

CAM Assessment

Kosciuszko Galaxias *Galaxias supremus*

Assessment outcome: CRITICALLY ENDANGERED Category: IUCN category criteria B1ab(i, ii, iii, iv)

The Fisheries Scientific Committee, established under Part 7A of the *Fisheries Management Act* 1994 (the Act), has assessed the *Galaxias supremus* (Kosciuszko Galaxias) under the Common Assessment Method and has determined that it is eligible to be listed as a CRITICALLY ENDANGERED SPECIES.

Species information and status

a) Species: Galaxias supremus (Kosciuszko Galaxias)

b) Taxonomy

Galaxias supremus Raadik, 2014 – Kosciuszko Galaxias (family Galaxiidae [Galaxiformes]) is a valid recognised taxon and is a species as defined in the Fisheries Management Act 1994.

Galaxias supremus was initially considered part of *Galaxias olidus* Günther, 1866. Genetic analysis found 15 genetically-defined candidate taxa which were then found to be morphologically discernible from each other (<u>Raadik 2011</u>, <u>Adams *et al.* 2014</u>). Consequently *G. olidus* sensu lato (s.l.) were defined as a hyper-cryptic species complex of distinct species, all valid under multiple species concepts (<u>Raadik 2014</u>). This grouping of taxa is herein referred to as the '*Galaxias olidus* complex', or 'upland galaxiids'.

c) Current conservation status

(CAM Assessment <i>Galaxias supremus</i>
Prepared by the	NSW Fisheries Scientific Committee
	May 2022

Jurisdiction	State / Territory in which the species is listed	Date listed or assessed (or N/A)	Listing category known
International (IUCN Red List)	IUCN	2019	Critically Endangered [A3bce, B1ab(i,ii,iii,iv,v)+2ab(i,ii, iii,iv,v)]
National (EPBC Act)	Not listed	Not listed	N/A
New South Wales	Not listed	Not listed	N/A

d) Description of species

Galaxias supremus (Figure 1) is morphologically very similar to other members in the *G. olidus* complex. Average recorded length is 80–85 millimetres (length to caudal fork (LCF)) and maximum LCF is 96 mm. The species can be differentiated from other members of the *G. olidus* complex by a combination of: Eight pelvic fin rays, a distinctly shorter lower jaw, relatively shallow body depth, short and shallow caudal peduncle, long snout, small eyes, dorsal and anal fins being short with the anal fin set well back at about 85% from the front of the dorsal fin (the furthest back of all members of the species complex). Body has a distinctive mottled pattern and lacks black, ovoid bars along the side (<u>Raadik 2014</u>). *Galaxias supremus* are known to hybridise with *G. olidus* (Adams et al. 2014).



Figure 1. Galaxias supremus (Rudie Kuiter).

e) Distribution of species

Galaxias supremus has only been found at a small number of sites within upper Snowy River near Mount Kosciuszko, and an unnamed drainage line on the upper slopes of Mount Kosciuszko near Rawson's Pass. All sites are within Kosciuszko National Park in New South Wales (<u>Raadik and Kuiter 2002, Raadik 2014</u>) at elevations of 1900 to 2150 m asl. Records of *G. supremus* were downloaded from the Atlas of Living Australia on 1 July 2021. All records (especially the iNaturalist reports) were scrutinised by Dr Tarmo Raadik (Senior Research Scientist, Applied Aquatic Ecology, Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research, Victorian DELWP) prior to acceptance. Area of Occupancy (AOO) and Extent of Occurrence (EOO) were determined based on these records using the recommended 2 x 2 km grid methodology in GeoCAT (Bachman et al. 2011; IUCN Standards and Petitions Committee 2022). The current (based on records collected since 2011) global AOO for *G. supremus* is 20 km² (Figure 2) Current global EOO was measured as 10 km² (Figure 1). But as EOO was less than AOO, as per the IUCN Red List guidelines (IUCN 2019), EOO is also considered 20 km².

It is assumed that subpopulations in each of the four locations are isolated from one another due to the presence of introduced salmonids (trout). Trout are abundant in the Snowy River, restricting Kosciuszko Galaxias to the upper reaches of these waterways. The presence of trout at the sites along the Kosciuszko and Summit walking tracks is unknown, but they are present throughout the upper reaches of the Snowy River.

Individuals characterised as hybrids between *Galaxias supremus* and *Galaxias olidus* were collected from a fifth site (Sawpit Creek) on 15 March 2002 (<u>Adams et al. 2014</u>). This location is a tributary of the Thredbo River and suggests the possibility that *Galaxias supremus* may be more widespread within the Snowy Catchment than available records suggest.

f) Relevant biology/ecology of the species

There has been no detailed scientific study of the biology and ecology of *G. supremus*. For this assessment, key aspects of the biology of *G. supremus* are inferred based on the more extensive understanding of the biology of congeneric species in the *Galaxias olidus* complex.

