rate of return to capital, excluding capital appreciation, of 4 per cent on average in 2007-08. This was superior, on average, to that of broadacre farms (sheep, beef and grain farms) of 0.8 per cent in 2007-08. Larger farms, with more than 70 hectares of vegetables sown, realised a higher rate of return to capital, excluding capital appreciation, of 9 per cent, on average.

- The equity ratio (business assets as a percentage of total farm capital) of vegetable farms remained high in 2007-08, at 87 per cent, despite higher average debt. Only an estimated 1 per cent of vegetable farms had both an equity ratio of less than 70 per cent and a negative farm cash income.
- Almost all vegetable growers were concerned with pests and diseases. An estimated 91 per cent of growers followed a set pest and disease monitoring program. Additionally, 78 per cent of vegetable growers rated pest and disease management as a high or very high research and development priority in 2007-08.
- At the time of the survey, an estimated 72 per cent of vegetable growers expected to still be engaged in vegetable production in five years time. Additionally, 31 per cent of vegetable growers intended to expand vegetable production in the next three to five years.
- The most common factor highlighted by growers as an impediment to future viability of vegetable farms was increased farm input costs. The majority of vegetable growers also highlighted marketing costs, low vegetable prices and availability of irrigation water as other impediments to future viability. Compared with the previous survey, access to and cost of labour was no longer highlighted by the majority of vegetable growers as an impediment facing future viability.

# 1 Introduction

# Background

In 2006, the Australian Vegetable Industry Development Group (AVIDG) was established to provide an industry-wide perspective on setting directions for the sustainable growth of the industry. An initial task for the AVIDG was to develop an industry-wide strategic plan called Vegvision 2020. In developing this plan, the AVIDG recognised a need for the vegetable industry to better understand the key drivers of physical and financial farm performance for vegetable growers.

To cover this information gap, in 2007 ABARE collected information about production, the financial situation of vegetable growers and issues they faced on behalf of AVIDG and funded by the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry. Horticulture Australia Limited has funded three further surveys to help build a rich database of information for the industry.

This report presents the results from the second of the three vegetable surveys conducted by ABARE on behalf of HAL. This survey of vegetable enterprises was conducted in May 2009 to collect 2007-08 data and preliminary data for 2008-09. The third survey is to be conducted in 2010.

The survey of vegetable growers was developed in consultation with industry stakeholders about the information needs of the industry. The survey is designed to collect comprehensive production and financial performance data. In addition, the survey collects information on:

- water and chemical usage
- selling points
- sources of information
- future intentions
- constraints
- relationship of growers with main buyers.

The primary aim of this report is to build on the data collected in earlier surveys and compare estimates for 2007-08 to those obtained for 2005-06 and 2006-07. Additionally, some preliminary analysis is performed looking at estimates for vegetable farms in 2008-09.

To improve the efficiency of survey estimates, a new weighting method using Australian Bureau of Statistics population benchmarks was developed for the 2009 vegetable survey (covering the 2007-08 financial year). To ensure estimates are comparable between years, estimates for 2005-06 and 2006-07 have been revised using the new weighting method. Further information about the new weighting methodology is contained in appendix A.

## Australian vegetable production

The Australian vegetable production sector is an important supplier of food to the domestic market, supplying most of the fresh vegetables consumed in Australia and also providing vegetable inputs for a large proportion of the processed vegetable products consumed in Australia and exported overseas.

Over the period 1999-2000 to 2006-07, vegetable growing accounted for an average of around 7 per cent of the gross value of Australia's agricultural production. As shown in table 1, it is estimated that the gross value of vegetable production in Australia was around \$3.1 billion in 2006-07. The gross value of vegetable production in 2008-09 was around \$3.5 billion (ABARE 2009).

The wide range of climate and soils in Australia enables many types of vegetables to be grown in various parts of the country. Potatoes and tomatoes are the major vegetable crops grown in Australia in terms of area sown, value of production and volume of production.

	vegetable growing (\$ millions)	% of total vegetable production value
New South Wales	429	14
Victoria	704	23
Queensland	1 002	32
South Australia	478	15
Western Australia	268	9
Tasmania	193	6
Northern Territory	29	1
ACT and other <b>a</b>	0	0
Total	3 103	100

#### Gross value of vegetable production, by state, 2006-07

a Includes Territory of Cocos Islands, Jervis Bay Territory, Territory of Christmas Island and persons with no usual address. The GVP value for the ACT and other areas is less than \$1 million. *Source*: ABS, cat no. 7503.0.

## Employment in the vegetable industry

Vegetable growing in Australia is typically more labour-intensive than other agricultural industries. Data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics indicate that in 2005-06 vegetable production directly employed around 14 660 people in Australia, equivalent to around 0.16 per cent of total Australian employment (table 2). Tasmania had the highest proportion of its workforce employed in the vegetable growing industry in 2005-06.

Given the timing of the census, these statistics may understate actual employment in vegetable growing as many seasonal employees would not be taken into account. Additionally, when employment in vegetable processing and casual employment of people working in other jobs is considered, the regional importance of vegetable growing is increased.

# 2 Employment in the vegetable growing industry, 2005-06

	vegetable growing	% of total employment
New South Wales	2 659	0.09
Victoria	3 174	0.14
Queensland	4 774	0.26
South Australia	1 672	0.24
Western Australia	1 404	0.15
Tasmania	872	0.43
Northern Territory	101	0.12
ACT and other <b>a</b>	3	0.00
Australia	14 659	0.16

a Includes Territory of Cocos Islands, Jervis Bay Territory, Territory of Christmas Island and persons with no usual address. The percentage for the ACT is less than 0.01.

Source: ABS, cat. no. 6291.0.

# 2 Profile of vegetable growers

The average area operated by vegetable growers in 2007-08 is estimated to have been 189 hectares a farm, down slightly from the previous year (table 3). The average area sown to vegetables remained at an estimated 29 hectares a farm in 2007-08.

On average, Victorian vegetable farms had the largest area sown to vegetables in 2007-08 at 42 hectares a farm. Vegetable farms in New South Wales had the smallest average area sown to vegetables per farm at 16 hectares in 2007-08.

		area operated	(ha)	area sown to vegetables (ha)				
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08		
New South Wales	245	309	168	19	20	16		
Victoria	157	217	205	39	40	42		
Queensland	229	127	190	33	34	35		
South Australia	480	191	249	34	26	25		
Western Australia	165	63	124	21	19	18		
Tasmania	165	201	203	24	30	29		
Northern Territory	188	44	49	20	21	20		
Australia	230	191	189	29	29	29		

# Area operated and area sown to vegetables, 2005-06 to 2007-08

The distribution of vegetable farm size varied considerably between states. It is estimated that the smallest 50 per cent of farms produced vegetables on areas of up to 9 hectares and the smallest 75 per cent on areas of up to 27 hectares in 2007-08 (table 4).

Victorian vegetable farmers produced the highest proportion of vegetables in 2007-08, contributing 25 per cent of Australia's total vegetable production (table 5). A further 21 per cent was produced from vegetable farms in Queensland. Around 26 per cent of Australia's potato production was by vegetable farms in South Australia and Victoria. Vegetable farms in Victoria and Queensland accounted for an estimated 81 per cent of national tomato production in 2007-08. Vegetable farms located in the Northern Territory played only a small role in vegetable production in 2007-08.

# 4

#### Distribution of vegetable farms, by area sown to vegetables, by state,

2007-08 value below which specified percentage of farms lie

		25 per cent	50 per cent	75 per cent	average
New South Wales	ha	. 2	. 4	. 22	16
Victoria	ha	5	10	34	42
Queensland	ha	2	22	29	35
South Australia	ha	2	5	15	25
Western Australia	ha	2	8	20	18
Tasmania	ha	7	13	28	29
Northern Territory	ha	8	18	30	20
Australia	ha	3	9	27	29

## 5

#### Proportion of vegetables produced from each state, 2007-08

J percentage								
	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
Potatoes	6	26	11	26	10	22	0	100
Pumpkins	33	1	38	10	11	3	5	100
Green peas	8	24	12	0	0	56	0	100
Beans	2	23	49	0	0	25	0	100
Tomatoes	15	38	43	0	4	0	0	100
Onions	4	6	26	23	14	27	0	100
Carrots	2	36	3	19	11	29	0	100
Cauliflowers	17	16	20	3	22	22	0	100
Lettuce	27	7	42	9	15	0	0	100
Broccoli	3	56	15	1	18	7	0	100
Cabbage	27	46	15	6	6	1	0	100
Other vegetables	20	16	48	6	6	2	3	100
All vegetables	11	25	21	17	10	16	0	100

Note: Figures may not add up to 100 per cent because of rounding.

# **3** Farm performance to 2008-09

## Farm physical performance

In 2007-08, an estimated 57 per cent of vegetable growers experienced drought or below average seasonal conditions, which is a similar proportion to that observed in 2006-07 (table 6 and map 1). A high proportion of South Australian growers indicated that they encountered adverse seasonal conditions in 2007-08, with more than 90 per cent experiencing drought or below average seasonal conditions. Additionally, 87 per cent of Victorian growers indicated they had encountered adverse seasonal conditions in 2007-08. All of the vegetable growers surveyed in the Northern Territory reported that they experienced average seasonal conditions in 2007-08.

6

Vegetable growers' assessment of seasonal conditions, by state, 2007-08

		drought	below average	average	above average
New South Wales	%	16	23	52	9
Victoria	%	25	62	11	2
Queensland	%	13	24	52	10
South Australia	%	32	60	8	0
Western Australia	%	2	22	50	26
Tasmania	%	7	69	24	0
Northern Territory	%	0	0	100	0
Australia	%	17	40	36	8

Note: Figures may not add up to 100 per cent because of rounding.

A high proportion of vegetable farms that grew pumpkins encountered adverse seasonal conditions in 2007-08, with an estimated 70 per cent experiencing drought or below average seasonal conditions compared with only 37 per cent of vegetable farms that grew lettuces (figure a). However, seasonal conditions for farms that grew pumpkins were better in 2007-08 than in 2006-07.

Average yields for tomatoes, onions, carrots and broccoli are estimated to have been lower in 2007-08 than in 2006-07 (table 7). However, average yields are estimated to have risen for potatoes, pumpkins, cauliflowers, lettuce and cabbage. Overall, there was a fall in the average vegetable yield per farm, with an 11 per cent reduction in the average quantity of vegetables produced.

During 2008-09, the average total quantity of vegetables produced and the average crop yield per farm for a number of vegetables is expected to have increased. For the vegetables which had a fall in yield in 2008-09, the fall is estimated to be small with the exception of other vegetables. Results for 2008-09 remain preliminary.

#### map **1** Vegetable growers' assessment of seasonal conditions, 2007-08







Area sown, quantity produced and yield, by vegetable crop, 2006-07 to

#### 2008-09 average per farm quantity produced (t) area sown (ha) crop yield (t/ha) -07 -08 -09 s -07 -08 -09 s -07 -08 -09 s Potatoes Pumpkins Green peas Beans Tomatoes Onions Carrots Cauliflowers Lettuce Broccoli Cabbage Other vegetables All vegetables

s ABARE provisional (preliminary) estimates.

### Farm financial performance

#### box 1 Major financial performance indicators

Total cash receipts: total revenues received by the business during the financial year.

Total cash costs: payments made by the business for materials and services and for permanent and casual hired labour (excluding owner manager, partner and family labour).

Farm cash income: total cash receipts – total cash costs

Farm business profit: farm cash income + changes in trading stocks - depreciation - imputed labour costs

**Profit at full equity:** return produced by all the resources used in the business.

farm business profit + rent + interest + finance lease payments – depreciation on leased items

Rate of return: return to all capital used

profit at full equity x 100 total opening capital

#### Farm cash receipts

Total cash receipts for Australian vegetable farms were \$570 100 a farm on average in 2007-08, of which 83 per cent was from the sale of vegetables (figure b). The remainder was largely from the sale of crops other than vegetables. While receipts from the sale of vegetables are estimated to have fallen by 6 per cent on average, there was an increase in receipts from the sale of beef cattle, sheep, wool and grains, resulting in total cash receipts rising marginally in 2007-08.

#### 

Vegetable farms in Queensland had the highest average total cash receipts at \$753 400 a farm, while vegetable farms in New South Wales had the lowest average cash receipts.

Vegetable farms in Tasmania had the lowest average proportion of their cash receipts coming from vegetable sales in 2007-08 at 61 per cent of total cash receipts, while farms in the Northern Territory had 94 per cent of cash receipts from vegetable sales.



Between 2006-07 and 2007-08, there was a decline in the average quantity of vegetables sold (table 8). The decline in vegetable sales was driven by a reduction in the average quantities of potatoes, pumpkins, green peas, beans, tomatoes and onions sold. A rise in the price received for a number of vegetables failed to offset the fall in production, with average receipts from the sale of vegetables falling between 2006-07 and 2007-08. Receipts for vegetables are estimated to have fallen further in 2008-09 because of lower prices received for vegetables.

#### Farm cash costs

Total cash costs averaged \$404 000 a farm in 2007-08, which was an increase of 2 per cent from the previous year (table 9 and figure c). On average, the largest share of cash expenditure per farm was on hired labour (19 per cent), fertiliser (11 per cent), contracts paid (10 per cent), seed (7 per cent), interest (7 per cent) and fuel, oil and grease (7 per cent).

A detailed breakdown of cash costs in 2007-08 for vegetable growers by state is in Appendix table 5.4.5.

Quantity sold, value of production and price received, by vegetable crop, 2006-07 to 2008-09

<b>_</b>	
sold	farm
Qualitity	average per

 $\infty$ 

		quant	tity sold (t)			price rece	ived a (\$/t)		value	e of product	ion a b (\$)	
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09 s	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09 s	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09 s
Potatoes	376	364	328	318	351	362	350	343	131 966	131 947	114 752	108 958
Pumpkins	13	18	17	21	354	388	422	471	4 611	6 826	7 195	9 941
Green peas	4	4	ŝ	9	850	2852	1 937	1 014	3 286	11 603	6 466	6 018
Beans	7	8	19	14	760	924	865	886	5 156	7 526	16 490	12 620
Tomatoes	135	147	56	72	311	571	1 108	827	41 987	83 922	62 094	59 565
Onions	75	70	48	55	395	362	555	436	29 579	25 234	26 410	23 870
Carrots	45	62	84	95	400	282	430	439	17 894	17 398	36 306	41 530
Cauilflowers	15	14	17	17	545	734	779	649	8 072	10 161	13 147	11 295
Lettuce		39	42	40		1 135	941	790		43 903	39 133	31 347
Broccoli		10	19	17		1 437	1161	1 265		13 750	21 634	21 461
Cabbage		25	44	44		519	274	172		13 128	12 082	7572
Other vegetable	ss 183	133	102	981	753	1 006	1 188	118	138 174	133 465	120 751	115 554
All vegetables	853	971	779	1 799	447	514	612	250	380 725	498 863	476 460	449 749
a In 2007-08 dollars. <i>Note</i> : Individual data	<b>b</b> Includes re 1 on lettuce, c	evenue received	d from the sale roccoli are una	e of vegetables <u>c</u> vailable for 2005	grown in the fir 5-06.	uld year plu	s receipts carr	ied over to the f	ollowing financ	cial year.		

Australian vegetable growing farms: an economic survey, 2007-08 abare.gov.au 09.15



#### Farm cash income

Farm cash income (total cash receipts minus total cash costs) was on average \$166 100 a vegetable farm in 2007-08, down by only 3 per cent from the previous financial year (table 9). Farm cash income fell because of higher average total cash costs and despite a small rise in average total cash receipts. However, the proportion of vegetable farms realising a negative farm cash income fell from 17 per cent in 2006-07 to 13 per cent in 2007-08.

Vegetable farms in Western Australia had the highest average farm cash income at \$216 600 a farm, while vegetable farms in Tasmania had an average farm cash income of around \$109 500 a farm (table 10). However, because of cash receipts rising more than cash costs, average farm cash income for vegetable farms in Tasmania was higher in 2007-08 than in 2006-07. Total cash receipts were higher on average because of a 39 per cent increase in vegetable receipts combined with higher beef cattle and sheep receipts. Additionally, the estimated proportion of Tasmanian vegetable farms experiencing negative farm cash income fell from 44 per cent in 2006-07 to 19 per cent in 2007-08 (table 11).

#### Farm business profit

In line with farm cash income, the average farm business profit of vegetable farms was lower in 2007-08 than in 2006-07 for all states except Victoria, Western Australia and Tasmania (table 12). Farm business profit is calculated as farm cash income plus change in the value of trading stocks minus depreciation and the value of family and partner labour inputs to the farm. During 2007-08, average farm business profit is estimated to have been \$74 900 per vegetable farm.

#### Return on capital

The average rate of return to capital, excluding capital appreciation, is estimated to have been 4 per cent in 2007-08 slightly down from an average of 4.2 per cent achieved in 2006-07 (table 13).

