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# Introduction

Draft components of the national Aquatic Ecosystems Toolkit, developed by the Aquatic Ecosystems Task Group (AETG), were trialled in the Lake Eyre Basin. Trial application of module 3 was undertaken by a multijurisdictional team, led by Jennifer Hale, and module 4 by Jennifer Hale and Shane Brooks.

The base information developed and collated was done so within time and resource constraints and with regard to the objectives of the High Ecological Value Aquatic Ecosystems (HEVAE) trial and the HEVAE ‘Framework’ as it was at that time. Therefore, while the ancillary outcomes of this project such as the aquatic ecosystem mapping may be fit for the purpose of this trial, they should not be considered final products.

Note that at the time the trial was undertaken:

* The terminology ‘High Conservation Value Aquatic Ecosystems’ (HCVAE) was still in use. However, to reflect the change in name to ‘High Ecological Value Aquatic Ecosystems’, the term HEVAE has been used in this case study, consistent with the other toolkit documents.
* Module 3: Guidelines for Identifying High Ecological Value Aquatic Ecosystems (HEVAE) was known as the HEVAE Framework.
* There were six HEVAE criteria; ‘evolutionary history’ has since been incorporated into ‘distinctiveness’.