Galaxias supremus is a freshwater fish and is not considered to undertake migrations as part of its life cycle. The generation length of the species is not well known but is estimated at 3 or 4 years (Raadik 2019). While the spawning period is not known, it probably occurs from very late spring to early summer. The species can withstand very cold water (< 2 °C) in winter (Raadik 2014) with the upper thermal tolerance anticipated to be less than that of the closely related and more broadly distributed *G. olidus* (i.e., < 33 °C) (Cramp et al. 2021; Mulvey 2021). The physiological capacity to tolerate higher temperatures is likely to be impaired by reductions in dissolved oxygen and mild exposure to ash and sediment (Cramp et al. 2021; Mulvey 2021). It is also the only species of fish within its range, and therefore represents 100% of the fish diversity: *G. olidus* have been found further downstream in the Snowy River (Raadik 2014).

g) Indigenous significance of the species

Galaxias supremus occurs on the lands of the Ngarigo people (AIATSIS 2021), but the cultural significance of the species is undocumented.

Given the acknowledged importance to Aboriginal peoples of Connection to Country and the widespread importance of Caring for Country (which includes biodiversity, 'place', custom and totemic elements) it is considered likely that the species has or is associated with some cultural and/or community significance. The significance of the ecological community, particular species, spiritual and other cultural values are diverse and varied for the many Indigenous peoples that live in the area and care for Country. Such knowledge may be only held by Indigenous groups and individuals who are the custodians of this knowledge.

h) Habitat requirements of the species

Galaxias supremus have been collected from permanent, cold and clear water in small flowing creeks (0.6–1.1 m average width, 0.1–0.2 m average depth, 0.5–0.6 m max depth) and from Blue Lake (a 16 hectare, 28 m deep cirque lake) (Raadik 2014). During winter, all sites are covered by snow and ice for an extended period. Creek substrate consists of bedrock, boulder, cobble, gravel and sand, with fish collected from amongst rock, undercut banks and overhanging grasses. In Blue Lake the substrate is cobble, pebble, gravel and silt, with fish collected from amongst small cobbles and from within 2 m of the shoreline; fish location and habitat in deeper water is unknown. All sites lack emergent or submerged aquatic vegetation or overhead shading (Raadik 2011, Raadik 2014). The specific habitat requirements for different life stages of *G. supremus* are unknown.

i) Threats and level of risk to the species

Galaxias supremus faces similar threats to other taxa in the *G. olidus* complex (<u>Raadik 2014</u>, <u>Lintermans and Raadik, 2019</u>). The major and most imminent threat is the introduction and spread of trout into occupied waterways. Deteriorating genetic health resulting from small population size and fragmentation and the impacts of feral horses (*Equus caballus*) on habitat quality are additional established threats. Climate change induced intensification of wildfires, changes to water availability and extreme weather events represent emerging threats.

Threats		
Threat	Extent	Impact
Exotic and invasive spec	ies	
Introduced salmonids (trout)	Status: Historical, current and future Confidence: Known Consequence: Severe Trend: Increasing Extent: Across entire range	The major threat to <i>G. supremus</i> is the spread/introduction and establishment of introduced salmonid fishes, particularly Brown Trout (<i>Salmo trutta</i>) and Rainbow Trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>). The introduction of salmonids commonly results in major declines or extirpation of galaxiid subpopulations (Lintermans 2000, <u>McDowall 2006</u>). For example, Tilzey (1976) reported rapid declines in <i>G. olidus</i> subpopulations in the Snowy Mountains following salmonid invasion, with complete extirpation of galaxiids at these locations within 3 years (<u>Tilzey</u> <u>1976</u>). The fragmented nature of galaxiid subpopulations in the Australian