# 9

#### Financial performance of vegetable growing farms, 2005-06 to 2007-08

		2005		2006		2007 /	
Cash receipts		2005-0	06	2006	-07	2007-0	78
Vegetable cash receipts	¢	369 825	(8)	503 140	(10)	471 419	(6)
Other cash receipts	ç	61 307	(14)	66 409	(10)	98.670	(14)
Total cash receipts	ې خ	431 133	(8)	569 549	(10)	570.089	(7)
% of cash receipts from vegetables	%	86	(2)	88	(1)	83	(2)
Cash costs							( )
Hired Jabour	¢	53 472	(12)	75 795	(14)	76 251	(11)
Fartiliser	ر خ	26 957	(12)	35 170	(14)	12 800	(11)
Contracts paid	ې خ	10 661	(9)	20 5 / 1	(0)	42 099	(1)
Contracts paid	ې ح	19 001	(22)	20 241	(21)	40 000	(15)
Seed	ڊ خ	24 933	(11)	29728	(  )	28 012	(8)
Fuel, oil and grease	ې د	23 007	(10)	27 509	(/)	20 / 84	(8)
Crop and pasture chemicals	Ş	20 190	(10)	20 21 1	(12)	21 203	(9)
Repairs and maintenance – venicies	Ş	16 4/0	(9)	19 884	(9)	21 903	(9)
Interest paid	\$	138/2	(14)	18 992	(11)	27 736	(10)
Repairs and maintenance – buildings	Ş	8 043	(14)	14 298	(12)	13 267	(10)
Electricity	Ş	7 172	(8)	9 055	(8)	9 5 7 3	(9)
Administration	Ş	7 829	(17)	9 008	(6)	10 187	(11)
Land rent	\$	6 160	(21)	8 733	(18)	8 330	(12)
Packing materials	\$	15 484	(17)	28 948	(21)	16 708	(15)
Packing charges	\$	9 637	(39)	11 176	(38)	9 754	(30)
Rates	\$	4 712	(9)	6 892	(10)	7 390	(10)
Freight	\$	15 803	(15)	12 388	(29)	4 501	(33)
Total cash costs	\$	303 084	(8)	397 555	(9)	403 992	(7)
Farm financial performance							
Farm cash income	\$	128 049	(10)	171 994	(10)	166 097	(8)
Farms with negative farm cash income	%	18	(19)	17	(25)	13	(31)
Farm business profit	\$	46 043	(26)	82 292	(19)	74 889	(17)
Farms with negative farm business profit	%	54	(9)	59	(5)	56	(8)
Rate of return d							
<ul> <li>excluding capital appreciation</li> </ul>	%	2.5	(19)	4.2	(15)	4.0	(13)
- including capital appreciation	%	9.8	(25)	7.7	(58)	4.1	(34)
Farm capital at 30 June <b>a</b>	\$	2 750 649	(9)	2 606 899	(6)	2 872 202	(7)
Farm debt at 30 June <b>b</b>	Ś	164 985	(15)	262 522	(10)	378 346	(11)
Equity ratio <b>b</b> c	%	94	(1)	90	(1)	87	(2)
	, 5		(.)	20	(.)	0,	(-/

a Excludes leased plant and equipment. b Average per debt responding farm. c Equity expressed as a percentage of farm capital. d Rate of return to farm capital at 1 July.

Note: Figures in parentheses are standard errors (RSEs) expressed as a percentage of the estimate provided. A guide on how to use RSEs is in appendix A.

Vegetable farms in the Northern Territory and Western Australia realised the highest rate of return on average in 2007-08, while vegetable farms in New South Wales and Tasmania had the lowest average rates of return to capital. On average, the performance of vegetable growers in 2007-08 was better than that of broadacre farms which achieved an average rate of return, excluding capital appreciation, of 0.8 per cent.

07-08	
ıs, by state, 2005-06 to 20	
ance of vegetable farm	
Financial perform	average per farm

10 Finance average	<b>cial perform</b>	nance of veç	jetable farm	ıs, by state,	2005-06 to 2	2007-08			
	to	tal cash receip	ts a	tot	tal cash costs a		fa	rm cash incom	еа
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
New South Wales	259 101	289 304	304 008	162 870	179 402	184 801	96 231	109 902	119 207
Victoria	549 765	630 047	654 517	379 177	466 193	472 952	170589	163 854	181 565
Queensland	525 264	903 941	753 398	391 491	627 137	552 352	133 773	276 804	201 046
South Australia	523 769	491 884	505 955	391 238	319 621	353 321	132 531	172 263	152 634
Western Australia	467 368	540 511	640 938	234 530	342 138	424 301	232 838	198373	216 637
Tasmania	235 955	331 606	518 494	218 034	312 053	409 041	17 921	19 554	109 453
Northern Territory	470 227	345 398	428 488	398 037	170 170	248 652	72 191	175 228	179 836
Australia	431 133	569 549	570 089	303 084	397 555	403 992	128 049	171 994	166 097
a In 2007-08 dollars.									-

average per far	m		
	9	6 with negative farm cash incor	ne
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
New South Wales	8	16	2
Victoria	15	14	23
Queensland	16	14	10
South Australia	28	15	21
Western Australia	5	4	11
Tasmania	43	44	19
Northern Territory	60	11	0
Australia	18	17	13

#### **11** Vegetable farms with negative farm cash income, 2005-06 to 2007-08

# 12

Farm business profit of vegetable farms, 2005-06 and 2006-07 average per farm

	farm business profit a (\$)	
2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
25 692	33 697	29 151
78 308	51 516	79 607
45 125	183 126	109 678
52 572	94 698	67 309
153 194	102 585	122 685
-57 381	-54 993	31 961
-16 214	112 584	91 808
46 043	82 292	74 889
	2005-06 25 692 78 308 45 125 52 572 153 194 -57 381 -16 214 46 043	farm business profit a (\$)2005-062006-0725 69233 69778 30851 51645 125183 12652 57294 698153 194102 585-57 381-54 993-16 214112 58446 04382 292

a In 2007-08 dollars.

#### **13** Rate of return, excluding capital appreciation, for vegetable farms, 2006-07 to 2007-08 average per farm

	rate of ret	urn, excluding capital appreciat	ion (%)
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
New South Wales	1.4	1.9	2.4
Victoria	3.4	2.4	3.6
Queensland	2.8	9.4	4.9
South Australia	3.9	5.5	4.2
Western Australia	4.7	4.4	5.0
Tasmania	-1.5	-0.9	3.3
Northern Territory	-0.1	9.6	5.8
Australia	2.5	4.2	4.0

# Capital and debt

The total capital value of vegetable farms is estimated to have been almost \$2.9 million per farm on average in 2007-08, with vegetable farms in Victoria, Western Australia and Queensland having the highest average capital values per farm (figure d). The value of capital employed by the vegetable business is the market value of all the assets used including leased items but excluding machinery and equipment either hired or used by contractors. Market valuations were provided by the owner manager of surveyed farms. Capital also includes the market value of land and fixed improvements used by the vegetable business.

During 2007-08, vegetable growers on average invested an estimated \$49 800 a farm in additional capital. New investment, providing it is well directed, is an important means of boosting farm productivity and future incomes.



Total business capital of vegetable farms, 2006-07 and 2007-08

Vegetable growers on average had debt of \$378 300 a farm at 30 June 2008, which was up by almost 10 per cent from average debt at 1 July 2007 (figure e). The largest proportional increase was for vegetable farms in Queensland where debt grew by 19 per cent in the year.

On average, half of all debt was made up of land purchase debt at 30 June 2008 and a further 28 per cent was working capital debt. The composition of farm debt was similar to that of 2006-07

The debt servicing ratio is the ratio of interest payments to total cash receipts and is a measure of the ability of farmers to service debt from their revenue stream. The average debt servicing



• Total farm debt of vegetable farms, 2007-08

ratio of vegetable farms in 2007-08 was just less than 5 per cent. This was 3 per cent higher than the previous year because of the higher average farm debt (figure f). While the average debt servicing ratio has risen in the past year, it still remains at a reasonable level, indicating that the average vegetable farm is likely to be able to meet its debt servicing requirements. Tasmanian vegetable farms experienced a fall in the average debt servicing ratio because of a rise in cash receipts of more than 50 per cent.



#### Debt servicing ratio of vegetable farms, 2006-07 and 2007-08

The equity position of Australian vegetable farms can be gauged using the equity ratio, which is an indicator of leverage undertaken by the farm. The equity ratio is measured as total business assets as a percentage of total farm capital. The equity ratio of vegetable farms is estimated to have fallen in 2007-08 reflecting higher debt on average. Despite this fall, vegetable growers are still generally in a strong equity position with an average equity ratio of 87 per cent (figure g). Vegetable farms in Victoria had the lowest equity ratio in 2007-08 at 84 per cent on average.



Vegetable growers who have low equity (those with an equity ratio of less than 70 per cent) and negative farm cash incomes are the most likely to have difficulty funding future investments (table 14). During 2007-08, only 1 per cent of vegetable farms had both a negative farm cash income and an equity ratio of less than 70 per cent. An estimated 75 per cent of vegetable farms were operating with high equity and positive farm cash income. Results for 2007-08 are similar to those for 2006-07.

## Financial performance by area of vegetable crops sown

There was evidence of economies of size in the Australian vegetable growing industry in 2007-08, with financial performance rising on average as the area sown to vegetables increased (table 15). The average rate of return to capital for vegetable farms sowing less than 5 hectares of vegetables was -1.1 per cent compared with 9.2 per cent on average for those sowing more than 70 hectares of vegetables.

	farms w	/ith low equity a	farms with l	with high equity b		
negative cas	sh income	positive cash income	negative cash income	positive cash income		
5	%	. %	%	. %		
2007-08						
New South Wales	1	19	1	79		
Victoria	1	12	21	65		
Queensland	1	7	9	83		
South Australia	1	10	20	69		
Western Australia	1	14	10	75		
Tasmania	4	10	15	71		
Northern Territory	0	0	0	100		
Australia	1	12	12	75		
2006-07						
New South Wales	0	5	15	80		
Victoria	0	12	15	73		
Queensland	0	6	14	80		
South Australia	4	7	10	79		
Western Australia	2	13	2	83		
Tasmania	13	1	32	55		
Northern Territory	9	8	2	75		
Australia	2	7	15	77		

# 14 Distribution of vegetable growers, by equity ratio and farm cash income, 2006-07 and 2007-08

**a** Farms with an equity ratio of less than 70 per cent are defined as having low farm equity. **b** Farms with an equity ratio of more than 70 per cent are defined as having high farm equity.

Note: Percentages are per debt responding farm.

Farm business debt grew by 19 per cent in 2007-08 for vegetable farms sowing more than 70 hectares of vegetables. However, with high cash receipts, they were able to maintain a reasonable debt servicing ratio reflecting their ability to meet interest payments.

An estimated 9 per cent of vegetable farms had an area sown to vegetables of more than 70 hectares in 2007-08, which is a similar proportion to that in 2006-07. However, 39 per cent of vegetable farms sowed less than 5 hectares of vegetables in 2007-08, which was up from 34 per cent in 2006-07. A lower proportion of vegetable farms sowed 5 to 70 hectares of vegetables in 2007-08 than in 2006-07.

# Financial performance by equity and farm cash income position

Vegetable growers operating with low equity and a negative farm cash income may struggle to improve their viability in the future.

During 2006-07, vegetable farms with low equity (those with an equity ratio of less than 70 per cent) and negative farm cash income had an average farm cash income of -\$155 000 a

# Financial performance and debt characteristics, by area sown to vegetables, 2006-07 and 2007-08

- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• average	periann	
			area sown	to vegetables	
		less than	5 to 20	20 to 70	more than
		5 hectares	hectares	hectares	70 hectares
2007-08					
Proportion of growers:	%	39	26	27	9
Total cash receipts	\$	122 630	245 778	656 475	3 295 915
Total cash costs	\$	85 367	166 304	438 638	2 449 030
Farm cash income	\$	37 263	79 474	217 836	846 886
Farm business profit	\$	- 19 372	5 282	102 690	623 088
Proportion of receipts from					
vegetables	%	84	70	79	88
Rate of return excluding					
capital appreciation	%	-1.1	1.1	3.2	9.2
Equity ratio a	%	89	91	92	76
Farm business debt <b>a</b>	\$	105 960	162 878	369 708	2 321 391
Debt servicing ratio a	%	7	5	4	5
Change in debt during the year <b>a</b>	%	0	-4	4	19
2006-07					
Proportion of growers:	%	34	26	32	8
Total cash receipts	\$	158 117	209 186	564 313	3 362 915
Total cash costs	\$	90 334	143 371	379 016	2 478 489
Farm cash income	\$	67 783	65 815	185 297	884 426
Farm business profit	\$	12 494	- 7 712	77 475	688 609
Proportion of receipts from					
vegetables	%	90	86	80	94
Rate of return excluding					
capital appreciation	%	1.7	0.2	3.0	11.1
Equity ratio a	%	93	91	93	80
Farm business debt <b>a</b>	\$	88 471	112 133	269 404	1 574 428
Debt servicing ratio a	%	4	4	4	2
Change in debt during the year <b>a</b>	%	18	3	-2	14

**a** Average per debt responding farm.

farm and an average equity ratio of 52 per cent (table 16). Additionally, this group of vegetable growers had a debt servicing ratio of 19 per cent compared with 3 per cent for vegetable growers who had high equity and positive farm cash income. This highlights the additional burden faced by vegetable growers with low equity and negative farm cash income.

Vegetable growers who had low equity and positive farm cash income had much higher receipts, costs and farm cash income than the average vegetable farm. If a high farm cash income can be maintained, these farms should be able to fund future investment and pay off their debt.

# 16 Financial performance and debt characteristics, by equity ratio and farm cash income position, 2007-08 average per farm

		lo	w equity a	hig	h equity b
		negative cash income	positive cash income	negative cash income	positive cash income
Proportion of vegetable farms	%	1	12	12	75
Total area operated	ha	231	267	131	184
Area cropped to vegetables	ha	55	70	9	24
Age of operator/owner	years	45	48	48	56
Total cash receipts	\$	747 829	1 159 779	156 142	524 795
Total cash costs	\$	902 791	896 433	183 748	337 484
Farm cash income	\$	- 154 961	263 346	- 27 605	187 311
Farm business profit	\$	- 272 327	133 928	- 88 104	98 358
Rate of return exl. capital appreciation	n %	-2.9	8.1	-3.0	4.4
Equity ratio c	%	52	50	89	94
Farm business debt <b>c</b>	\$	1 729 747	1 560 143	291 996	168 312
Debt servicing ratio <b>c</b>	%	19	9	9	3
Change in debt during the year ${f c}$	%	42	14	12	-1

**a** Farms with an equity ratio of less than 70 per cent are defined as having low farm equity. **b** Farms with an equity ratio of more than 70 per cent are defined as having high farm equity. **c** Average per debt responding farm.

# Financial performance of other specialised vegetable growers

Table 17 shows selected estimates for specialist potato and tomato growers. Specialist producers have been defined as those vegetable farms growing either potatoes or tomatoes, but not both.

Specialist potato growers operated from a larger area of land and grew a larger area of vegetables on average than tomato specialist growers. Specialist potato growers were also more diverse in the other vegetable crops that they sowed.

On average, specialist potato growers had a farm cash income of around \$16 800 more per farm than specialist tomato growers in 2007-08 (table 18). However, the average rate of return to capital (excluding capital appreciation) of 3.2 per cent for specialist potato growers was lower than for both specialist tomato growers and other vegetable farms.

Specialist tomato growers had a 17 per cent average increase in their debt in 2007-08 compared with only 5 per cent for specialist potato growers and 11 per cent for other vegetable growers. However, their farm business debt overall was lower than for specialist potato growers and their high average farm cash receipts allowed them to maintain a low debt servicing ratio of around 3 per cent.

#### Selected estimates for specialist tomato and potato farms, 2007-08

average per farm

17

		specialist potato growers a	specialist tomato growers a	remaining vegetable farms
Proportion of vegetable farms	%	34	13	53
Total area operated	ha	253	194	148
Area cropped to vegetables				
Potatoes	ha	24	0	0
Pumpkins	ha	1	0	1
Green peas	ha	1	0	1
Beans	ha	1	0	5
Tomatoes	ha	0	10	0
Onions	ha	1	0	1
Carrots	ha	1	0	3
Cauliflowers	ha	1	0	1
Lettuce	ha	0	0	2
Broccoli	ha	1	0	3
Cabbage	ha	0	0	1
Other vegetables	ha	1	2	12
All vegetables	ha	32	12	31

a Specialist producers have been defined as those vegetable farms growing either potatoes or tomatoes, but not both.

# 18 Financial performance and debt characteristics of specialist tomato and potato farms, 2006-07 and 2007-08 average per farm

		specialist potato growers a	specialist tomato growers a	remaining vegetable farms
2007-08				
Total cash receipts	\$	563 337	597 377	567 539
Total cash costs	\$	399 591	450 423	395 191
Farm cash income	\$	163 746	146 954	172 348
Farm business profit	\$	68 758	55 824	83 500
Rate of return excluding				
capital appreciation	%	3.2	4.6	4.4
Equity ratio <b>b</b>	%	90	84	85
Farm business debt <b>b</b>	\$	341 293	294 599	422 644
Debt servicing ratio <b>b</b>	%	5	3	5
Change in debt during the year <b>b</b>	%	5	17	11
2006-07				
Total cash receipts	\$	557 114	738 026	529 136
Total cash costs	\$	397 912	551 985	351 121
Farm cash income	\$	159 201	186 040	178 014
Farm business profit	\$	61 809	105 480	91 723
Rate of return excluding				
capital appreciation	%	3.0	7.0	4.8
Equity ratio <b>b</b>	%	90	86	89.317
Farm business debt <b>b</b>	\$	308 416	272 696	221 664
Debt servicing ratio <b>b</b>	%	4	2	2.9
Change in debt during the year <b>b</b>	%	5	23	5

a Specialist producers have been defined as those vegetable farms growing either potatoes or tomatoes, but not both. b Average per debt responding farm.

## Financial performance of farms growing vegetables under protection

Vegetable growers were asked whether they produced vegetables under protection such as glass, poly, plastic or shadecloth. An estimated 16 per cent of vegetable growers produced vegetables under such protection in 2007-08.

Reflecting the nature of producing vegetables under protection, these farms operated a much smaller land size on average and had a smaller area cropped to vegetables (table 19).

#### Selected estimates for farms that used protection, 2007-08 19 average per farm grow did not grow under protection under protection 9 Total area operated ha Area sown to vegetables ha 1

years

Age of operator/owner

212

32

54

An estimated 68 per cent of vegetable farms that grew cucumbers used protection for vegetable growing in 2007-08. Additionally, an estimated 51 per cent of vegetable farms that grew tomatoes used protection for vegetable growing in 2007-08.

54

Average farm cash income for growers who used protection is estimated to have been lower than those who did not use protection in 2007-08 (table 20). Additionally, growers using protection had an estimated rate of return (excluding capital appreciation) of 1.1 per cent, on average, compared with 4.1 per cent for growers who didn't use protection for vegetable growing.