		May 2
		Alps and the role of salmonids in causing this fragmentation is well
		documented (<u>Green 2008</u> ,
		McDowall 2006, Lintermans 2000;
		Raadik and Kuiter 2002; Tilzey
		<u>1976</u>). Trout are widely distributed
		and abundant in almost all streams
		throughout the upper Snowy River
		Catchment. Trout have colonised
		the entirety of the Snowy River,
		restricting populations of G.
		supremus to the very upper reaches
		of tributary streams (<u>Green 2002</u>).
		Whilst trout are not permitted to be
		stocked in the habitat of this species
		(i.e. not permitted in waters above
		1500m in Kosciusko National Park)
		under the NSW Fisheries
		Management Strategy,
		unauthorised stocking may present
		an ongoing threat to the species.
		Sustained or increased predator
		pressure increases the extinction
		risk for <i>G. supremus</i> . Specifically, if
		trout were to pass upstream of the
		waterfalls currently excluding them
		from the upper reaches of
		Carruthers or Blue Lake creeks, it is
		projected that G. supremus would
		rapidly become extinct in these
		locations (<u>Raadik 2019</u>).
		Illegal stocking of salmonids past
		these waterfall barriers by anglers
		is a high probability risk.
Feral horses	Status: Historical, current	Feral horse abundance has
decreasing habitat	and future	increased significantly in
quality and availability	Confidence: Known	Kosciuszko National Park (KNP) in
	Consequence: Severe	recent decades with abundance
	Trend: Increasing	estimated to be \sim 19,000 prior to
	Extent: Across entire range	the 2019-20 fires, dropping to
		>14,300 post-fire (Cairns 2020,
		Fletcher 2021). Horse populations
		in KNP have increased by an
		average of 18% per annum since
		2001 and can increase by up to 31%
		in good years (see <u>Garrott et al.</u>
		<u>1991, Grange et al. 2009, Fletcher</u>
		<u>2021</u>). As 2021 was a good year

 May 20
with abundant foals obvious (<u>M.</u> <u>Lintermans unpublished data</u>), horse abundance at present in KNP is likely to be substantially higher than 14,300 recorded post the 2019-20 fires.
Wild horses are listed as a key threat to native plants and animals under the NSW Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 with habitat degradation and loss listed as a Key Threatening Process (KTP) in Schedule 4 of the Act. The listing acknowledges the negative impact of wild horses on wetlands, watercourses, and riparian systems; alteration of the structure and composition of vegetation; and reduction in plant biomass (<u>NSW</u> <u>Threatened Species Scientific</u> <u>Committee 2018</u>).
Feral horses graze fens (peat accumulating wetland) and other wet areas and can leave a dense network of tracks (Drying 1990, Hope et al. 2012). Wet soils are more susceptible to erosion than dry soils, and hooved animals walking through bogs and fens can trample vegetation, which leads to further loss of soil and changes to the hydrology of bogs and fens, creating channels and potentially leading to draining of the wetland (Drying 1990, Hope et al. 2012). Feral horses also damage high country aquatic environments via stream bank damage, pugging, crossings, sedimentation, alteration of riparian vegetation (Threatened Species Scientific Committee 2018,
Tolsma & Shannon 2018, Robertson et al. 2019). Horse distribution in KNP overlaps much of the distribution of <i>G. supremus</i> and therefore all of the above impacts from feral horses are likely to affect this species and its habitat.

CAM Assessment Galaxias supremus

		Prepared by the NSW Fisheries Scientific Committee May 2022
Genetic health Genetic decline due to population/ abundance decline and isolation	Status: Historical, current and future Confidence: Known Consequence: Severe Trend: Increasing Extent: Across entire range	<i>Galaxias supremus</i> persists in four isolated locations with population size believed to be small, making the species susceptible to deterioration of genetic health through inbreeding, loss of evolutionary potential and
		adaptability, leading to increased risk of extinction (<u>Frankham et al.</u> <u>2010</u>). Given the likely current absence of immigration-emigration amongst the existing sub- populations, genetic rescue via captive breeding or direct translocations may be required to ensure the long-term viability of the species.
Climate change	I	species.
Increased magnitude, intensity and frequency of bushfires	Status: Current and future Confidence: Known Consequence: Catastrophic Trend: Increasing	The frequency, magnitude and intensity of bushfires is predicted to increase under climate change scenarios (<u>Di Virgilio et al. 2019</u>).

Climate	change
---------	--------

Increased magnitude,	Status: Current and future	The frequency, magnitude and
intensity and	Confidence: Known	intensity of bushfires is predicted to
frequency of bushfires	Consequence: Catastrophic	increase under climate change
	Trend: Increasing	scenarios (<u>Di Virgilio et al. 2019</u>).
	Extent: Across entire range	Projection of future climate for the
		Murray Basin cluster (the regional
		climate modelling undertaken in
		this area) region predicts, with high
		confidence, that climate change will
		result in a harsher fire-weather
		climate in the future (<u>Timbal et al.</u>
		<u>2015</u>).
		Bushfires pose potentially
		devastating consequences for
		aquatic ecosystems and species
		(<u>Gomez Isaza et al. 2022; Legge et</u>
		al. 2022). Aquatic habitats within
		the fire footprint can alter the
		physiochemical properties of the
		water, including causing extreme
		temperature of the water in the
		small streams that these fish
		inhabit, leading to mortality of fish
		(<u>Raadik et al. 2010</u>). Storm events
		following fire usually result in

		May 20
		significant inputs of ash and sediment to streams which severely impact aquatic habitats (Ward et al. unpublished data.). Post-fire sedimentation can impact waterways 50–80 km downstream of the burnt area (Lyon et al. 2008, Silva et al. 2020) and have severe effects on water quality and aquatic fauna including threatened fish and crayfish (Cramp et al. 2021; <u>Gomez</u> Isaza et al. 2022; Legge et al. 2021; Ward et al. unpub data). Ash and sediment inputs smother stream substrates, alter water chemistry, alters riparian shading and organic inputs. Post-fire rainfall impacts on aquatic habitats from high severity fire can significantly alter fish habitat and severely reduce local fish populations within a single generation. The spatial extent of the threat from fires is not fixed for any one fire, and will vary with ignition point, fuel loads, antecedent climatic conditions (e.g. rainfall/drought) and weather variables.
		Whilst the 2019-20 bushfires did not appear to directly impact <i>G.</i> <i>supremus</i> (Legge et al. 2021), a single bushfire has the capacity to impact large sections of the population of this species, potentially leading to a rapid population decline across the species range, or extirpation of the species over a short time frame.
Increased and more severe droughts, elevated temperatures decrease habitat quality and availability	Status : Current and future Confidence : Suspected Consequence : Severe Trend : Increasing Extent : Across entire range	Increased and more severe droughts will decrease the availability and the quality of surface water. This will result in loss of instream refuge habitats and increased water temperatures (<u>Raadik et al. 2010, Raadik 2019</u>). Physiologically, species of the Galaxias olidus complex are highly susceptible to such changes in

		May 20
Severe storms and flooding decrease habitat availability	Status: Future Confidence: Suspected Consequence: Severe Trend: Increasing Extent: Across entire range	water quality, with an upper thermal tolerance of approximately 33 °C (which declines with reductions in dissolved oxygen) (<u>Mulvey 2021</u>). Future climate projections for the region that includes the range of <i>G. supremus</i> predicts, with high confidence, average temperatures will continue to rise in all seasons. It is also projected with very high confidence that there will be more hot days and warms spells and there will be fewer frosts (<u>Timbal et al. 2015</u>). It is anticipated that this will impose thermal stress on the species as well as impacting key life history processes, particularly when other threats are impacting (such as bushfires). Increased severity of storms and flooding associated with climate change are likely to increase erosion and sedimentation of the streams inhabited by <i>G. supremus</i> . Increased high flows may also enhance opportunities for predator invasion through barrier drown-out or damage, leading to new, temporary pathways (<u>Raadik et al.</u> <u>2010, Raadik 2019</u>). The climate projections for the region suggest, with high confidence, that while mean annual rainfall is projected to decline, heavy rainfall intensity is projected to increase (<u>Timbal et al.</u> <u>2015</u>).

j) Eligibility against criteria

FSC assessment of eligibility against the criteria:

A.	Population size	Criterion 1. Population size reduc	tion (reduction in to	tal numbors)	Ma
	reduction	Population reduction (measured over th			on any of A1 to A4
	reduction		Critically Endangered Very severe reduction	Endangered Severe reduction	Vulnerable Substantial reduction
		A1	≥ 90%	≥ 70%	≥ 50%
		A2, A3, A4	≥ 80%	≥ 50%	≥ 30%
		A1 Population reduction observed, estimat suspected in the past and the causes of	the reduction	(a) direct obs	servation [except A3]
		A2 Population reduction observed, estimat or suspected in the past where the cau	ed, inferred ses of the	(b) an index the taxon	of abundance appropriate to
		reduction may not have ceased OR ma understood OR may not be reversible.			in area of occupancy, extent
		A3 Population reduction, projected or susp met in the future (up to a maximum of 1		or occurre	ence and/or quality of habitat
		cannot be used for A3] A4 An observed, estimated, inferred, proje	ted or		potential levels of exploitation
		suspected population reduction where must include both the past and the futu max. of 100 years in future), and where reduction may not have ceased OR ma understood OR may not be reversible.	he time period e (up to a the causes of	hybridiza	s of introduced taxa, tion, pathogens, pollutants, ors or parasites
		determine eligibility of The species is thought is likely to have experie however this is likely to timeframe (10 years) a subcriterion A3, it is pr reduction in the future species. Namely, a decl anticipated due to clim and reduced moisture a and intensity of bushfin species remain a threat stocked in the vicinity of (NSW DPI Fisheries, sto	ot have been enced a decli o have occur nd there is n ojected that due to the th ine in AOO, E ate change a availability a res <u>(NSW OE</u> , particularly of localities t	more widely ne in the pop red outside t o data availa there will be areats impos 00 and qual s increasing s well as incr <u>H 2014</u>). Inv y as they con hat support	y distributed and pulation, the assessment able. In terms of e a population ed on the lity of habitat is temperatures reased frequency asive trout tinue to be
B.	Geographic	Criterion 2. Geographic distr AND/OR area of	bution as indicate	ors for either exte	nt of occurrence
	range		Critically Endangered Very restricted	Endangered Restricted	Vulnerable Limited
		B1. Extent of occurrence (EOO)	< 100 km ²	< 5,000 km ²	< 20,000 km ²
		B2. Area of occupancy (AOO)	< 10 km ²	< 500 km ²	< 2,000 km ²
		AND at least 2 of the following 3 condition	ns indicating distributio	n is precarious for surv	ival:
		(a) Severely fragmented OR Number of locations	= 1	≤ 5	≤ 10
		 (b) Continuing decline observed, estim occupancy; (iii) area, extent and/or mature individuals 			
		 (c) Extreme fluctuations in any of: (i) e subpopulations;(iv) number of mat 		area of occupancy; (iii)	number of locations or
		Category and crite Endangered B1ab(i, ii,		Data Defic	ient): Criticall
		Eligibility against the			
		from the Atlas of Living	Australia th	10 Extent of (liccurrence

May 2
(EOO) was estimated at 20 km ² (actual EOO of 10 km ²) and the Area of Occupancy (AOO) at 20km ² estimated using the recommended 2 x 2 km grid methodology in GeoCAT (<u>Bachman</u> <u>et al. 2011</u> ; <u>IUCN Standards and Petitions Committee 2022</u>). The EOO meets the threshold for listing as Critically Endangered under Criterion B1 and the AOO meets the threshold for listing as Endangered under Criterion B2.
In addition to the distribution threshold, at least two of three other conditions must be met. These conditions are:
a) Severely fragmented OR number of locations
There are four, small subpopulations of <i>G. supremus</i> . All four are isolated from one another by the presence of predatory trout in inter-connecting streams.While there are 4 subpopulations, their limited range and the pervasiveness of threats (e.g. fire) suggests that 1 location is the best estimate to use for assessment. Each subpopulation is vulnerable to invasions by trout from downstream, either through upstream expansion past existing fish passage barriers, or through deliberate translocations. Whilst there is a high probability that individual subpopulations could become extinct, and there is limited probability of recolonisation, there is no information on the viability of subpopulations to determine if the severely fragmented subcriterion is applicable.
The species' highly restricted distribution, at one location, leaves it highly vulnerable to extinction from a single stochastic event or disturbance, accident, or other threat. Yet, at this stage, it is concluded that insufficient data exists to assess changes in population size.
b) Continuing decline projected in (i) extent of occurrence (ii) area of occupancy and (iii) quality of habitat.
Based on observations of the rapid decline of and local extinction of galaxiids following the invasion/introduction of trout (<u>Tilzey 1976</u> , <u>Lintermans 2000</u> , <u>McDowall 2006</u>), it is projected that the geographic distribution of the species will continue to decline in the future. Invasion of any of the four locations occupied by <i>G. supremus</i> by trout, either through upstream expansion past existing fish passage barriers or through deliberate translocations, would result in rapid declines in each of EOO and AOO. The risk of this occurring within a period of three generations (10 years) is considered high.
Increasing intensity and/or frequency of fire, droughts and floods as well as feral horses is projected to result in the reduction of habitat quality.