Vegetable growers using protection were less diverse in their business, with an average of 96 per cent of their receipts coming from the sale of vegetables in 2006-07 compared with 82 per cent on average for growers not using protection.

On average, vegetable growers using protection had a higher rise in debt than growers not using protection in 2007-08. However, their high cash receipts allowed them to maintain a reasonable debt servicing ratio at 5 per cent of total cash receipts, on average. Additionally, they maintained a high average equity ratio of 87 per cent indicating that most are likely to access additional debt if required.

#### Financial performance and debt characteristics for farms that used

protection, 2007-08 average per farm

		grow under protection a	did not grow under protection
Total cash receipts	\$	184 273	618 089
Total cash costs	\$	126 151	438 558
Farm cash income	\$	58 121	179 531
Farm business profit	\$	-3 104	84 593
Proportion of receipts from vegetables	%	96	82
Rate of return excluding capital appreciation	%	1.1	4.1
Equity ratio <b>b</b>	%	84	87
Farm business debt <b>b</b>	\$	178 317	404 076
Debt servicing ratio <b>b</b>	%	7	5
Change in debt during the year <b>b</b>	%	2	10

a Growers that earned at least 50 per cent of receipts from vegetables grown under protection. b Average per debt responding farm.

# 4 Costs of vegetable production

To provide an indication of the cost of producing vegetables, growers participating in the survey were asked to apportion each of their major cost components to the production of various vegetable outputs as well as non-vegetable outputs.

During 2007-08, it is estimated that the average cost of producing a tonne of potatoes was \$240 (table 21). This estimate takes into account the value of family and partner labour inputs into the business. On average, the value of family and partner labour as a proportion of total costs of production was around 6 per cent.

21 <sup>C</sup>	ost of production per tonne for ver rerage per farm	getable producers, 2007-08
	cash cost per tonne (excludes imputed labour cost) (\$/t)	cash cost per tonne including imputed labour costs a (\$/t)
Potatoes	225	240
Pumpkins	620	661
Beans	531	549
Tomatoes	716	764
Onions	347	361
Carrots	208	213
Cauliflowers	664	727
Lettuce	601	629
Broccoli	1 074	1 113
Cabbage	214	242

**a** Imputed labour is the value of family and partner labour inputs into the business.

For the production of most vegetables, the greatest cost components was hired labour (table 22).

There was variation in the costs associated with producing potatoes across states (table 23). The cost of production of potatoes was highest in New South Wales, estimated at \$409 per tonne. The cost of production of potatoes was lowest in South Australia at \$183 per tonne. A detailed breakdown of the costs associated with other vegetable production can be found in Appendix tables A9 and A18. Costs of production estimates are only provided where there are sufficient sample farms to enable accurate estimation.

Table 24 provides a preliminary look at the relationship between enterprise size, in terms of area of vegetables sown and quantity of potatoes produced, and average cost of potato production.

Administration Fuel, oil and grease 2 Hirodel Admin 3	es p	oumpkins	beans	tomatoes	onions	carrots	cauliflowers	lettuce	broccoli	cabbage
Fuel, oil and grease 2	2	18	11	21	6	4	18	18	28	9
Hirad Ishour	25	41	38	34	27	21	44	28	57	13
	31	106	126	156	62	46	109	168	227	42
Contracts paid	16	35	56	169	34	8	117	73	120	19
Electricity	9	13	15	11	10	11	15	14	21	9
Packing materials	0	2	0	ſ	1	0	7	9	4	0
Fertiliser 3	39	92	63	56	37	22	67	39	108	25
Repairs and										
maintenance 2	22	67	56	60	36	16	42	39	64	20
Freight	2	2	-	20	5	9	£	m	15	2
Seed 2	25	37	26	35	18	14	62	56	130	24
Spray and chemicals 1	14	38	30	41	27	12	39	25	44	16
Imputed labour cost	15	41	19	48	13	5	64	28	39	27
Other costs 4	40	170	108	110	82	48	142	132	256	41
Total 24	40	661	549	764	361	213	727	629	1 113	242

2007-08	
growers,	
for vegetable	)
production	-
costs of	
Components of	-

#### Cost of potato production per tonne for vegetable growers, 2007-08

	cash cost per tonne (excludes imputed labour cost) (\$/t)	cash cost per tonne including imputed labour costs a (\$/t)
New South Wales	372	409
Victoria	182	205
Queensland	353	374
South Australia	179	183
Western Australia	285	302
Tasmania	217	225
Australia	225	240

a Imputed labour is the value of family and partner labour inputs into the business.

#### Cost of potato production per tonne, by area of vegetables sown and quantity of potatoes harvested, 2007-08 average per farm

(ex	cash cost per tonne cludes imputed labour cost) (\$/t)	cash cost per tonne including imputed labour costs a (\$/t)
Area of vegetables s	own	
Less than 5 hectares	377	489
5 – 20 hectares	330	366
20 – 70 hectares	257	274
More than 70 hectare	es 191	198
Quantity of potatoes	s harvested	
Less than 100 tonnes	5 771	937
100 – 250 tonnes	380	493
250 – 1000 tonnes	284	300
More than 1000 tonr	es 206	215

a Imputed labour is the value of family and partner labour inputs into the business.

The estimated cost of producing a tonne of potatoes fell as the area sown to vegetables increased. For vegetable growers who had less than 5 hectares of vegetables sown, it cost an average of \$490 to produce a tonne of potatoes compared with around \$200 for growers who sowed more than 70 hectares of vegetables. Similarly, there was a reduction in the average cost of producing a tonne of potatoes as the quantity of potatoes harvested increased.

To properly assess the existence of economies of size, more robust statistical techniques would have to be undertaken. However, preliminary analysis of the survey data suggests that costs of production fall as the size of the farm's vegetable enterprise increases.

Costs of production data were also collected in a survey of vegetable growers conducted in 2006 covering the 2004-05 financial year, allowing an analysis of how costs of production have changed over time. The survey conducted in 2006 only collected costs of production data on a selection of vegetables (carrots, cauliflowers, potatoes, tomatoes, onions and green peas).

For all vegetables collected in both surveys, the average cost of production increased between 2004-05 and 2007-08. Across the selection of vegetables, production costs rose by an average of 30 per cent over the three years. The largest increases in costs were for fuel, fertiliser, hired labour, contracts paid and electricity.
# 5 Other issues

To gauge some of the issues faced by vegetable growers, a number of supplementary questions were added to the core questionnaire. Information was sought on irrigation water use, food safety precautions, pests and diseases, vegetable production and selling methods, education and training, research and development priorities, value adding, socioeconomic and business structure of farms, and future intentions and constraints.

## Irrigation use

Irrigation water is an important input to vegetable production with 91 per cent of vegetable growers using irrigation water in 2007-08 (table 25). Compared with other states, a lower proportion of vegetable farms in New South Wales used irrigation water (79 per cent of farms). All vegetable farms surveyed in the Northern Territory indicated that they had used irrigation water in 2007-08. Additionally, almost all vegetable farmers in Queensland, South Australia, Western Australia and Tasmania indicated that they used irrigation water for vegetable production in 2006-07 and 2007-08.

All crops relied heavily on irrigation water, with at least 77 per cent of farmers using irrigation for each vegetable type. All farmers surveyed who grew tomatoes used irrigation water in 2007-08.

25 percentage of farms						
	2006-07	2007-08				
New South Wales	70	79				
Victoria	87	83				
Queensland	96	98				
South Australia	100	96				
Western Australia	97	96				
Tasmania	100	99				
Northern Territory	100	100				
Australia	90	91				

# Vegetable farms using irrigation water, by state, 2006-07 and 2007-08

During 2007-08, an average of 27 hectares of vegetable crops was irrigated per farm (table 26). The average yield from irrigated crops was 28 tonnes a hectare, which was higher than the average yield for vegetable farms not using irrigation water at 21 tonnes a hectare. The average water used was 83 megalitres a farm that irrigated vegetable crops in 2007-08, which was equivalent to 3 megalitres per hectare of vegetable crops, on average.

	je per tattit <b>a</b>				
	area irrigated (ha)	production (t)	crop yield (t/ha)	water applied (mL)	water per ha (mL/ha)
Potatoes	7	294	41	28	4
Pumpkins	1	18	17	4	3
Green peas	1	3	5	1	1
Beans	3	21	7	3	1
Tomatoes	1	62	44	5	4
Onions	1	54	46	6	5
Carrots	1	70	54	4	3
Cauliflowers	1	18	28	3	5
Lettuce	1	46	32	3	2
Broccoli	2	17	9	5	3
Cabbage	1	45	59	2	3
Other vegetables	7	101	15	18	3
All vegetables	27	748	28	83	3

# Area irrigated, crop yield and water applied, by vegetable crop, 2007-08

a Farms that irrigated vegetable crops in 2007-08.

During 2007-08, an estimated 41 per cent of irrigation water used by vegetable farms was sourced from groundwater bores and 27 per cent from an irrigation scheme (table 27). The source of irrigation water varied according to state, with 40 per cent of irrigation water for vegetable crops in New South Wales sourced from an irrigation scheme. On average, 58 per cent of irrigation water used by vegetable farms in Tasmania came from farm storage dams compared with a 15 per cent national average.

### 27 Source of irrigation water, by state, 2007-08 average per farm NSW Vic Qld SA WA % % % %

	NZVV	VIC	Qid	SA	VVA	las	IN I	Australia
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Irrigation scheme	40	32	43	15	3	4	0	27
Groundwater bore	8	46	39	74	67	1	100	41
Diversion from river/stream	37	5	9	0	3	9	0	9
Town water (mains supply)	1	0	0	0	1	7	0	1
Farm storage dam	6	8	7	2	27	58	0	15
Treated or reclaimed water	2	6	0	6	0	0	0	3
Other	7	3	2	3	0	21	0	5
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

A higher percentage of vegetable growers in Victoria and Tasmania indicated that they intend to increase irrigation water use in the future (65 per cent and 48 per cent of growers, respectively) (figure h). No vegetable growers surveyed in the Northern Territory were intending to increase irrigation water use.

A greater proportion of larger vegetable farms (with more than 70 hectares of vegetables sown) were intending to increase irrigation water use in the future, with 44 per cent of those with more than 70 hectares of vegetable crops sown expressing the intention compared with the national average of 31 per cent.



# The majority of additional water for irrigation use is likely to come from increased on-farm storage and purchase of additional water entitlements (table 28). An estimated 40 per cent of Victorian vegetable growers expected to source additional irrigation water for vegetable crops from increased on-farm storage.

# **C** Source of additional irrigation water, by state, 2007-08

<b>ZO</b> percentage of fai	rms							
	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Increase on-farm storage	12	40	12	3	5	31	0	17
Increase water reuse	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Purchase entitlements	3	8	4	13	7	19	0	7
Access treated water	5	5	0	5	0	0	0	3
Undisclosed	5	17	2	0	0	10	0	6

# Food safety precautions

An estimated 43 per cent of Australian vegetable farms in 2007-08 undertook a food safety assessment of their water source (table 29). A lower proportion of vegetable growers in New South Wales conducted a risk assessment of their farms' water source (23 per cent). Around three-quarters of vegetable growers tested produce for chemical residues. However, the proportion of vegetable growers who tested crops for chemical residue varied between states, with only an estimated 41 per cent of vegetable farms in New South Wales conducting such a test while 85 per cent of Queensland vegetable farms conducted a test. Only 34 per cent of vegetable growers in Victoria have a food safety program in place, compared with 60 per cent of Australian vegetable farms nationally. An estimated 45 per cent of vegetable growers participated in or were considering an environmental management plan.

Food safety precautions undertaken by vegetable growers, by state, 2007-08

2007 00	percenta	ge or fann						
	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Conducted a food safety								
assessment of the farms								
water source	23	25	56	43	72	54	44	43
Test produce for chemical								
residues	41	55	85	74	83	77	52	67
Have a food safety program								
in place	41	34	80	64	91	66	48	60
Have participated in or are								
considering an environme	ntal							
management program	56	45	41	38	50	37	14	45

A greater proportion of large vegetable farms, with more than 70 hectares of vegetables sown, undertook food safety precautions compared with other growers (table 30). An estimated 96 per cent of larger vegetable farms are estimated to have tested produce for chemical residues, compared with 55 per cent of smaller vegetable farms, with less than 5 hectares of vegetables

30

sown.

Safety precautions undertaken by vegetable growers, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08 percentage of farms

	area sown to vegetables					
	less than 5 hectares	5 to 20 hectares	20 to 70 hectares	more than 70 hectares		
Conducted a food safety assessment						
of the farms water source	32	41	55	61		
Test produce for chemical residues	55	53	89	96		
Have a food safety program in place	52	50	74	88		
Have participated in or considering an						
environmental management progran	n 39	44	48	63		

### **31** Pests and disease monitoring, by state, 2007-08 percentage of farms

•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
NSW	100
Vic	73
Qld	99
SA	80
WA	98
Tas	98
NT	100
Australia	91

### Pests and diseases

The majority of vegetable growers were concerned with pests and diseases, with an estimated 91 per cent of vegetable growers following a set pest and disease monitoring program (table 31). Most vegetable growers conducted their pest and disease monitoring routinely, although a lower proportion of vegetable growers in New South Wales and Northern Territory conducted pest and disease monitoring than vegetable growers in other states. A greater proportion of larger vegetable farms, with more than 70 hectares of vegetables sown, undertook pest and disease monitoring.

In the event of an exotic pest or disease outbreak, 81 per cent of vegetable growers surveyed in the 2008 survey (covering the 2006-07 financial year), supported a grower's levy that would be matched by government funding to meet the costs of eradication. The majority of vegetable growers also supported using an industry levy and joint government funding to compensate growers for lost income if crops were to be destroyed as part of the eradication effort. These questions were not part of the survey of vegetable growers conducted in 2009 (covering the 2007-08 financial year).

# Vegetable production and selling methods

An estimated 16 per cent of vegetable growers produced vegetables under protection such as glass, plastic or shadecloth in 2007-08 (table 32). For those growing vegetables under protection, an average of 68 per cent of their vegetable revenue came from the sale of vegetables grown under protection.

Only 8 per cent of vegetable growers produced vegetables hydroponically in 2007-08 and on average 96 per cent of their vegetable revenue came from vegetables grown hydroponically.

Farms growing vegetables under protection or using hydroponics had a smaller average area of vegetable crops sown.

More than 60 per cent of vegetable growers in Australia sold vegetables to the fresh vegetable market in their local capital city through wholesale and almost half of vegetable revenue was received through that outlet (table 33). Selling direct to a processor was another highly used outlet (23 per cent of vegetable growers) as well as interstate (22 per cent of vegetable growers).

### Vegetable production methods, 2007-08 percentage of farms / average per farm 32

1	ISW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Proportion of growers producing vegetables								
under protection	30	1	3	48	21	5	0	16
Share of vegetable revenue								
produced under protection	17	1	2	39	14	3	0	11
Share of vegetable revenue produced under protection for those who used protection	58	100	58	80	68	67		68
for those who used protection	50	100	50	00	00	07		00
Proportion of growers producing vegetables using hydroponics	10	1	12	4	14	3	0	8
Share of vegetable revenue produced using hydroponics	10	1	11	4	14	3	0	7
Share of vegetable revenue produced using hydroponics for farmers who used								
hydroponics	100	100	92	90	100	100		96

# 33

Vegetable selling methods, 2007-08

percentage of farms

	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Proportion of growers sel	ling							
For export	1	5	1	3	12	8	0	4
Direct to food services	8	1	2	2	2	0	0	3
Interstate	14	17	34	35	7	13	61	22
Local capital wholesale	77	63	62	65	81	4	25	61
Local market	25	24	20	2	25	10	24	19
Direct to processor	10	17	19	17	13	92	0	23
Direct to retail	23	20	5	4	34	8	30	15
Proportion of vegetable r	evenue rece	eived fror	n selling					
For export	0	3	0	0	2	1	0	1
Direct to food services	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Interstate	7	7	19	24	2	2	58	11
Local capital wholesale	55	52	51	57	66	1	17	49
Local market	14	9	11	1	13	5	2	9
Direct to processor	6	14	15	15	11	86	0	20
Direct to retail	17	14	2	2	6	4	23	9
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

A greater proportion of larger vegetable farms, with more than 70 hectares sown to vegetables, sold vegetables for export, direct to processors or interstate than other growers. At the same time, a lower proportion sold at the local market.

Nationally, 79 per cent of vegetable growers rated their relationship with their main buyer as good or excellent in 2007-08 (table 34). A higher proportion of vegetable growers in Victoria and Queensland rated their relationship with their main buyer as poor in 2007-08, at around 20 per cent of growers.

<b>34</b> Relationship with main buyer, 2007-08 percentage of farms									
		NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Excellent		18	25	37	33	27	17	26	27
Good		66	49	42	52	40	68	59	52
Satisfactory	,	14	6	2	11	25	8	15	10
Poor		1	20	19	4	8	7	0	11

# Education and training

As part of the survey, vegetable growers were asked whether they had participated in various courses or training activities to improve their farm management and technical skills in the two years from 1 July 2006 to 30 June 2008. An estimated 68 per cent of vegetable growers attended field days to improve their farm management and technical skills (table 35). Additionally, around 44 per cent of vegetable growers attended workshops and more than one-third attended conferences. Only 5 per cent of vegetable growers attended a TAFE course in the two years prior to June 2008 and 1 per cent attended university.

# 35 Education and training undertaken by vegetable growers, by state,

2007 00	percentage or g	10000						
	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Conferences	9	48	33	40	41	53	11	35
Field days	58	83	54	78	59	91	34	68
TAFE	3	3	4	14	6	4	13	5
University	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	1
Workshops	33	52	30	52	54	65	35	44
Other	3	1	3	8	8	4	0	4

### Research and development priorities

Pest and disease management was the research and development priority most commonly believed to be important for vegetable growers in 2007-08, with around 78 per cent indicating it was a high or very high priority (table 36). Higher yielding varieties and farm productivity were also perceived as important priorities for a high proportion of vegetable growers. Only 27 per cent of vegetable growers perceived chilling and storage technology as being a high priority. Research and development priorities were similar to those reported the previous year.