		Γ			Ma
C.	Small	Criterion 3. Population size and decline			
	population size		Critically	Endangered	Vulnerable
	and decline		Endangered Very low	Low	Limited
		Estimated number of mature individuals	< 250	< 2,500	< 10,000
		AND either (C1) or (C2) is true			
		C1 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future)	Very high rate 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer)	High rate 20% in 5 years or 2 generation (whichever is longer)	Substantial rate 10% in 10 years or 3 generations (whichever is longer)
		C2 An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND its geographic distribution is precarious for its survival based on at least 1 of the following 3 conditions:			
		(i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation	≤ 50	≤ 250	≤ 1,000
		(ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation =	90 – 100%	95 – 100%	100%
		(b) Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals			
		Category and criteria (or Data Deficient): Insufficient date Eligibility against the criteria: There are no estimates of numbers of mature individuals, population-size, or any population-decline data that will allow assessment of <i>G. supremus</i> for eligibility for listing under Criterion C.			
D.	Very small or	D. Very small or restricted population			
2.	restricted		Critically Endan		Vulnerable
	population	D. Number of mature individuals D2. Only applies to the VU category Restricted area of occupancy or number of loc a plausible future threat that could drive the ta or EX in a very short time.		< 250	D1. < 1,000 D2. typically: AOO < 20 km ² or number of locations ≤ 5
		Category and criteria (or Data Deficient): Insufficient data.			
		Eligibility against th individuals of <i>G. supr</i> assessment against Crite	<i>emus</i> is pre	esently unkr	
		The restricted AOO of 20 km ² , only four known locations, and plausible future threats that could drive the species to Critically Endangered or Extinct in a very short time satisfy the elements of Criterion D2 to make it eligible for listing as D2 Vulnerable.			
E.	Quantitative	Criterion 5. Quantitative Analysis			
	analysis		Critically Endangered Immediate future	Endangered Near future	Vulnerable Medium-term future
		Indicating the probability of extinction in the wild to be:	≥ 50% in 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer (100 years max.)	≥ 20% in 20 years or 5 generations, whichever is longer (100 years max.)	≥ 10% in 100 years
		Category and criteria (or Data Deficient): Insufficient data. Eligibility against the criteria: Presently, quantitative analysi			
		Eligibility against the c	c riteria: Prese	ently, quantit	ative analysis

* In 2015 the NSW Government signed an Intergovernmental Memorandum of Understanding on the Agreement on a Common Assessment Method for listing of threatened species and threatened ecological communities (the CAM). The CAM provides a nationally consistent approach to assessing and listing threatened species in Australia, using the IUCN Redlist Categories and Criteria (Version 3.1). To ensure that this Proposed Final Determination meets the requirements under the CAM, an assessment against the IUCN Redlist Categories and Criteria (Version 3.1) has been included. This assessment also reflects the requirements for listing species provided under clause 237 and 238 of the NSW Fisheries Management (General) Regulation 2019.

For more information on the CAM please visit <u>http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/cam</u>

Fisheries Scientific Committee conclusion pursuant to Section 220F of the NSW Fisheries Management Act 1994:

It is the opinion of the NSW Fisheries Scientific Committee that *Galaxias supremus* is facing an extremely high extinction risk in New South Wales in the near future, as determined in accordance with criteria prescribed by the regulations.

k) Additional information

i Fisheries Scientific Committee Management Recommendations for *G. supremus*

Recommended management and research actions that will benefit the conservation of the species:

- Following fires, implement management responses where possible to prevent/reduce ash and sediment deposition into streams with post-fire rainfall
- Consider physiological tolerances to post-fire changes in water temperature and water oxygen saturation as triggers for emergency extraction/relocation of fire-affected subpopulations.
- Carry out surveys to identify new populations of the species (to determine contemporary geographic range), to identify sites suitable for future translocations (predator-free or suitable if predators removed), and potential sites for trout barrier installation.
- Monitor to track the trajectory of known populations
- Extract a portion of each remaining population into ex situ captive management as an insurance against the extinction of the species in the wild.
- Population genetic analysis of current and new populations, to inform genetic management of populations and guide captive breeding and translocation plans.
- Undertake predator (trout) removal, if present, from potential translocation sites.
- Assessment of all populations for security from trout incursion: implement annual predator detection and removal for less secure sites, and every 5 years (or following 1: 50 yr rainfall events) at other locations.

- Development of a detailed captive breeding plan and undertake breeding.
- Development of a detailed translocation plan and undertake translocations to establish additional, viable populations to spread extinction risk (reintroduction or assisted colonisation) or to bolster populations (reinforcement).
- Management measures to reduce the abundance of feral horses within the distribution of *G. supremus*.
- Study into the species' ecology (reproduction, growth, longevity, habitat use and requirements, age, movement).

ii Priorities Action Statement

The NSW Department of Primary Industries Priorities Action Statement (PAS) is a statutory, nonregulatory document addressing each threatened species, population, ecological community and key threatening process (KTP) listed on the schedules of the *Fisheries Management Act 1994*. The PAS provides an agreed list of strategies and actions that will assist to down-grade or de-list species, populations and ecological communities from the threatened species schedules of the *Fisheries Management Act 1994*, as well as actions that will assist to abate or eliminate the impacts of KTPs.