# Value adding

During 2007-08, an estimated 67 per cent of vegetable growers engaged in some level of value adding. However, only 18 per cent of growers regarded the extent of their value adding to be high or very high (table 37). The proportion of vegetable growers undertaking value adding varied by state, with only around half of vegetable growers in Tasmania undertaking value adding in 2007-08, compared with 87 per cent of vegetable growers in New South Wales.

Just less than 25 per cent of vegetable growers are expecting to do more value adding in the future (figure i).



# Growers expecting to do more value adding in the future, by state, 2007-08 percentage of growers

36

Perceived research and development priorities, by state, 2007-08

nercentade	of farms
percentage	OFTATITIS

	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
Deat and discourse many	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Pest and disease manager	ment	25	10	4.4	22	25	ГС	20
very nign	50	25	42	44	32	35 E 1	50	39
High	40	30	30	38	40	51	44	39
Medium	9	30	22	17	) 1 Г	14	0	17
LOW	0	3	0	17	15	0	0	4
None	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	I
Higher yielding varieties								
Very high	21	11	34	31	32	41	11	27
High	58	41	24	39	36	51	56	41
Medium	20	32	29	17	17	7	11	22
Low	1	16	8	13	15	0	22	9
None	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	1
Farm productivity								
Very high	34	14	22	35	24	29	4	25
High	45	19	50	50	55	52	67	44
Medium	21	31	26	9	13	19	23	22
Low	1	18	1	6	8	0	6	6
None	0	18	1	0	0	0	0	4
Marketing and market dev	velopment							
Very high	15	14	14	36	20	19	0	18
High	41	16	35	19	47	37	34	32
Medium	39	52	44	27	9	31	25	37
Low	4	17	6	13	14	9	35	10
None	0	1	2	5	10	5	6	3
Chilling/storage technolo	gy							
Very high	11	4	13	7	13	4	4	9
High	17	20	20	12	13	20	13	18
Medium	29	23	38	13	33	41	24	30
Low	39	34	28	44	31	21	59	33
None	3	19	2	24	10	14	0	10
Environmental sustainabil	lity							
Very high	22	19	19	24	20	20	4	20
High	39	29	33	32	26	59	41	36
Medium	24	29	37	25	37	16	26	29
Low	15	20	11	8	14	5	21	13
None	0	3	0	11	2	0	8	2
Consumer research								
Very high	15	10	17	12	19	11	0	14
High	24	21	17	30	28	26	17	23
Medium	26	62	53	27	13	23	31	38
Low	28	6	7	26	27	33	31	19
None	7	1	7	5	13	6	21	6

37 Level of value adding, by state, 2007-08 percentage of farms											
		NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia		
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		
Very high		1	9	8	6	9	2	0	6		
High		10	6	11	10	34	4	13	12		
Medium		10	14	17	14	10	13	14	13		
Low		66	34	24	27	29	30	51	36		
None		13	38	40	42	18	51	22	33		

# Socioeconomic and business structure of vegetable farms

During 2007-08, an estimated 73 per cent of vegetable farms were operated as a partnership, 23 per cent were operated as sole operators and 4 per cent as a company (table 38).

The average age of owner/operators of Australian vegetable farms was estimated to be around 54 years in 2007-08 and the majority had an education of year 12 or less. An estimated 11 per cent had obtained a TAFE qualification and 13 per cent had obtained a university degree.

An estimated 18 per cent of vegetable growers received income from off-farm sources and the level of income received from off-farm sources was on average \$7100 a farm in 2007-08. Off-

<b>JO</b> percentage of t	farms							
	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Business structure								
Sole operator	11	20	35	28	15	27	30	23
Partnership	88	77	58	67	79	70	70	73
Company	1	2	7	5	7	2	0	4
Highest educational atta	inment of o	wner/op	erator					
No school	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Primary school	19	0	7	5	0	0	11	6
Year 10 or less	35	42	58	42	44	26	57	43
Year 11 or 12	23	47	15	23	25	27	11	26
TAFE	4	5	4	15	18	36	17	11
Degree	18	5	16	15	11	11	4	13
Unknown	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Average per farm								
Age of operator/owner (y	ears) 51	50	58	55	52	54	46	54
Age of spouse (years)	43	42	45	43	39	48	45	43
Off-farm income (\$)	7 332	1 289	7 502	6 656	5 921	19 216	5 331	7 145

# **38** Socioeconomic and business characteristics of vegetable farms, 2007-08 percentage of farms

farm income data were collected for the owner/operator and spouse only. It includes income from wages, other businesses, investment and government assistance to the farm.

# Future in vegetable growing

At the time of the survey, 72 per cent of vegetable growers expected to still be engaged in vegetable production in five years' time, with 11 per cent expecting to focus on other agricultural production and 16 per cent expecting to leave agriculture (table 39).

39
----

### Intentions of vegetable growers in five years, 2007-08

percentage of farms

	NSW %	Vic %	Qld %	SA %	WA %	Tas %	NT %	Australia %
Vegetable production	62	70	80	72	76	73	75	72
Other agricultural production	24	13	6	6	4	10	0	11
Leave agriculture	13	17	14	22	20	17	25	16

Those who indicated that they intended to leave agriculture in five years' time were older on average (61 years) and operated a smaller holding of land (125 hectares a farm) in 2007-08 (table 40). Those who were intending to be more focused on other agricultural production operated from a much larger holding of land than the average vegetable farm.

# Age of operator and area operated, by intention in five years, 2007-08 average per farm

ag	je of operator/manager	area operated	area sown to vegetables
Vegetable production	52	179	33
Other agricultural produ-	ction 51	345	18
Leave agriculture	61	125	12
Total	54	189	29

During 2007-08, an estimated 31 per cent of vegetable growers intended to expand vegetable production in the next three to five years (figure j). A higher proportion of vegetable growers in Queensland were expecting to expand vegetable production in the next three to five years, while a low proportion of growers in the Northern Territory were expecting to expand vegetable production.

Of those who intend to expand vegetable production in the next three to five years, the most common method of expansion was to use existing land more intensively (56 per cent), followed by additional vegetable areas on existing farm land (table 41).



Intention to expand vegetable produciton area in the next 3 to 5 years, 2007-08 percentage of farms

### Method of vegetable production expansion in the next 3 to 5 years,

2007-08 percentage of farms expecting to expand area of vegetables in the next three to five years

	NSW %	Vic %	Qld %	SA %	WA %	Tas %	NT %	Australia %
Use existing land more								
intensively	33	51	80	36	68	49	20	56
Additional vegetable area								
using existing farm	20	48	19	55	24	51	59	33
Purchase more land	27	49	18	15	35	49	80	31
Lease more land	28	19	14	65	15	51	0	27
Sharefarming arrangement	0	0	0	0	7	29	0	4

Vegetable growers were asked what management practices would improve the productivity of their farm business (table 42). The production of higher yielding varieties was the most common response (54 per cent), followed by expanding technology use (41 per cent) and expanding mechanisation (38 per cent). Few vegetable growers believed that improved financial management would improve farm productivity. An estimated 20 per cent believed they were already as productive as possible.

Around 31 per cent of growers operating vegetable farms with less than 5 hectares of vegetables sown believed there was nothing they could do to improve farm productivity (table 43). Additionally, 12 per cent of growers with small vegetable farms believed that increasing the scale of operations would improve farm productivity. The most common way growers with small vegetable farms believed they could increase productivity was through higher yielding varieties.

Management practices to improve vegetable farm productivity, 2007-08

### percentage of growers NSW Vic Qld SA WA Tas NT Australia % % % % % % % % Expand mechanisation Introduce or expand technology use Increase scale of operation Improve financial management 2 Higher yielding varieties Introduce genetically modified vegetables Nothing Other

Management practices to improve vegetable farm productivity, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08 percentage of growers

		area so	own to vegetables		
 5	ess than hectares	5 to 20 hectares	20 to 70 hectares	more than 70 hectares	
Expand mechanisation	26	41	42	68	
Introduce or expand technology use	14	54	57	75	
Increase scale of operation	12	33	30	48	
Improve financial management	15	7	17	24	
Introduce genetically modified vegetable	s 9	27	12	24	
Higher yielding varieties	45	54	62	68	
Nothing	31	14	12	10	
Other	8	6	12	5	

While a high proportion of vegetable growers indicated that a move to higher yielding varieties would improve farm productivity, an estimated 78 per cent of vegetable growers indicated they faced one or more constraints to changing their crop mix. An estimated 43 per cent indicated that water availability was a constraint and 21 per cent viewed climate suitability as a constraint (table 44).

Constraints to changing vegetable crop mix, 2007-08

percentage of fai	rms							
	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Soil type or topography	4	4	17	4	10	19	0	9
Climate suitability	21	18	33	4	13	21	44	21
Water availability	20	83	51	35	21	34	0	43
Knowledge or experience								
– growing	18	11	13	13	20	12	8	14
<ul> <li>marketing products</li> </ul>	15	2	12	10	12	2	11	9

The production of high quality vegetables, selling direct to retail and the production of niche products were the strategies that were most commonly agreed to be opportunities for growth (table 45). Only 6 per cent of vegetable growers saw hydroponics as an opportunity to expand their vegetable growing business.

45 Major growth opportunities for vegetable farms, 2007-08 percentage of farms											
	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	NT	Australia			
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%			
Exports	4	37	17	20	22	18	0	19			
Selling direct to retail	49	63	28	32	31	31	10	40			
Direct to food services sector	9	5	13	23	29	19	6	14			
Niche products	20	11	25	34	43	49	21	27			
High quality produce	60	39	60	71	60	59	70	57			
Value adding on farm	12	14	29	22	57	25	17	24			
Under protective cropping	16	0	6	21	27	7	4	11			
Hydroponics	9	0	10	4	9	5	0	6			
Other	6	2	9	10	14	6	12	7			

An estimated 19 per cent of vegetable growers saw export markets as a viable outlet for expanding their vegetable growing business. However, a number of impediments were highlighted (table 46). Almost two-thirds of vegetable growers believed that the development of export markets was too difficult or time-consuming. Inadequate prices for exported vegetables, shipping costs and insufficient farm infrastructure were also commonly agreed to be impediments to developing export markets.

# 46

Impediments to developing export markets for vegetable farms, 2007-08

•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				•••••				
	NSW %	Vic %	Qld %	SA %	WA %	Tas %	NT %	Australia %
No local agents	33	11	17	3	11	15	18	16
Prices not high enough	29	53	34	59	58	56	43	45
Shipping costs too high	18	26	21	32	25	29	17	24
Transport not available	10	9	9	2	6	0	6	7
Infrastructure on farm needed	41	15	11	24	26	38	35	24
Too hard/time-consuming	47	60	79	55	56	84	51	63

Increased farm input costs was the factor most commonly agreed to be an impediment to the future viability of vegetable farms in all states (table 47). An estimated 86 per cent of vegetable growers viewed input costs (such as water, fuel and fertiliser) as an impediment, including all farms surveyed in Tasmania and the Northern Territory. Other impediments which

# 47 Impediments to future business viability of vegetable farms, 2007-08

= _ percentage of latins								
Ν	SW %	Vic %	Qld %	SA %	WA %	Tas %	NT %	Australia %
Increased farm input costs	86	80	95	89	60	100	100	86
Increased marketing costs	75	52	67	65	22	60	53	60
Low prices because of imports	46	74	48	60	62	81	78	59
Low prices for other reasons	76	33	36	60	71	66	87	54
Availability of irrigation water	45	77	67	52	29	72	0	58
Quality of irrigation water	0	12	17	4	33	3	0	11
Environmental sustainability	24	5	21	10	16	34	39	18
Urban expansion	23	25	28	28	29	7	4	24
Closure of local processing plan	t 5	9	0	2	13	26	0	7
Access/cost of labour	16	21	23	33	39	37	24	26
Other	2	5	13	9	35	2	0	10

were reported by the majority of vegetable growers included increased marketing costs, low vegetable prices and irrigation water availability.

Access to and cost of labour, which were listed as separate response options, was no longer viewed by the majority of vegetable growers as an impediment to the future viability of vegetable production, with 26 per cent of vegetable growers indicating it was an impediment compared with 61 per cent the previous financial year.

# A Definitions and methodology

# Definitions

Area of land at business premises: Includes all land operated by the vegetable business, whether owned or rented by the business.

**Capital:** The value of capital employed by the vegetable business is the market value of all the assets used including leased items but excluding machinery and equipment either hired or used by contractors. Market valuations were provided by the owner manager of surveyed farms. The valuation also includes the market value of land and fixed improvements used by the surveyed vegetable business.

**Debt:** Estimated as all debts attributable to the vegetable business, excluding personal debt and underwritten loans. Information collected at the survey interview was supplemented by information in the business accounts.

**Depreciation:** Estimated by applying the diminishing value depreciation method to the market value of capital items as at 30 June. Capital items are categorised into several groups and relevant depreciation rates are applied. The capital groups include vehicles, handling, harvesting and packing equipment, cultivation and sowing equipment, computers, electronic and communications equipment, other plant and equipment and buildings on the business premises.

**Equity ratio:** Calculated as vegetable business equity as a percentage of total owned capital at 30 June.

Farm business profit: Farm cash income plus buildup in trading stocks, less depreciation, less the imputed value of the owner manager, partner(s) and family labour.

Farm cash income: The difference between total cash receipts and total cash costs.

**Fixed improvements:** Fixed assets including machinery, plant and packing sheds as well as other specialist industry buildings.

**Hired labour:** Excludes the owner manager, partners and family labour, and work undertaken by contractors. Expenditure on contract services appears as a cash cost.

**Imputed labour cost:** Payments for owner manager and family labour may bear little relationship to the actual work input. An estimate of the labour input of the owner manager, partners and their families is calculated in work-weeks and a value is imputed at the relevant Federal Pastoral Industry Award rates.

Labour: Measured in work-weeks, as estimated by the owner manager. It includes all work on the business by the owner manager, partners, family, sharefarmers, hired permanent and casual workers, but excludes work done by contractors.

**Non-farm income:** Collected for the owner manager and spouse only, including income from wages, other businesses, investment, government assistance to the farm household and other social welfare payments.

**Owner manager:** The primary decision-maker for the vegetable business. This person is identified by discussion between interviewer and interviewee as (one of) the key decision-maker(s) in the business. This person is usually responsible for the day-to-day operation of the business and may own or have a share in the vegetable business.

**Profit at full equity:** Farm business profit plus interest, rent and finance lease payments, less depreciation on leased items. It is the return produced by all the resources used in the business.

**Rate of return:** Computed by expressing profit at full equity as a percentage of the total opening capital of the vegetable business. Rate of return represents the ability of the business to generated a return to all capital used by the business, including that which is borrowed or leased.

**Total cash costs:** Payments made by the vegetable business for materials and services and for permanent and casual hired labour (excluding owner manager, partner and other family labour). It includes the value of any lease payments on capital, produce purchased for resale, rent and interest. Capital and household expenditures are excluded from total cash costs. Handling and marketing expenses include commission, levies etc. for business produce sold. Administration costs include accountancy fees, banking and legal expenses, postage, stationery, subscriptions and telephone. Other cash costs include stores, electricity, advisory services, motor vehicle expenses, travelling expenses and insurance. While 'other cash costs' may comprise a relatively large proportion of total cash costs, individually the components are relatively small overall and, as such, have not been listed.

**Total cash receipts:** Total of revenues received by the vegetable business in the financial year, including revenues from the sale of vegetables. It includes revenue received from royalties, rebates, refunds, plant hire, contracts, insurance claims and compensation, and government assistance payments to the business.

# Methodology

### Target population

The survey of vegetable enterprises was designed and the sample selected on the basis of a frame (population list) drawn from the Australian Business Register (ABR) and maintained by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). The ABR-based frame provided to ABARE consists of

agricultural establishments categorised by statistical local area, major industry and a size of operations variable. The size variable is an indicator of the extent of agricultural activity. The estimates published in this report cover establishments that make a significant contribution to the total value of agricultural output (i.e. commercial farms). Businesses excluded from the survey will be the smallest units.

The vegetable growing industry definition is based on the Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ANZSIC). This classification is consistent with an international standard that is applied comprehensively across Australian industry, permitting comparisons between industries, both within Australia and internationally. Farms assigned to a particular ANZSIC have a high proportion of their total output characterised by that class. Further information on ANZSIC and the vegetable growing industry is provided in Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ABS 2006, cat. no. 1292.0).

For the purpose of this survey, vegetable farms in the sample were selected from units classified in ANZSIC 0122 (Vegetable growing, under cover) and 0123 (Vegetable growing, outdoors). These classes consist of units mainly engaged in growing vegetables, with primary activities including: capsicums, cucumbers, herbs, lettuces, tomatoes, asparagus, beans, carrots, garlic, zucchinis, onions, peas and potatoes.

### Survey design and sample weighting

The population was stratified by operation size, using operation size and state. The size of each stratum was determined using the Dalenius-Hodges method (Lehtonen and Pahkinen 2004). The sample allocation to each stratum is a compromise between allocating a higher proportion of the sample to strata with high variability in the size variable and an allocation proportional to the population of the stratum.

In 2007-08, there were an estimated 3781 commercial vegetable farms in Australia (table 48). These farms account for 73 per cent of all vegetable growing farms (ABS, cat. no. 7121.0). Results are based on 288 vegetable establishments which responded to the survey.

# 48

# Population and sample numbers for the Australian vegetable industry survey, 2007-08

	number of growers	realised sample
New South Wales	783	39
Victoria	739	45
Queensland	942	68
South Australia	492	48
Western Australia	408	38
Tasmania	389	37
Northern Territory	28	13
Australia	3 781	288

Queensland, New South Wales and Victoria had the largest numbers of commercial vegetable farms, accounting for almost two-thirds of vegetable farms across Australia.