The draft Priorities Action Statement for the *Galaxias supremus* is being drafted as part of the NSW listing process and will be available on the NSW DPI Website when finalised at www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/fishing/threatened-species/priorities-action-statement

1) Statement on the standard of scientific evidence and adequacy of survey:

This assessment has been prepared by the Fisheries Scientific Committee in good faith using the highest possible standard of scientific evidence and adequacy of survey.

As prescribed under Section 4 of the Intergovernmental MOU on the CAM, in preparing this documentation the Committee gave consideration to:

(i) the nature of the data, including adequacy of survey (occurrences) and monitoring (to detect change), including factors such as sampling design, effort applied, number of variables

considered, proportion of a species' range covered, time period covered etc.;

(ii) the number of data sets relevant to the conclusion;

(iii) the range of uncertainty in the data and degree of consistency between different data sets;

(iv) the source of the data and its credibility; and

(v) the relevance of the data to the particular assessment criterion.

References

Adams, M., Raadik, T.A., Burridge, C. and Georges, A. (2014). Global biodiversity assessment and hyper-cryptic species complexes: more than one species of elephant in the room? *Systematic Biology* 63(4), 518–533.

Bachman S, Moat J, Hill A, de la Torre J & Scott B (2011). Supporting Red List threat assessments with GeoCAT: geospatial conservation assessment tool. In. Smith V, Penev L (Eds) *e-Infrastructures for data publishing in biodiversity science. Zookeys* 150: 117-126. Doi: 110.3897/zookeys.3150.2109.

Cairns, S. (2014). Feral horses in the Australian Alps National Parks: the design and analysis of surveys conducted in April-May. A report to the Australian Alps Liaison Committee.

Cairns S (2020). *The results of a survey of the wild horse populations in the Kosciuszko National Park, October-November 2020*. G.E and S.C. Cairns Consulting Pty. Ltd. Armidale. https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/research-and-publications/publications-search/kosciuszko-national-park-wild-horse-populations-survey-2020.

Cramp R, Mulvey C, Cameron J, Wintour M, Gomez Isaza D & Franklin C (2021). Impacts of postfire ash and runoff sediment on the physiological tolerances of Australian freshwater aquatic fauna. *NESP Threatened Species Recovery Hub Project 8.3.7 report, Brisbane*.

Driscoll, D.A., Worboys, G.L., Allan, H., Banks, S.C., Beeton, N.J., Cherubin, R.C., Doherty, T.S., Finlayson, C.M., Green, K., Hartley, R. and Hope, G. (2019). Impacts of feral horses in the Australian Alps and evidence-based solutions. *Ecological Management & Restoration*, 20(1), 63-72.

Dyring J (1990). The impact of feral horses (*Equus caballus*) on sub-alpine and montane environments in Australia. PhD Thesis, University of Canberra.

Fletcher D (2021). Feral Horses in Kosciuszko National Park - Population trends 2000-20. Reclaim Kosci. Available at <u>https://reclaimkosci.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Feral-Horses-in-Kosciuszko-National-Park-Population-trends-2000-20-1.pdf</u>.

Frankham R., Ballou J. D., Briscoe D. A. (2010). 'Introduction to Conservation Genetics.' *Cambridge University Press: London*

Garrott RA, Siniff DB & Eberhardt LL (1991). Growth rates of feral horse populations. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 55, 641-648.

Grange S, Duncan P & Gaillard JM (2009). Poor horse traders: large mammals trade survival for reproduction during the process of feralization. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 276 (1663): 1911-1919.

Green, K. (2002). The biodiversity blitz. *Victorian Naturalist* 119, 36–37.

Gomez Isaza, D. F., R. L. Cramp and C. E. Franklin (2022). Fire and rain: A systematic review of the impacts of wildfire and associated runoff on aquatic fauna. *Accepted Global Change Biology*.

Grose, M. et al. (2015). Southern Slopes Cluster Report, Climate Change in Australia Projections for Australia's Natural Resource Management Regions: Cluster Reports, eds. Ekström, M. et al., *CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology, Australia*

Hope GS, Nanson R & Jones P (2012). Peatforming bogs and fens of the Snowy Mountains of New South Wales. Technical Report. Sydney, Australia. *Office of Environment and Heritage*.

IUCN Standards and Petitions Committee (2022). Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 15. *Prepared by the Standards and Petitions Committee.* Downloadable from https://www.iucnredlist.org/documents/RedListGuidelines.pdf.