The estimates presented in this report are calculated by appropriately weighting the data collected from each sample farm and then using the weighted data to calculate population estimates. Generally, larger farms have smaller weights and smaller farms have larger weights, reflecting the strategy of sampling a higher fraction of the larger farms than of smaller farms (the former having greater variability of key characteristics and accounting for a much larger proportion of total output) and the relatively lower number of large farms.

### Methodology change

To improve the efficiency of survey estimates, a new weighting method using ABS population benchmarks was developed for the 2009 vegetable survey (covering the 2007-08 financial year). Use of ABS population benchmarks ensures estimates of areas of vegetables planted and the number of vegetable farms matches known state totals. This weighting method provides more robust and reliable estimates than the method used in previous years, which used ABS sample frame populations by state and EVAO. Prior to the 2009 survey, these population benchmarks were not available to ABARE.

To ensure estimates are comparable between years, estimates for 2005-06 and 2006-07 have been revised using the new weighting method. As a consequence, estimates for 2005-06 and 2006-07 as presented in this report are different to those in previous reports published by ABARE. However, apart from a few exceptions, the magnitude of changes between 2005-06 and 2006-07 are similar and the direction of changes are the same.

### Survey questionnaire

The survey of vegetable growing enterprises was conducted in May 2009 and covered the following topics:

Pre-interview questions, to:

- determine eligibility and stratification level
- establish business structure and activities
- confirm address and location
- check availability of financial and production data.

Production details:

- vegetable related production for the survey year (2006-07 financial year)
- details of each type of product including quantity produced, sales, transfers, and stocks on hand.

### Labour:

- family and hired labour
- workers' status in the operation, hours worked and wages paid
- questions about operator and spouses education, off-farm work and government assistance.

### Assets:

• type and value of liquid assets (owned by or available to the business), land, vehicles, plant and equipment, and buildings and other structural improvements used in the business.

### Liabilities:

• details of farm debt.

### Income and expenses:

• all costs and income associated with the vegetable business.

Supplementary survey questions covering a range of issues, including:

- irrigation water and chemical usage.
- pests and diseases.
- farm sale outlets.
- sources of information.
- future intentions.
- constraints.
- relationship of growers with main buyers.

The questionnaire used in 2009 is similar to that used in the 2007 and 2008 surveys.

# Reliability of estimates

The reliability of the estimates of population characteristics presented in this report depends on the design of the sample and the accuracy of the measurement of characteristics for the individual sample businesses.

### Sampling errors

Only a subset of vegetable businesses in each state is surveyed. The data collected from each sample business are weighted to calculate population estimates. Estimates derived from these

businesses are likely to be different from those that would have been obtained if information had been collected from a census of all businesses. Any such differences are called 'sampling errors'.

The size of the sampling error is most influenced by the survey design and the estimation procedures, as well as the sample size and the variability of businesses in the population. The larger the sample size, the lower the sampling error is likely to be. Hence, national estimates are likely to have lower sampling errors than state estimates.

To give a guide to the reliability of the survey estimates, sampling errors have been calculated for the estimates. These estimated errors, expressed as percentages of the survey estimates and termed 'relative standard errors', are given next to each estimate in parentheses.

### Comparing estimates

When comparing estimates between two groups, it is important to recognise that the differences are also subject to sampling error. As a rule of thumb, a conservative estimate of the standard error of the difference can be constructed by adding the squares of the estimated standard errors of the component estimates and then taking the square root of the result. An example is given below.

Suppose the estimates of total cash receipts were \$100 000 in Victoria and \$125 000 in Tasmania –a difference of \$25 000 –and the relative standard error is given as 6 per cent for each estimate. The standard error of the difference can be estimated as:

 $\sqrt{(0.06 \times \$100 \ 000)^2 + (0.06 \times \$125 \ 000)^2} = 9605$ 

so a 95 per cent confidence interval for the difference is:

\$25 000 ± 1.96 × \$9605 = (\$6174, \$43 826)

Hence if a large number (towards infinity) of different samples are taken, in approximately 95 per cent of the time, the difference between the two estimates will be between \$6174 and \$43 826. Also, since zero is not in this confidence interval, it is possible to say that the difference between the estimates is statistically significantly different from zero at the 95 per cent confidence level.

### Data quality

ABARE's survey system is designed to produce data of a quality suitable for research and analysis at the unit level. This involves a set of quality controls, with procedures being tailored to the specific requirements of individual surveys. The key to the success of the system is employing specialist highly experienced survey officers and statisticians to guide the design and operation of the data collection and estimation process.

With voluntary surveys, the first critical control point is maximising the response rate of the selected survey sample. Having staff with appropriate interpersonal skills is essential. Nevertheless, low response rates can be unavoidable in some surveys. Problems of data quality arising from this source are reduced by the use of procedures to guide the selection of replacement businesses, and the use of statistical modelling in the estimation process.

Data quality is also enhanced by checks against available external data sources and by internal consistency checks. The first of these checks takes place at the time of collection. With expert survey staff and training in the specific survey topic, much of the checking for internal consistency of data is done as part of the interview. After the collection of the survey information, further automated and manual checks against the full set of collected data are made. Extreme observations are also identified and, if necessary, checked by a second contact with the survey respondent.

		NS	M	Ν	U	Ø	p	S	A	>	٨A	Tas	NT	A	Nustralia
Population	ОО С	783		739 16		942	7	492		408	-	389 27	28		3 781 2000
Jaliipie Total area onerated	. 4	ور ۵۹۱	(85)	0,4 2,00 2,00	(90)	190	(20)	040	(22)	0C 174	(10)	(10) EUC	CI 07	(80	200 180 (10)
		001	(co)	07	(07)	061	(//7)	747	(C7)	- 44	(17)	(17) CN7	n T	(07	(41) 601
Seasonal conditions for	r vegetable growing														
Above average	%	6	(68)	2	(74)	10	(32)	0		26	(30)	0	0		8 (23)
Average	%	52	(23)	11	(46)	52	(20)	∞	(23)	50	(21)	24 (24)	100		36 (11)
Below average	%	23	(45)	62	(25)	24	(39)	60	(17)	22	(46)	(6) (6)	0		40 (12)
Drought	%	16	(62)	25	(09)	13	(51)	32	(31)	2	(96)	7 (48)	0		17 (25)
Flood	%	0		0		0		0		0		0	0		0
Age of operator/owner	years	51	(2)	50	(8)	58	(5)	55	(3)	52	(4)	54 (4)	46	(5)	54 (2)
Educational attainmen	t of operator/owner														
Primary school complet	ed %	19	(53)	0		7	(73)	5	(62)	0		0	11 (8	31)	6 (39)
Year 10 or less	%	35	(30)	42	(35)	58	(17)	42	(26)	44	(29)	26 (40)	57 (2	24)	43 (11)
Year 11 or 12	%	23	(46)	47	(31)	15	(54)	23	(38)	25	(37)	27 (31)	11 (5	32)	26 (17)
Trade apprenticeship/te	chnical %	4	(89)	5	(45)	4	(55)	15	(50)	18	(45)	36 (31)	17 (é	54)	11 (19)
University education	%	18	(48)	5	(61)	16	(20)	15	(44)	11	(72)	11 (51)	4 (8	38)	13 (24)
<b>Business structure</b>															
Sole operator	%	11	(55)	20	(63)	35	(23)	28	(37)	15	(9)	27 (39)	30 (3	38)	23 (17)
Partnership	%	88	$( \angle )$	77	(17)	58	(15)	67	(16)	79	(4)	71 (15)	70 (1	16)	73 (6)
Company	%	-	(114)	2	(99)	2	(48)	S	(41)	2	(41)	2 (94)	0		4 (26)

B Appendix tables

Selected physical estimates of vegetable farms, by state, 2007-08

appendix

52

uratoes vrea sown Quantity harvested field <b>umpkins</b> Area sown						ý		'n		~ ~	******	2	IN	
urea sown Quantity harvested field Vumpkins Area sown	) 	C	(F)	-	(1)	L		7	(1)	٢	000	(01) 01	2	0
Juantity harvested Jeld <mark>Jumpkins</mark> Area sown	19	n	(14)	_	(74)	ſ	(7C)	2	(74)	-	(07)	(CI) CI		(71) 0
ʻield <mark>umpkins</mark> Area sown	t	94	(69)	441	(25)	143	(34)	670	(26)	308	(30)	725 (14)	na	336 (12)
<mark>aumpkins</mark> Area sown	t/ha	28	(16)	40	(9)	29	(10)	42	(6)	47	$( \angle )$	57 (3)	na	41 (4)
trea sown														
	ha	-	(108)	na		2	(51)	na		0	(46)	na	4 (35)	1 (35)
Quantity harvested	t	28	(102)	na		26	(35)	na		17	(50)	na	112 (38)	17 (37)
'ield	t/ha	36	(13)	na		12	(33)	na		45	(12)	na	32 (18)	18 (26)
Green peas														
Vrea sown	ha	na		na		na		na		na		3 (31)	na	1 (53)
Quantity harvested	t	na		na		na		na		na		18 (32)	na	3 (30)
'ield	t/ha	na		na		na		na		na		6 (5)	na	3 (33)
seans														
Vrea sown	ha	na		4	(49)	9	(59)	na		na		3 (33)	na	3 (37)
Quantity harvested	t	na		22	(41)	38	(09)	na		na		47 (49)	na	19 (34)
'ield	t/ha	na		5	(52)	9	(2)	na		na		17 (23)	na	7 (18)
omatoes														
Area sown	ha	—	(75)	2	(54)	m	(30)	0	(62)	-	(78)	na	na	1 (25)
Quantity harvested	t	41	(91)	109	(57)	96	(32)	2	(55)	18	(57)	na	na	56 (29)
'ield	t/ha	58	(39)	51	(24)	38	(17)	13	(74)	36	(43)	na	na	44 (14)
Dnions														
Vrea sown	ha	na		na		—	(55)	2	(39)	-	(43)	3 (29)	na	1 (23)
Quantity harvested	t	na		na		51	(68)	88	(43)	64	(47)	129 (27)	na	49 (23)
'ield	t/ha	na		na		35	(21)	57	(13)	64	(10)	47 (21)	na	45 (9)
Carrots														
Vrea sown	ha	na		na		na		2	(48)	2	(51)	3 (23)	na	2 (39)
Quantity harvested	t	na		na		na		144	(47)	101	(56)	278 (29)	na	98 (39)
'ield	t/ha	na		na		na		66	(12)	63	(11)	87 (17)	na	51 (11)

**A2** Vegetable yields, by state, 2007-08

A2 Vegetable yields, by state, 2007-08 average per farm continued

		NS	M	Vio		ð	o	S	-	$\geq$	A	Tas	NT	Australia	
Cauliflowers															
Area sown	ha	-	(51)	0	(65)	0	(47)	0	(64)		(46)	1 (34)	na	1 (21)	
Quantity harvested	t	14	(56)	14	(62)	13	(51)	4	(63)	35	(48)	35 (39)	na	17 (22)	
Yield	t/ha	16	(21)	39	(9)	39	(11)	16	(35)	27	(15)	25 (9)	na	26 (9)	
Lettuce															
Area sown	ha	m	(57)	na		2	(29)	-	(48)	2	(56)	na	na	1 (27)	
Quantity harvested	t	54	(99)	na		70	(27)	28	(53)	58	(61)	na	na	42 (24)	
Yield	t/ha	20	(12)	na		41	(8)	37	(20)	38	(13)	na	na	32 (9)	
Broccoli															
Area sown	ha	na		2	(33)	-	(57)	na		2	(44)	1 (38)	na	2 (23)	
Quantity harvested	t	na		46	(30)	10	(52)	na		27	(43)	12 (39)	na	16 (21)	
Yield	t/ha	na		6	(27)	8	(16)	na		12	(13)	12 (8)	na	9 (16)	
Cabbage															
Area sown	ha	-	(58)	2	(71)	na		0	(44)	0	(63)	na	na	1 (36)	
Quantity harvested	t	57	(27)	103	(26)	na		22	(47)	22	(69)	na	na	44 (42)	
Yield	t/ha	50	(24)	65	(9)	na		56	(10)	68	(11)	na	na	58 (8)	
Other vegetables															
Area sown	ha	4	(38)	00	(22)	14	(22)	2	(29)	2	(23)	2 (62)	17 (19)	7 (14)	
Quantity harvested	t	96	(37)	80	(20)	186	(25)	44	(23)	55	(33)	16 (48)	350 (30)	98 (15)	
Yield	t/ha	21	(14)	10	(15)	13	(20)	22	(15)	23	(22)	9 (61)	21 (18)	14 (11)	
All vegetables															
Area sown	ha	16	(23)	42	(15)	35	(15)	25	(13)	18	(12)	29 (14)	20 (20)	29 (7)	
Quantity harvested	t	406	(25)	1 032	(19)	673	(12)	1 017	(14)	705	(15)	1 270 (13)	462 (31)	796 (7)	
Yield	t/ha	26	(12)	25	(16)	19	(13)	41	(2)	39	(8)	44 (9)	23 (17)	28 (6)	
na Not available because of insuff <i>Note:</i> Figures in parentheses are st	icient sample points andard errors (RSEs)	s. expressed	as a perce	entage of	the estim	ate prov	'ided. A g	uide on h	now to us	e RSEs is	in appe	ndix A.			

, 2007-08	
by state	
e farms,	
vegetable	
eceipts of	
<sup>-</sup> arm cash r	
$\mathbf{n}$	

JU average per farm

		NSN	~	Vic		Qlc	_	SA		WA		Tas		NT	Australia	
Cash receipts	-											010	í	¢		
Potatoes	ጉ	110 79	(27)	255 221	(26)	005 80	(33)	193 385	(23)	131946	(27)	195 8/3	(15)	О	113 065 211	5)
Pumpkins	Ŷ	8 571	(100)	300	(64)	13 681	(40)	6753	(83)	9 879	(58)	1 632	(59)	70 787 (32)	7 883 (3.	
Green peas	Ŷ	4 767	(107)	15 031	(06)	6 641	(86)	0		0		7 485	(32)	0	6 349 (5(	0
Beans	Ŷ	5 526	(106)	16 256	(37)	43 219	(75)	0		1 756	(110)	12 237	(31)	0	16 537 (5(	0
Tomatoes	Ŷ	27 597	(42)	33 040	(55)	184 079	(29)	6 549	(52)	26 271	(52)	97 (	110)	0	61 730 (2	3)
Onions	Ŷ	7 096	(27)	13 105	(99)	24 331	(55)	40 635	(48)	42 780	(50)	20 895	(26)	0	22 144 (22	(†
Carrots	ŝ	1 858	(150)	96 189	(94)	13 810	(86)	30 128	(46)	68 148	(09)	25 956	(23)	0	36 570 (51	-
Cauliflowers	Ş	6 922	(49)	19 461	(53)	6 700	(45)	6 044	(63)	30 772	(43)	21 745	(43)	0	13 249 (2)	2)
Lettuce	Ŷ	35 644	(99)	10 290	(57)	83 754	(28)	17 346	(46)	59 830	(53)	0		0	38 971 (2)	2)
Broccoli	Ŷ	2 443	(243)	52 258	(34)	18 618	(58)	7 209	(83)	47 354	(34)	8 384	(40)	0	22 269 (2)	2)
Cabbage	Ş	15 952	(62)	14 693	(50)	8 332	(78)	13 295	(57)	15 675	(58)	3 862	(92)	0	12 070 (2)	
Other vegetables	Ŷ	81 334	(34)	157 675	(44)	177 022	(17)	85 460	(25)	118 269	(29)	18 738	(99)	331 372 (21)	120 051 (1-	(†
Other cash receipts	Ŷ	44 288	(120)	102 666	(19)	104 711	(20)	99 152	(20)	88 257	(23)	201 591	(14)	26 329 (35)	98 670 (1-	(†
Total cash receipts	ŝ	304 008	(37)	654 517	(16)	753 398	(6)	505 955	(6)	640 938	(12)	518 494	(10)	428 488 (20)	570 089 (	
% of cash receipts	ò	L C				0		0		0		,			0	
from vegetables	%	65	(15)	84	(4)	86	(3)	80	(2)	86	(3)	[9	$( \geq )$	94 (2)	83	2)
Note Figures in parenthes	as are	standard erri	ors (RSFs)	exnressed as	a nercent	ane of the ec	timate r	provided A c	iide on	how to use B	SF c is in	annendiy A				[

 $A_4$  Quantity sold, value of production a and price received, by vegetable type, by state, 2007-08 average perform

	NSN	N	Vic		old		SA		WA		Tas		ΝŢ	Australia	[
Potatoes															1
Quantity sold t	60	(71)	435	(25)	143 (	34)	651	(26)	291	(29)	710	(14)	na	328 (1	2)
Value of production \$	60 142	(66)	129 150	(26)	68 500 (	33) 1	92 610	(23)	133 482	(27)	199 606	(15)	na	114 752 (1	2)
Price received \$ / t	668	(34)	297	$( \angle )$	480 (	17)	296	(9)	458	$( \geq )$	281	(3)	na	350 (	2)
Pumpkins															
Quantity sold t	29	(66)	na		26 (	35)	na		19	(47)	na		105 (37)	17 (3	(8
Value of production \$	7 892	(80)	na		13 683 🤅	40)	na		9 879	(58)	na		70 787 (32)	7 195 (2	(8)
Price received \$ / t	273	(35)	na		519 (	20)	na		529	(41)	na		671 (19)	422 (2	2)
Green peas															
Quantity sold t	na		na		na		na		na		18	(32)	na	3 (3	0
Value of production \$	na		na		na		na		na		7 485	(32)	na	6 466 (5	0
Price received \$ / t	na		na		na		na		na		418	(9)	na	1 937 (3	0
Beans															
Quantity sold t	na		22	(41)	38	50)	na		na		47	(49)	na	19 (3	(†
Value of production \$	na		16 256	(37)	43 211 (	75)	na		na		12 237	(31)	na	16 490 (5	0
Price received \$ / t	na		733	(24)	1 141	24)	na		na		263	(32)	na	865 (2	(9
Tomatoes															
Quantity sold t	41	(11)	109	(57)	96	32)	2	(55)	19	(56)	na		na	56 (2	6
Value of production \$	27 597	(42)	33 040	(55)	185 540 (	29)	6 549	(52)	26 271	(52)	na		na	62 094 (2	3)
Price received \$ / t	668	(77)	304	(40)	1 926 (	(11)	3 527	(58)	1417	(26)	na		na	1 108 (2	4
Onions															
Quantity sold t	na		na		51 (	58)	88	(41)	61	(49)	116	(28)	na	48 (2	(†
Value of production \$	na		na		40 777 (	57)	42 725	(46)	42 780	(50)	19 889	(26)	na	26 410 (3	0
Price received \$ / t	na		na		794	(8)	484	(12)	698	(21)	171	(14)	na	555 (1	-
Carrots															
Quantity sold t	na		na		na		144	(47)	104	(55)	187	(25)	na	84 (3	(6
Value of production \$	na		na		na		30 185	(46)	68 148	(09)	23 315	(25)	na	36 306 (5	-
Price received \$ / t	na		na		na		209	(14)	658	(16)	124	(17)	na	430 (1	
														continuea	

 $A4^{-2000}$  Quantity sold, value of production a and price received, by vegetable type, by state, 2007-08

	continued	
u	average per farm	
1		

		NOVI		Vic		ð	σ	SF	+	Ň	A	Tas		NT	Austral	ia.
Cauliflowers Ouantity sold	+	14	(26)	14	(62)	13	(51)	4	(63)	35	(48)	35	(39)	eu	17	(66)
Value of production	\$ 69	22	(49)	18 892	(54)	6 700	(45)	6 044	(63)	30 772	(43)	21 844	(43)	ра	13 147	(22)
Price received \$	/t 5 <sup>.</sup>	12	(15)	1 337	(27)	502	(20)	1 410	(24)	875	(13)	616	(14)	na	779	(11)
<mark>Lettuce</mark> Quantity sold	t	54	(99)	na		70	(27)	27	(53)	58	(09)	ц		рц	42	(24)
Value of production	\$ 35.64	44	(99)	na		84 337	(27)	17 470	(46)	59 830	(53)	na		na	39 133	(22)
Price received \$	/t 6!	55	(48)	na		1 200	(12)	647	(30)	1 026	(14)	na		na	941	(14)
<mark>Broccoli</mark> Quantity sold	t	na		46	(30)	10	(52)	ра		50	(42)	12	(39)	вц	19	(21)
Value of production	Ş	na		52 258	(34)	16 071	(54)	na		47 354	(34)	8 384	(40)	na	21 634	(21)
Price received \$	/t I	na		1 137	(8)	1 674	(6)	na		952	(37)	728	(13)	na	1 161	(12)
<mark>Cabbage</mark> Quantity sold	t	57	(77)	103	(76)	na		22	(47)	23	(69)	na		na	44	(42)
Value of production	\$ 1595	52	(62)	14 693	(20)	na		13 295	(57)	15 675	(58)	na		na	12 082	(27)
Price received \$	/t 2{	82	(23)	142	(41)	na		615	(43)	692	(35)	na		na	274	(27)
<mark>Other vegetables</mark> Quantity sold	t	96	(37)	101	(25)	186	(25)	45	(22)	56	(32)	13	(53)	336 (29)	102	(15)
Value of production	\$ 813:	34	(34)	157 675	(44)	179 037	(17)	86 459	(25)	117 856	(29)	19832	(63)	331 372 (21)	120 751	(14)
Price received \$	/t 8'	50	(28)	1 561	(39)	961	(20)	1 927	(24)	2 119	(23)	1 554	(99)	988 (26)	1 188	(15)
<mark>All vegetables</mark> Quantity sold	t 40	03	(25)	1 025	(18)	672	(12)	993	(14)	715	(16)	1 146	(13)	441 (29)	779	$( \succeq )$
Value of production	\$ 2571;	72	(25)	556 880	(18)	667 157	(10)	405 084	(11)	553 390	(13)	318 183	(13)	402 159 (20)	476 460	$( \geq )$
Price received \$	/t 6	39	(18)	544	(16)	992	(6)	408	(10)	774	(10)	278	$( \geq )$	912 (23)	612	(9)

A5 Farm cash costs of vegetable farms, by state, 2007-08 average per farm

		NSV	N	Vic		Qlo	_	SA		WA		Tas		ΝŢ	Australia	e e
Hired labour	ŝ	25 416	(84)	95 866	(24)	129 317	(13)	51 329	(15)	83 773	(23)	39 774	(30)	30 078 (34)	76 251 (	11)
Fertiliser	Ŷ	22 468	(39)	48 188	(18)	43 767	(12)	44 613	(12)	55 054	(17)	57 341	(10)	37 915 (25)	42 899	6
Contracts paid	Ŷ	12 411	(156)	45 100	(25)	69 032	(20)	30 193	(21)	8 919	(26)	61 410	(17)	29 094 (41)	40 005 (	15)
Seed	Ş	8 991	(45)	32 164	(12)	31 939	(12)	37 552	(14)	38 807	(36)	32 500	(14)	12 172 (30)	28 612	(8)
Fuel, oil and grease	Ŷ	13 644	(50)	36 410	(18)	28 097	(10)	34 572	(15)	26 600	(14)	22 981	(12)	14 744 (16)	26 784	(8)
Crop and pasture																
chemicals	Ŷ	9 509	(57)	19 798	(20)	26 279	(13)	25 103	(15)	20 596	(23)	31 366	(14)	13 910 (16)	21 203	(6)
Repairs –motor																
vehicles and plant	ŝ	13 955	(53)	17 403	(16)	32 443	(13)	18 739	(16)	26 487	(15)	20 314	(13)	19 287 (27)	21 903	(6)
Interest	Ŷ	17 420	(48)	47 719	(19)	27 141	(17)	19 393	(17)	21574	(22)	30 054	(19)	13 090 (35)	27 736 (	10)
Repairs -buildings and	7															
structures	Ş	8 843	(29)	16 930	(29)	17 510	(16)	13 681	(14)	6 975	(22)	11 278	(27)	9 603 (28)	13 267 (	10)
Electricity	Ŷ	4 089	(41)	12 213	(26)	11 047	(12)	8 115	(12)	10 258	(17)	13 106	(13)	10 210 (18)	9 573	6
Administration	Ŷ	6 364	(63)	8 950	(13)	15 201	(16)	6 897	(10)	12 883	(19)	9 574	(13)	8 217 (20)	10 187 (	11)
Land rent expense	ŝ	3 964	(52)	6 023	(30)	13 085	(20)	9 584	(23)	4 586	(53)	12 882	(31)	687 (79)	8 330 (	12)
Insurance	Ŷ	3 614	(54)	5 360	(16)	6 030	(12)	4 438	(14)	8 096	(21)	6 412	(6)	4 155 (27)	5 439	(6)
Rates	ŝ	6 812	(39)	12 308	(18)	5 626	(12)	6 669	(12)	6 185	(23)	6 005	(12)	2 559 (50)	7 390 (	10)
Lease payments	ŝ	1 235	(51)	1 965	(44)	4 804	(29)	2 793	(78)	1 267	(56)	62	(16)	0	2 343 (	22)
Produce purchased	Ş	779	(069)	588	(110)	402	(65)	1 665	(109)	0		0		0	593 (1	93)
Motor vehicle expensi	e\$	2 243	(35)	6 308	(57)	3 108	(17)	3 931	(19)	3 161	(21)	2 134	(23)	1 196 (23)	3 553 (	21)
Plant hire expense	Ş	1 800	(234)	1 560	(31)	5 766	(31)	1 606	(51)	3 220	(39)	4 485	(53)	1 143 (39)	3 140 (	33)
Packing materials	Ş	12 632	(45)	11 985	(49)	31 167	(20)	9316	(33)	22 965	(42)	994	(76)	25 784 (23)	16 708 (	15)
Packing charges	ŝ	149	(150)	11 765	(88)	19 834	(41)	6 543	(32)	14 442	(45)	706	101)	0	9 754 (	30)
Freight	Ŷ	1 646	(328)	5 486	(50)	5 649	(54)	2 398	(25)	7 188	(29)	5 718	(54)	622 (56)	4 501	33)
Other cash costs	ŝ	6 818	(55)	28 861	(26)	25 107	(21)	14 189	(21)	41 265	(30)	39 944	(20)	14 187 (58)	23 819 (	11)
Total cash costs	ŝ	184 801	(55)	472 952	(14)	552 352	(6)	353 321	(6)	424 301	(16)	409 041	(11)	248 652 (19)	403 992	$\geq$

Note: Figures in parentheses are standard errors (RSEs) expressed as a percentage of the estimate provided. A guide on how to use RSEs is in appendix A.

Australian vegetable growing farms: an economic survey, 2007-08 abare.gov.au 09.15

Financial performance of vegetable farms, by state, 2007-08 average per farm

6 4 (17) (13) (34)  $\bigcirc$ 6 -1 390 (120) 8 00 Australia 33 666 10 74 889 116610 4 378 346 570 089 13 56 152 56 112 394 4 2 872 202 87 ഗ 403 992 166 097 (12) 2 513 910 (10) 1 924 875 (20) (53) 428 488 (20) 248 652 (19) 179 836 (22) 19 269 (22) 6 (43) 05 585 (40) (30) (42) (74) 180 753 (36) (26) F 91 68 759 91 808 Q 85 557 S m 0 0  $\infty$ 37 (19) (16) (45) (213)  $\bigcirc$ (48) (24) (23) (29) (26) (68)  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ Tas 34 266 43 348 122 74 618 375 465 9 518 494 109 453 19 31 961 46 270 602 12 3 4 85 409 041 (23) (16) (16) (40) (32) (36)  $\odot$ (62) (14) (139) MA 63 228 3 103 391 30 830 640 938 122 685 S 424 301 216 637 1 105 46 149 793 205 934 5 1 383 087 80  $\sim$ (13) (7) (20) 6 (18) 6 6 (100) 6  $\bigcirc$ (46) (76) SA 31 307 52 843 309 98 925 4 M σ 226 429 2 470 835 4 505 955 152 634 -1 175 59 58 396 353 321 91 21 67 (16) (12) (92) (15) 6 6 (386) 6 9 (16) (24) (103)  $(\mathfrak{C})$ (16) Qld 666 35 142 56 893 109 678 112 381 S 4 408 455 3 140 888 4 552 352 201 046 10 52 403 6 753 398 52 87 (15) (14) (26) (16) (14) (54)(33) (27) 4 (18) (69) (200) (27) (2) ٧ic 48 220 51 415 79 607 615 946 554 517 4 Ś ഹ 3 835 355 84  $\sim$ 472 952 81 565 23 -2 323 031 561 61 34 175 (37) (40) (11) (51) (50)(25) (213) (127) (547) (534)(181) (86) (16) NSW 21 336 -4 696 64 024 29 151 51 323 0 5 ഗ \$1 977 886 9 304 008  $\sim$ 64 -9 208 218 563 89 184 801 119 207 ŝ ŝ ŝ  $\sim \sim$ ŝ ŝ % ŝ % % % 88 % % % % of farms with negative % of farms with negative farm business profit Farm business profit Change in farm debt farm cash income Operator and family Debt servicing ratio **Fotal cash receipts** <sup>-</sup>arm cash income Profit at full equity Buildup in trading excl capital app. excl capital app. imputed labour during the year otal farm capital <sup>-</sup>arm equity ratio inc capital app. inc capital app. Total cash costs Fotal farm debt Rate of return Depreciation at 30 June at 30 June stocks

Note: Figures in parentheses are standard errors (RSEs) expressed as a percentage of the estimate provided. A guide on how to use RSEs is in appendix A.

Area irrigate	d and	irrigate	d vegetabl	e production, by	state, 2007-08	~		
average per farm								
	2	NSW	Vic	Qld	SA	WA	Tas	Z
ed								
ha	£	(62)	13 (23)	4 (31)	6 (30)	6 (29)	13 (13)	0
ha	-	(73)	0 (65)	2 (51)	2 (64)	0 (47)	0 (60)	4

		~	NSN		/ic	Ø	ld	0)	A	>	A	Та	S	NT	Australia	
Area irrigated					*****											:
Potatoes	ha	c	(62)	13	(23)	4	(31)	9	(30)	9	(29)	13	(13)	0	7 (12)	
Pumpkins	ha	-	(23)	0	(65)	2	(51)	2	(64)	0	(47)	0	(09)	4 (35)	1 (33)	
Green peas	ha	-	(68)	0		0	(86)	0		0		m	(31)	0	1 (29)	_
Beans	ha	0	(111)	Ω	(50)	9	(09)	0		0	112)	m	(33)	0	3 (37)	
Tomatoes	ha	-	(75)	m	(57)	m	(30)	0	(62)	-	(62)	0	110)	0	1 (26)	
Onions	ha	0	(104)	0	(20)	-	(61)	2	(38)	-	(43)	m	(30)	0	1 (23)	
Carrots	ha	0	(151)	-	(85)	-	(62)	2	(45)	2	(51)	m	(22)	0	1 (24)	_
Cauliflowers	ha	-	(67)	0	(09)	0	(48)	0	(64)	-	(46)	-	(34)	0	1 (22)	
Lettuce	ha	m	(43)	0	(54)	2	(29)		(48)	2	(56)	0		0	1 (22)	
Broccoli	ha	0	(137)	9	(30)		(57)	0	(69)	2	(44)		(38)	0	2 (22)	
Cabbage	ha	-	(23)	2	(75)	0	(27)	0	(41)	0	(63)	0	(88)	0	1 (40)	
Other vegetables	ha	4	(44)	∞	(22)	14	(22)	2	(29)	2	(24)	-	(41)	17 (19)	7 (14)	_
All vegetables	ha	16	(17)	39	(18)	35	(15)	16	(6)	18	(12)	28	(15)	20 (20)	27 (8)	_
Production																
Potatoes	t	101	(52)	524	(24)	124	(34)	240	(31)	301	(31)	730	(14)	0	294 (11)	
Pumpkins	t	29	(68)	-	(65)	27	(35)	14	(64)	18	(50)	5	(61)	112 (38)	18 (26)	_
Green peas	t	2	(73)	0		2	(81)	0		0		18	(32)	0	3 (28)	
Beans	t	m	(112)	24	(39)	39	(09)	0		0	112)	47	(49)	0	21 (34)	-
Tomatoes	t	52	(91)	131	(58)	66	(32)	2	(55)	19	(57)	0	110)	0	62 (30)	$\sim$
Onions	t	11	(113)	18	(74)	50	(71)	91	(41)	67	(47)	130	(27)	0	54 (23)	
Carrots	t	6	(151)	6	(20)	10	(09)	150	(44)	105	(26)	280	(28)	0	70 (21)	
Cauliflowers	t	13	(65)	15	(58)	14	(52)	4	(63)	37	(48)	36	(39)	0	18 (22)	
Lettuce	t	68	(48)	19	(09)	72	(27)	29	(53)	60	(61)	0		0	46 (21)	
Broccoli	t	m	(134)	50	(25)	10	(52)	-	(69)	28	(43)	12	(39)	0	17 (18)	_
Cabbage	t	53	(100)	123	(78)	27	(84)	22	(44)	24	(69)	4	(94)	0	45 (46)	_
Other vegetables	t	92	(36)	93	(18)	190	(25)	45	(23)	57	(33)	13	(55)	350 (30)	101 (14)	-
All vegetables	t	437	(19)	1 009	(16)	663	(12)	599	(12)	715	(15)	1 274	(13)	462 (31)	748 (6)	

Note: Figures in parentheses are standard errors (RSEs) expressed as a percentage of the estimate provided. A guide on how to use RSEs is in appendix A.

		2	JSW	_	Vic	ð	a a	S	-	WA	Ĥ	as	μŢ	Australi	
Volume of irrigatic	on water a	oplied					-		-						
Potatoes	ML	10	(63)	50	(23)	13	(34)	39	(53)	19 (36)	58	(17)	0	28 (1	2)
Pumpkins	ML	4	(75)	0	(27)	2	(49)	13	(83)	2 (47)	1	(61)	22 (38)	4 (3	(8)
Green peas	ML	0	(92)	0		0	(68)	0		0	5	(51)	0	1 (4	†5)
Beans	ML	0	(108)	9	(32)	9	(09)	0		0 (112)	9	(30)	0	3	31)
Tomatoes	ML	5	(100)	13	(54)	9	(25)	<del>, -</del>	(49)	3 (70)	0		0	5	30)
Onions	ML	2	(104)	4	(0_2)	4	(61)	13	40)	4 (52)	10	(35)	0	6	23)
Carrots	ML		(151)	4	(27)	-	(68)	б	39)	3 (58)	10	(24)	0	4	22)
Cauliflowers	ML	0	(53)	5	(62)	2	(63)	7	(64)	7 (50)	4	(53)	0	3 (2	
Lettuce	ML	9	(99)	2	(59)	4	(33)	4	(48)	3 (49)	0		0	3	26)
Broccoli	ML	0	(85)	14	(25)	m	(62)	<del>, -</del>	(67)	14 (54)	£	(42)	0	5 (2	22)
Cabbage	ML	£	(110)	4	(99)	<del>, -</del>	(82)	m	38)	4 (68)	0	(85)	0	2 (3	36)
Other vegetables	ML	15	(51)	26	(28)	22	(21)	12	(23)	20 (27)	-	(40)	104 (20)	18 (1	3)
All vegetables	ML	46	(33)	127	(15)	65	(11)	96	10)	81 (23)	97	(18)	126 (20)	83	6
Irrigation water pe	er hectare														
Potatoes	ML / ha	£	(40)	4	(8)	m	(19)	9	(9)	3 (32)	4	(8)		4	(9)
Pumpkins	ML / ha	5	(11)	2	(46)	-	(62)	00	(16)	6 (24)	m	(6)	6 (12)	3 (3	35)
Green peas	ML / ha	0	(84)			0	(60				2	(34)		1 (3	38)
Beans	ML / ha	0	(4)	-	(36)	-	(33)				17		2 (18)	1 (2	(1)
Tomatoes	ML / ha	5	(45)	5	(18)	2	(13)	4	37)	6 (12)	0			4 (1	2)
Onions	ML / ha	9	(4)	6	(41)	m	(6)	∞	(5)	4 (57)	m	(12)		5 (1	3)
Carrots	ML / ha	S		2	(14)	2	(48)	4	15)	2 (50)	m	(6)		3 (1	-
Cauliflowers	ML / ha		(26)	13	(51)	9	(48)	7	(9)	5 (29)	£	(33)		5 (2	33)
Lettuce	ML / ha	2	(20)	4	(30)	2	(18)	Ś	(22)	2 (39)				2 (2	[]
Broccoli	ML / ha	0	(124)	2	(29)	7	(16)	Ś	(6)	6 (34)	m	(13)		3 (2	(1)
Cabbage	ML / ha	ĉ	(46)	2	(14)	m	(13)	9	14)	11 (12)	-	(2)		3 (1	(8
Other vegetables	ML / ha	4	(29)	m	(26)	2	(19)	9	16)	8 (16)	-	(37)	6 (13)	3 (1	3)
All vegetables	ML / ha	m	(26)	m	(6)	2	(14)	9	(2)	4 (20)	m	(6)	6 (11)	m	(9)

All vegetables

Note: Figures in parentheses are standard errors (RSEs) expressed as a percentage of the estimate provided. A guide on how to use RSEs is in appendix A.

	Ň	SW	Vic		QId		SA		WA		Tas		NT	Australia	a
Potatoes	372	(26)	182	(6)	353	(17)	179	6	285 (E		217	(9)		225	(9)
Including imputed labour	409	(23)	205	(6)	374	(16)	183	(2)	302 (7	(	225	(9)		240	(9)
Pumpkins	355	(67)	600	(12)	1034	(30)	533	(14)	469 (41	(		27	11) (11)	620	27)
Including imputed labour	381	(68)	649	(2)	1067	(29)	636	(20)	526 (41	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		30	)5 (9)	661	27)
Green beans			377	(41)	665	(16)					389 (	(8)		531	17)
Including imputed labour			377	(41)	683	(14)				-	410	(8)		549	16)
Tomatoes	466	(78)	341	(36)	1171	(6)			602 (36	()				716	21)
Including imputed labour	598	(77)	354	(36)	1184	(6)			991 (5	0				764	20)
Onions			382	(41)	444	(26)	295	(16)	477 (12	0	154 (	7)		347	(11)
Including imputed labour			384	(41)	466	(26)	304	(16)	491 (12	0	160 (	7)		361	(11)
Carrots			257	(4)	148	(13)	250	(10)	74 (25	0				208	14)
Including imputed labour			260	(4)	154	(14)	259	(6)	77 (24	(				213	13)
Cauliflowers	409	(168)	742	(13)	988	(35)			609 (12		407	1)		664	20)
Including imputed labour	664	(26)	755	(14)	1001	(34)			639 (12		424	2)		727	18)
Lettuce	203	(141)	437	(14)	925	(12)	631	(15)	637 (32	()				601	21)
Including imputed labour	232	(123)	466	(12)	960	(12)	654	(15)	655 (32	()				629	20)
Broccoli			822	(45)	1221	(14)			1442 (11	(	932 (	(2)		1074	27)
Including imputed labour			845	(45)	1262	(14)			1520 (10	(	951 (	(2)		1113	27)
Cabbage	146	(29)	85	(82)	301	(89)	477	(19)	872 (3					214	41)
Including imputed labour	207	(48)	91	(82)	328	(88)	512	(23)	895 (5	0				242	41)

Australian vegetable growing farms: an economic survey, 2007-08 abare.gov.au 09.15

Selected physical estimates of vegetable farms, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08 oer farm

Selecte	average p
C	)
	-

						area sown	to vegetable	Sé			
		less tha	Ц	5 to	20	20	) to 70	more th	lan		
		5 hectar	es	hect	ares	he	ctares	70 hecta	ires	allfa	rms
Population	no.	1 464		980		1 012		325		3 781	
Sample	no.	59		58		106		65		288	
Total area operated	ha	83	(35)	102	(67)	227	(15)	815	(20)	189	(14)
Age of operator/owner	years	53	(5)	50	(5)	58	(4)	53	(3)	54	(3)
Seasonal conditions for v	egetable grc	wing									
Above average	%	11	(36)	4	(42)	9	(49)	10	(35)	8	(23)
Average	%	30	(23)	39	(22)	41	(20)	33	(17)	36	(12)
Below average	%	38	(29)	48	(23)	36	(17)	42	(13)	40	(13)
Drought	%	21	(44)	6	(58)	18	(30)	14	(33)	17	(25)
Flood	%	0		0		0		0		0	
Educational attainment c	operator/o	wner									
Primary school completed	%	8	(09)	с	(110)	6	(64)	2	(51)	9	(39)
Year 10 or less	%	34	(23)	53	(17)	48	(15)	37	(17)	43	(10)
Year 11 or 12	%	34	(22)	23	(30)	18	(21)	26	(20)	26	(14)
Trade apprenticeship/tech	nnical %	8	(47)	10	(35)	15	(21)	13	(35)	11	(18)
University education	%	16	(40)	12	(53)	10	(40)	16	(30)	13	(24)
<b>Business structure</b>											
Sole operator	%	31	(21)	33	(23)	7	(59)	6	(38)	23	(15)
Partnership	%	69	(6)	99	(12)	86	(9)	68	(2)	73	(5)
Company	%	0		1	(62)	7	(49)	20	(22)	4	(27)

Vegetable yields, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08 average per farm

averag

A11

		less tha	Ц	5 to	20	20	to 70	more th	an		
		5 hectar	es	hecta	ares	he	ctares	70 hecta	res	all far	ms
	ha	1	(48)	2	(20)	10	(16)	52	(14)	00	(10)
vested	t	27	(42)	94	(24)	418	(13)	2 208	(14)	336	(6)
	t/ha	33	(18)	40	(11)	40	(2)	43	(5)	41	(4)
	ha	na		-	(57)	2	(45)	m	(31)	-	(30)
vested	t	na		13	(46)	31	(42)	55	(35)	17	(25)
	t/ha	na		24	(27)	13	(34)	22	(12)	18	(22)
	ha	na		na		na		11	(23)	-	(21)
rvested	t	na		na		na		29	(22)	£	(20)
	t/ha	na		na		na		£	(16)	C	(14)
	ha	na		-	(72)	2	(20)	21	(48)	m	(37)
vested	t	na		∞	(09)	14	(56)	154	(45)	19	(34)
	t/ha	na		8	(19)	7	(21)	7	(25)	7	(18)
	ha	0	(50)	na		-	(61)	10	(37)	1	(28)
vested	t	10	(40)	na		57	(59)	413	(47)	56	(34)
	t/ha	35	(52)	na		53	(14)	43	(22)	44	(15)
	ha	na		0	(54)	2	(26)	7	(35)	1	(22)
rvested	t	na		11	(56)	61	(26)	345	(36)	49	(23)
	t/ha	na		46	(19)	40	(15)	48	(12)	45	(6)
	ha	na		na		2	(24)	17	(51)	2	(39)
vested	t	na		na		113	(23)	784	(55)	98	(38)
	t/ha	na		na		99	(14)	46	(15)	51	(11)

rea of vegetables sown, 2007-08	
Vegetable yields, by a	average per farm continued
Vé	ave

A11

						area sown	to vegetable	S			
		less tha	L	5 to	20	20	to 70	more th	ian		
		5 hectar	es	hect	ares	he	ctares	70 hecta	ires	all far	ms
Cauliflowers											
Area sown	ha	na		-	(51)	-	(33)	2	(28)	-	(21)
Quantity harvested	t	na		15	(65)	25	(36)	73	(31)	17	(24)
Yield	t/ha	na		21	(29)	26	(14)	31	(8)	26	(6)
Lettuce											
Area sown	ha	0	(54)	na		c	(31)	5	(31)	-	(22)
Quantity harvested	t	4	(57)	na		82	(29)	204	(31)	42	(20)
Yield	t/ha	69	(26)	na		27	(11)	38	(8)	32	(8)
Broccoli											
Area sown	ha	na		0	(63)	2	(41)	15	(29)	2	(23)
Quantity harvested	t	na		9	(75)	18	(39)	116	(24)	16	(20)
rield	t/ha	na		13	(24)	12	(29)	80	(20)	6	(16)
Cabbage											
Area sown	ha	na		0	(64)	-	(51)	4	(65)	-	(37)
Quantity harvested	t	na		20	(59)	64	(65)	252	(71)	44	(43)
rield	t/ha	na		53	(6)	55	(16)	63	(8)	58	(8)
Other vegetables											
Area sown	ha	-	(33)	4	(15)	∞	(20)	36	(22)	7	(12)
Quantity harvested	t	25	(19)	52	(24)	136	(24)	444	(21)	98	(13)
Yield	t/ha	25	(33)	12	(20)	17	(21)	12	(15)	14	(11)
All vegetables											
Area sown	ha	2	(8)	11	(2)	34	(3)	183	(10)	29	(9)
Quantity harvested	t	69	(16)	227	(12)	1022	(2)	5077	(10)	796	(9)
Yield	t/ha	31	(14)	22	(10)	30	(9)	28	(10)	28	(9)

Australian vegetable growing farms: an economic survey, 2007-08 abare.gov.au 09.15
80	
07-	
20	
ND.	•
SO	
oles	
tak	
ede	)
of v	
ea	
/ ari	
á	
rms	
e fai	
able	
aeta	
veo	,
of	
ipts	-
e Ce	
h r	
cas	
Ľ	
Fa	

A12

rm cash receipts of vegetat	rage per farm
Farm	average

						area sown	to vegetab	les			
		less tha	ч	5 to	20	20	to 70	more th	an		
		5 hectar	es	hecti	ares	hed	tares	70 hecta	res	all far	ns
Cash receipts											
Potatoes	÷	12 536	(35)	38 087	(39)	144 857	(16)	699 459	(14)	113 596	(10)
Pumpkins	÷	1 516	(75)	6 558	(20)	10 956	(38)	31 005	(44)	7 883	(24)
Green peas	÷	0		1 832	(87)	2 901	(78)	59 331	(33)	6 349	(29)
Beans	÷	24	(94)	7 511	(72)	6 290	(52)	150 099	(63)	16 537	(50)
Tomatoes	÷	19 096	(36)	7 806	(72)	41 225	(87)	480 381	(32)	61 730	(27)
Onions	÷	2 152	(133)	3 969	(09)	27 331	(35)	150 915	(35)	22 144	(24)
Carrots	÷	0		0		26 848	(29)	341 967	(63)	36 570	(51)
Cauliflowers	Ŷ	295	(112)	11 769	(74)	15 935	(31)	67 729	(33)	13 249	(24)
Lettuce	÷	11 523	(54)	6 602	(94)	62 936	(26)	185 667	(35)	38 971	(20)
Broccoli	÷	306	(62)	7 795	(67)	22 530	(40)	164 092	(26)	22 269	(21)
Cabbage	÷	652	(80)	8 358	(02)	22 102	(47)	43 481	(41)	12 070	(29)
Other vegetables	Ŷ	54 865	(17)	71 585	(29)	135 512	(18)	511875	(33)	120 051	(14)
Other cash receipts	÷	19 664	(31)	73 906	(13)	137 051	(15)	409 915	(14)	98 670	(8)
Total cash receipts	ŝ	122 630	(11)	245 778	(13)	656 475	(8)	3 295 915	(8)	570 089	(5)
% of cash receipts from											
vegetables	%	84	(5)	70	(2)	79	(4)	88	(2)	83	(2)
Note: Figures in parentheses are sta	ndard error	s (RSEs) expresse	d as a perce	ntage of the estim	ate provided	1. A guide on how	to use RSEs is	in appendix A.			

Quantity sold, value of production a and price received, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08 average perfarm A13

404 (13) 2 185 (1   6 988 (15) 702 574 (1   359 (8) 320 (   30 (42) 55 (3   8016 (39) 31 005 (4   359 (22) 564 (1
30 (42) 8 016 (39) 359 (22)
30 (42) 8 016 (39) 359 (22)
8 016 359
(17)

area	
<u>y</u> d	
received,	
price	
<sub>a</sub> and	
production	
of p	
value	
' sold,	
Quantity	

of vegetables sown, 2007-08

average per farm continued

A13

						area sown	to vegetable	S			
		less tha	L	5 to	20	20	to 70	more th	an		
		5 hectar	es	hecta	ares	hed	ctares	70 hecta	res	all far	ns
Cauliflowers											
Quantity sold	t	na		15	(65)	25	(36)	73	(31)	17	(24)
Value of production	Ŷ	na		11 769	(74)	16 284	(31)	65 463	(34)	13 147	(25)
Price received	\$ / t	na		790	(22)	640	(17)	927	(18)	785	(12)
Lettuce											
Quantity sold	t	4	(55)	na		82	(29)	204	(31)	42	(20)
Value of production	÷¢	11 527	(54)	na		62 996	(26)	187 339	(35)	39 133	(20)
Price received	\$ / t	2 867	(19)	na		771	(14)	910	(10)	937	(10)
Broccoli											
Quantity sold	t	na		9	(75)	18	(39)	145	(24)	19	(20)
Value of production	÷	na		7 795	(67)	22 516	(40)	156 750	(25)	21 634	(20)
Price received	\$ / t	na		1 375	(20)	1 284	(15)	1 135	(19)	1 195	(13)
Cabbage											
Quantity sold	t	na		20	(59)	64	(65)	252	(71)	44	(43)
Value of production	Ŷ	na		8 358	() ()	22 149	(47)	43 481	(41)	12 082	(29)
Price received	\$ / t	na		424	(50)	346	(26)	172	(42)	274	(28)
Other vegetables											
Quantity sold	t	25	(18)	52	(24)	135	(24)	491	(21)	102	(13)
Value of production	Ŷ	55 209	(16)	71 846	(29)	135 678	(18)	517 162	(33)	120 751	(14)
Price received	\$ / t	2 160	(20)	1 375	(20)	1 004	(24)	1 043	(29)	1 181	(14)
All vegetables											
Quantity sold	t	68	(15)	219	(13)	989	(2)	5 013	(6)	779	(9)
Value of production	Ŷ	103 314	(12)	173 568	(18)	518 996	(6)	2 939 311	(10)	476 460	(9)
Price received	\$ / t	1515	(16)	784	(15)	525	(6)	576	(8)	605	(9)
na Not available because of insur a Includes revenue received from ллFigures in parentheses are star	fficient sample n the sale of ve ndard errors (R	points. getables grown SEs) expressed a	in the financi s a percentag	al year plus receil e of the estimate	ots carried o provided. A	rer to the followin a uide on how to	g financial year. use RSEs is in ap	pendix A.			-
ллFigures in parentheses are sta	ndard errors (R	SEs) expressed a	s a percentag	e of the estimate	Provided. A	guide on how to	use RSEs is in ap	pendix A.			

Farm cash costs of vegetable farms, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08 average per farm

A14 Farm of average I

						area sown	to vegetable:	S			
		less tha	L	5 to	20	20	to 70	more th	an		
		5 hectar	es	hecta	ares	he	ctares	70 hecta	res	all far	ms
Hired labour	÷	10 306	(32)	24 209	(28)	74 073	(21)	537 218	(13)	76 251	(10)
Fertiliser	Ŷ	9 398	(26)	16 226	(15)	48 087	(11)	258 183	(6)	42 899	(9)
Contracts paid	Ş	4 934	(46)	13 496	(54)	44 903	(19)	262 773	(15)	40 005	(12)
Seed	Ş	6 703	(16)	10 810	(23)	27 111	(12)	185 723	(11)	28 612	(8)
Fuel, oil and grease	Ŷ	4815	(16)	11 228	(16)	34 093	(10)	149 958	(10)	26 784	(9)
Crop and pasture chemicals	Ş	3 883	(22)	7 320	(18)	24 080	(14)	132 178	(10)	21 203	$( \angle )$
Repairs –motor vehicles											
and plant	Ŷ	4 981	(19)	10 050	(16)	27 620	(11)	116 115	(6)	21 903	(9)
Interest	Ş	8 004	(20)	12 094	(25)	27 956	(13)	163 162	(14)	27 736	(6)
Repairs –buildings											
and structures	Ŷ	5 793	(23)	5 667	(25)	13 005	(14)	70 692	(19)	13 267	(11)
Electricity	Ş	2 875	(25)	4 315	(10)	10 285	(8)	53 401	(14)	9 573	(8)
Administration	Ş	3 838	(11)	6 714	(15)	11 424	(8)	45 432	(14)	10 187	$( \geq )$
Land rent expense	Ŷ	857	(57)	2 983	(20)	8 298	(22)	58 231	(15)	8 330	(12)
Insurance	Ŷ	1 980	(14)	3 227	(18)	7 084	(6)	22 580	(13)	5 439	$( \succeq )$
Rates	Ŷ	3 613	(16)	4 170	(25)	10 099	(13)	25 683	(12)	7 390	(8)
Lease payments	Ŷ	277	(49)	532	(78)	4 092	(34)	11 670	(37)	2 343	(23)
Produce purchased	Ŷ	560	(109)	78	(140)	603	(102)	2 269	(72)	593	(54)
Motor vehicle expense	Ŷ	1 782	(23)	1 658	(28)	3 873	(11)	16 255	(20)	3 553	(21)
Plant hire expense	Ŷ	619	(68)	738	(41)	4 118	(38)	18 702	(23)	3 140	(18)
Packing materials	÷	3 411	(33)	9 429	(20)	18 422	(35)	93 261	(24)	16 708	(16)
Packing charges	Ŷ	1 157	(35)	3 934	(54)	6 561	(31)	75 999	(45)	9 754	(31)
Freight	Ş	339	(44)	1 561	(30)	4 470	(27)	32 219	(34)	4 501	(22)
Other cash costs	Ŷ	5 242	(40)	15 865	(26)	28 381	(17)	117 327	(16)	23 819	(10)
Total cash costs	Ŷ	85 367	(12)	166 304	(16)	438 638	(10)	2 449 030	(8)	403 992	(5)
Note: Figures in parentheses are stand	dard error:	s (RSEs) expresse	d as a percer	ntage of the estim	ate provided	. A guide on how	to use RSEs is in	appendix A.			

Financial performance of vegetable farms, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08 average perfarm

A15 Financi

						area sown	to vegeta	ibles			
		less tha	u	5 to	20	20	to 70	more th	han		
		5 hectai	res	hecti	ares	he	ctares	70 hect.	ares	allfa	rms
Total cash receipts	Ŷ	122 630	(11)	245 778	(13)	656 475	(8)	3 295 915	(8)	570 089	(5)
Total cash costs	Ş	85 367	(12)	166 304	(16)	438 638	(10)	2 449 030	(8)	403 992	(2)
Farm cash income	Ŷ	37 263	(18)	79 474	(13)	217 836	(10)	846 886	(15)	166 097	(8)
Proportion of farms with											
negative farm cash income	%	22	(46)	00	(59)	ω	(25)	7	(20)	13	(31)
Buildup in trading stocks	Ŷ	- 348	(100)	-1 117	(71)	-3 432	(118)	- 548	(715)	-1 390	(83)
Depreciation	Ŷ	9 885	(8)	20 525	(15)	43 392	(9)	150 196	(11)	33 666	(2)
Operator and family											
imputed labour	Ŷ	46 402	(6)	52 550	(6)	68 323	(2)	73 054	(5)	56 152	(4)
Farm business profit	Ŷ	-19 372	(31)	5 282	(181)	102 690	(20)	623 088	(19)	74 889	(16)
Propotion of farms with											
negative farm business profi	t	70	(10)	64	(13)	37	(18)	26	(21)	56	$( \geq )$
Profit at full equity											
excl capital appreciation	Ŷ	-10 390	(09)	20 668	(47)	141 756	(15)	850 997	(14)	112 394	(11)
inc capital appreciation	$\sim$	5 880	(256)	39 894	(191)	83 472	(117)	950 267	(14)	116 610	(30)
Rate of return											
excl capital appreciation	%		(62)		(26)	m	(20)	6	(14)	4	(13)
inc capital appreciation	%	-	(255)	2	(196)	2	(125)	10	(14)	4	(33)
Change in farm debt											
during the year	%	0	(1307)	- 4	(27)	4	(132)	19	(33)	10	(35)
Total farm debt at 30 June	Ş	105 960	(21)	162 878	(24)	369 708	(17)	2 321 391	(17)	378 346	(10)
Total farm capital at 30 June	Ŷ	998 654	(8)	1 824 399	(34)	4 455 872	(12)	9 679 666	(2)	2 872 202	(8)
Farm equity ratio	%	89	(3)	91	(4)	92	(1)	76	(4)	87	(2)
Debt servicing ratio	%	7	(20)	5	(24)	4	(13)	5	(13)	5	(8)

Australian vegetable growing farms: an economic survey, 2007-08 abare.gov.au 09.15

Area irrigated and irrigated vegetable production, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08 average per farm A16

Res than 5 to 20 20 to 70 more than   Area intrgated I as than 5 to 20 20 to 70 more than   Area intrgated I and intrace <thi and="" i<="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>area sown</th><th>to vegetable:</th><th>S</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></thi>							area sown	to vegetable:	S			
Area intigate Area int			less tha 5 hectar	LI Ve	5 to hert	. 20 ares	20 hei	to 70 rtares	more th 70 hecta	an rec	allfar	v m
Portaces ha 1 (a) 3 (b) (c)	Area irrigated			3		2	2			2	2	2
	Potatoes	ha	-	(49)	c	(29)	10	(15)	43	(14)	7	(6)
	Pumpkins	ha	0	(85)	-	(69)	2	(46)	ſ	(31)	-	(31)
	Green peas	ha	0		0	(52)	0	(63)	4	(33)	-	(26)
	Beans	ha	0	(64)	-	(69)	2	(72)	25	(49)	ŝ	(38)
	Tomatoes	ha	0	(50)	0	(82)	1	(61)	12	(37)	-	(28)
	Onions	ha	0	(133)	0	(54)	1	(26)	6	(35)	-	(23)
	Carrots	ha	0		0		2	(24)	10	(34)	-	(23)
	Cauliflowers	ha	0	(112)	-	(107)	1	(33)	ſ	(28)	-	(29)
	Lettuce	ha	0	(58)	0	(27)	m	(31)	7	(31)	-	(22)
	Broccoli	ha	0	(56)	-	(53)	2	(41)	16	(28)	2	(22)
Other vegetables ha 1 (36) 3 (23) 8 (20) 42 (23) 7 (13)   All vegetables ha 2 (9) 10 (8) 34 (3) 178 (3) 7 (3)   Production t 27 (4) 112 (26) 34 (3) 178 (3) 27 (7)   Production t 27 (4) 112 (26) 34 (3) 178 (3) 29 (3) 29 (3) 29 (3) 29 (3) 29 (3) 29 (3) 29 (3) 29	Cabbage	ha	0	(85)	0	(110)	1	(51)	5	(89)	-	(41)
All vegetablesha2(9)10(8)34(3)178(13)27(7)ProductionProduction $1$ $2$ (4) $112$ (26)403(13) $1830$ (13) $294$ (9)Productionst $2$ (4) $112$ (26) $403$ (13) $1830$ (13) $294$ (9)Productionst $0$ $9$ $0$ $2$ (6) $2$ (6) $24$ (29) $294$ (9)Productionst $1$ $0$ $9$ $7$ $710$ $59$ $59$ $247$ $29$ $29$ $23$ $24$ $21$ $23$ Productionst $1$ $133$ $14$ $560$ $61$ $26$ $413$ $32$ $247$ $24$	Other vegetables	ha	-	(36)	£	(23)	Ø	(20)	42	(22)	7	(13)
Production 1 27 (44) 112 (26) 403 (13) 1830 (13) 294 (9)   Potatoes t 3 (07) 12 (53) 32 (42) (67) (35) 18 (50)   Pumpkins t 0 94 112 (53) 32 (42) (67) (35) 18 (50)   Pumpkins t 0 94 10 (58) 32 (42) (67) (35) 18 (50) (51)	All vegetables	ha	2	(6)	10	(8)	34	(3)	178	(13)	27	(2)
	Production											
	Potatoes	t	27	(44)	112	(26)	403	(13)	1 830	(13)	294	(6)
	Pumpkins	t	£	(107)	12	(53)	32	(42)	67	(35)	18	(26)
Beanst0(94)10(58)15(58)180(40)21(35)Tomatoest10(39)7(71)59(59)500(43)62(32)Tomatoest1(133)14(56)61(26)418(36)54(24)Onionst0(112)16(145)26(36)85(32)70(31)Carliffowerst0(112)16(145)26(36)85(32)18(35)Lettucett6(79)85(29)247(31)46(1)Brocolitt0(99)10(85)66(65)3017345(4)Cabbagett24(20)247(31)74(1)(1)Under vegetablestt20(49)100(85)66(65)53321)101(1)M vegetablestt239(14)1024(7)4785(8)74869748 <t< td=""><td>Green peas</td><td>t</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>(69)</td><td>2</td><td>(58)</td><td>24</td><td>(29)</td><td>ŝ</td><td>(24)</td></t<>	Green peas	t	0		2	(69)	2	(58)	24	(29)	ŝ	(24)
	Beans	t	0	(64)	10	(58)	15	(58)	180	(46)	21	(35)
	Tomatoes	t	10	(39)	7	(71)	59	(59)	500	(43)	62	(32)
Carrots t 0 0 117 (23) 472 (34) 70 (21)   Caulifilowers t 0 (112) 16 (445) 26 (36) 85 (32) 18 (35)   Lettuce t 4 (58) 3 (79) 85 (29) 247 (31) 46 (21)   Broccoli t 0 (56) 7 (55) 18 (39) 128 (23) 17 (19)   Cabbage t 0 (99) 10 (85) 66 (65) 301 (73) 45 (17)   Other vegetables t 239 (14) 1024 (7) 4785 (8) 748 (5)	Onions	t	-	(133)	14	(26)	61	(26)	418	(36)	54	(24)
Cauliflowers t 0 (112) 16 (145) 26 (36) 85 (32) 18 (31)   Lettuce t 4 (58) 3 (79) 85 (29) 247 (31) 46 (21)   Broccoli t 0 (56) 7 (55) 18 (39) 128 (20) 17 (19)   Broccoli t 0 (99) 10 (85) 66 (65) 301 (73) 45 (17)   Other vegetables t 24 (20) 144 (27) 140 (25) 533 (21) 101 (13)   Mu vegetables t 69 (17) 239 (14) 1024 (7) 4785 (8) 748 (5)	Carrots	t	0		0		117	(23)	472	(34)	70	(21)
Lettuce t 4 (58) 3 (79) 85 (29) 247 (31) 46 (21)   Broccoli t 0 (56) 7 (55) 18 (39) 128 (22) 17 (19)   Cabbage t 0 (99) 10 (85) 66 (65) 301 (73) 45 (47)   Other vegetables t 24 (20) 44 (27) 140 (25) 533 (21) 101 (13)   All vegetables t 69 (17) 239 (14) 1024 (7) 4785 (8) 748 (5)	Cauliflowers	t	0	(112)	16	(145)	26	(36)	85	(32)	18	(35)
Broccoli t 0 (56) 7 (55) 18 (39) 128 (22) 17 (19)   Cabbage t 0 (99) 10 (85) 66 (65) 301 (73) 45 (47)   Other vegetables t 224 (20) 44 (27) 140 (25) 533 (21) 101 (13)   All vegetables t 69 (17) 239 (14) 1024 (7) 4785 (8) 748 (5)	Lettuce	t	4	(58)	£	(62)	85	(29)	247	(31)	46	(21)
Cabbage t 0 (9) 10 (85) 66 (65) 301 (73) 45 (47)   Other vegetables t 24 (20) 44 (27) 140 (25) 533 (21) 101 (13)   All vegetables t 69 (17) 239 (14) 1024 (7) 4785 (8) 748 (5)	Broccoli	t	0	(56)	7	(55)	18	(39)	128	(22)	17	(19)
Other vegetables t 24 (20) 44 (27) 140 (25) 533 (21) 101 (13)   All vegetables t 69 (17) 239 (14) 1024 (7) 4785 (8) 748 (5)	Cabbage	t	0	(66)	10	(85)	99	(65)	301	(73)	45	(47)
All vegetables t 69 (17) 239 (14) 1024 (7) 4785 (8) 748 (5)	Other vegetables	t	24	(20)	44	(27)	140	(25)	533	(21)	101	(13)
	All vegetables	t	69	(17)	239	(14)	1 024	(2)	4 785	(8)	748	(2)

Volume of irrigation water used and use per hectare, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08

Volume of irri	average per farm
717	

					are	ea sown t	o vegetables:				
		less	than	5 to	20	201	to 70	mor	e than		
		5 he	ctares	hect	ares	hec	tares	70 he	ectares	all	farms
Volume of irrigation water a	applied										
Potatoes	ML	2	(50)	13	(28)	44	(16)	157	(15)	28	(10)
Pumpkins	ML	0	(67)	e	(63)	∞	(55)	7	(37)	4	(38)
Green peas	ML	0		0	(69)	0	(66)	7	(46)	-	(40)
Beans	ML	0	(94)	-	(54)	4	(74)	25	(33)	m	(32)
Tomatoes	ML		(34)	-	(75)	9	(46)	39	(46)	5	(30)
Onions	ML	0	(133)	-	(65)	7	(32)	43	(32)	9	(23)
Carrots	ML	0		0		7	(25)	25	(34)	4	(21)
Cauliflowers	ML	0	(112)	2	(185)	m	(34)	22	(42)	m	(38)
Lettuce	ML	0	(09)	0	(89)	7	(44)	13	(33)	£	(29)
Broccoli	ML	0	(85)	2	(77)	4	(33)	47	(29)	5	(22)
Cabbage	ML	0	(65)	-	(87)	4	(09)	13	(56)	2	(38)
Other vegetables	ML	9	(32)	11	(28)	17	(23)	102	(23)	18	(13)
All vegetables	ML	6	(19)	36	(14)	112	(10)	501	(10)	83	(9)
Irrigation water per hectare											
Potatoes M	IL / ha	2	(44)	5	(13)	4	(6)	4	(6)	4	(9)
Pumpkins M	IL / ha	2	(31)	9	(12)	m	(52)	2	(15)	m	(34)
Green peas M	IL / ha			-	(40)	0	(56)	2	(39)	-	(35)
Beans M	IL / ha			-	(35)	2	(9)	-	(24)	-	(21)
Tomatoes M	IL / ha	m	(51)	9	(10)	2	(29)	m	(22)	4	(15)
Onions M	IL / ha	18		e	(25)	5	(16)	5	(19)	5	(13)
Carrots M	IL / ha					4	(15)	2	(12)	£	(11)
Cauliflowers M	IL / ha			m	(94)	m	(23)	∞	(31)	5	(23)
Lettuce M	IL / ha	œ	(31)	5	(33)	2	(48)	2	(16)	2	(30)
Broccoli M	IL / ha	2	(55)	m	(63)	m	(35)	c	(28)	£	(22)
Cabbage M	IL / ha	S	(88)	4	(53)	m	(17)	c	(31)	Υ	(19)
Other vegetables M	IL / ha	7	(15)	4	(17)	2	(27)	2	(21)	Υ	(13)
All vegetables M	IL / ha	4	(22)	4	(12)	£	(6)	£	(10)	£	(9)
Note: Figures in parentheses are sta	ndard errors (RSEs) e	xpressec	d as a percentage of th	ne estima	te provided. A guid	de on how t	o use RSEs is in appen	dix A.			

duction
pro
vegetable
of
Costs

, by area of vegetables sown, 2007-08

average per farm A18

				10	area sown to	vegetables (\$/t	(			
	less	than	5 to	20	20	to 70	mor	e than		
	5 hec	ctares	hect	ares	he	ctares	70 he	ectares	allfa	rms
Potatoes	377	(32)	330	(18)	257	(2)	191	(5)	225	(5)
Including imputed labour	489	(21)	366	(18)	274	(9)	198	(5)	240	(5)
Pumpkins	na		478	(26)	287	(21)	na		620	(21)
Including imputed labour	na		539	(24)	323	(23)	na		661	(20)
Green beans	na		633	(29)	391	(15)	550	(22)	531	(17)
Including imputed labour	na		674	(29)	445	(13)	551	(22)	549	(16)
Tomatoes	1 337	(28)	na		489	(45)	756	(33)	716	(23)
Including imputed labour	1 659	(27)	na		512	(43)	765	(32)	764	(23)
Onions	na		260	(22)	385	(17)	319	(11)	347	(6)
Including imputed labour	na		274	(22)	411	(16)	325	(11)	361	(6)
Carrots	na		na		154	(17)	229	(14)	208	(14)
Including imputed labour	na		na		163	(17)	233	(14)	213	(13)
Cauliflowers	na		614	(21)	610	(19)	762	(22)	664	(13)
Including imputed labour	na		694	(16)	708	(17)	773	(22)	727	(11)
Lettuce	1 452	(27)	na		408	(31)	731	(15)	601	(16)
Including imputed labour	1 774	(25)	na		436	(29)	737	(15)	629	(16)
Broccoli	na		na		1 040	(14)	1 105	(32)	1 074	(21)
Including imputed labour	na		na		1 092	(14)	1 118	(32)	1 113	(21)
Cabbage	na		294	(65)	254	(49)	148	(84)	214	(43)
Including imputed labour	na		332	(58)	307	(55)	149	(84)	242	(43)
Note: Figures in parentheses are standard erro	ors (RSEs) expresse	ed as a percenta	je of the estim	ate provided. ,	A guide on how	to use RSEs is in app	oendix A.			

## References

ABARE 2009, Australian commodities, June quarter, 09.2, Canberra, June.

ABARE 2009, Australian farm survey results 2006-07 to 2008-09, Canberra, April.

- Crooks, S 2009, *Australian vegetable growing farms an economic survey, 2006-07*, ABARE eReport 09.3, Canberra, January.
- Ashton, D 2007, Australian vegetable growing industry an economic survey, 2005-06, ABARE eReport 07.17, Canberra, October.
- Apted, S, Berry, P, Short, C, Topp, V, Mazur, K and Mellor, T 2006, *International competitiveness of the Australian vegetable production sector*, ABARE eReport 06.5, Canberra, April.
- Lehton, R and Pahkinen, E 2004, *Practical Methods for design and analysis of complex surveys 2nd edition*, John Wiley and sons, West Sussex.

**RESEARCH FUNDING** ABARE relies on financial support from external organisations to complete its research program. As at the date of this publication, the following organisations had provided financial support for ABARE's research program in 2008-09 and in 2009-10. We gratefully acknowledge this assistance.

## AusAid

Australian Fisheries Management Authority
Australian Government Department of Climate Change
Australian Government Department of the Envi- ronment, Water , Heritage and the Arts
Australian Government Department of Resources Energy and Tourism
CRC Plant Biosecurity
CSIRO (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation)
Dairy Australia
Department of Primary Industries, Victoria
DN Harris and Associates

European commission Fisheries Research and Development Corporation Fisheries Resources Research Fund Forest and Wood Products Australia Grains Research and Development Corporation Grape and Wine Research and Development Corporation Horticulture Australia International Food Policy Research Institute Land and Water Australia Meat and Livestock Australia National Australia Bank OFCD Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation The Treasury