Legge, S., L. Rumpff, J. C. Z. Woinarski, N. S. Whiterod, M. Ward, D. G. Southwell, B. C. Scheele, D. G. Nimmo, M. Lintermans, H. Geyle, S. T. Garnett, B. Hayward-Brown, M. Ensbey, G. Ehmke, S. T. Ahyong, C. J. Blackmore, D. S. Bower, D. Brizuela-Torres, A. H. Burbidge, P. A. Burns, G. Butler, R. Catullo, D. G. Chapple, C. R. Dickman, K. Doyle, J. Ferris, D. Fisher, R. Gallagher, G. R. Gillespie, M. J. Greenlees, R. Hohnen, C. J. Hoskin, D. Hunter, C. Jolly, M. Kennard, A. King, D. Kuchinke, B. Law, I. Lawler, S. Lawler, R. Loyn, D. Lunney, J. Lyon, J. MacHunter, M. Mahony, S. Mahony, R. B. McCormack, J. Melville, P. Menkhorst, D. Michael, Mitchell N, E. Mulder, D. Newell, L. Pearce, T. A. Raadik, J. Rowley, H. Sitters, R. Spencer, R. Valavi, M. West, D. P. Wilkinson and S. Zukowski (2022). "Assessing the conservation impacts of ecological disturbance: time-bound estimates of population loss and recovery for fauna affected by the 2019-20 Australian megafires " Global Ecology and Biogeography: DOI: 10.1111/geb.13473.

Legge, S., J. Woinarski, B. Scheele, S. T. Garnett, M. Lintermans, D. Nimmo, N. S. Whiterod, D. Southwell, G. Ehmke, A. Buchan, J. Gray, L. Rumpff, S. van Leeuwen, D. Williams, S. T. Ahyong, M. A. Hossain, D. Hunter, M. Kennard, J. Marsh, R. McCormack, D. Michael, M. N., D. Newell, T. Raadik and R. Tingley (2021). "Rapid assessment of the biodiversity impacts of the 2019-20 Australian megafires to guide urgent management intervention and recovery, and lessons for other regions." Diversity and Distributions: https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13428.

Lintermans M (unpublished data). Data on populations of *Galaxias supremus*. In possession of author.

Lintermans, M. and Raadik, T. (2019). *Galaxias brevissimus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T122902298A123382111.

Lintermans, M. (2000). Recolonisation by the mountain galaxias *Galaxias olidus* of a montane stream after the eradication of rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 51, 799–804.

Lyon, J. P. and J. P. O'Connor (2008). "Smoke on the water: can riverine fish populations recover following a catastrophic fire-related sediment slug?" *Austral Ecology* 33(6): 794-806.

McDowall, R.M. (2006). Crying wolf, crying foul, or crying shame: alien salmonids and a biodiversity crisis in the southern cool-temperate galaxioid fishes? *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 16, 233–422

Mulvey C (2021) Impacts of bushfire associated stressors for threatened freshwater fishes. Thesis submitted in fulfilment of Honours Degree, University of Queensland, Brisbane.

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee, TSSC (2018). Habitat degradation and loss by feral horses (brumbies, wild horses), Equus caballus Linnaeus 1758. Final Determination to list a key threatening process under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016. NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee, Hurstville NSW. https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/-/media/OEH/Corporate-Site/Documents/Animals-and-plants/Scientific-Committee/Determinations/2018/habitat-degradation-loss-feral-horses-equus-caballus-final-determination.pdf.

Raadik, T.A. (2011). Systematic revision of the Mountain Galaxias, *Galaxias olidus* Gunther, 1866 species complex (Teleostei: Galaxiidae) in eastern Australia. PhD thesis. *Institute of Applied Ecology, Division of Science and Design, University of Canberra.*

Raadik, T.A. (2014). Fifteen from one: a revision of the *Galaxias olidus* Günther, 1866 complex (Teleostei, Galaxiidae) in south-eastern Australia recognises three previously described taxa and describes 12 new species. *Zootaxa* 3898(1), 1-198.

Raadik, T.A. (2019). *Galaxias supremus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T122903211A123382156. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T122903211A123382156.en

Raadik, TA (unpublished data). Data on populations of *Galaxias supremus*. In possession of author.

Raadik, T.A., Fairbrother, P.S. and Smith, S.J. (2010). National recovery plan for the Barred Galaxias (*Galaxias fuscus*). Department of Sustainability and Environment, Heidelberg, Victoria.

Raadik, T.A. and Kuiter, R.H. (2002). Kosciuszko Galaxias: a story of confusion and imminent peril. *Fishes of Sahul* 16(2), 830–835.

Robertson, G., Wright, J., Brown, D., Yuen, K. and Tongway, D. (2019). An assessment of feral horse impacts on treeless drainage lines in the Australian Alps. *Ecological Management & Restoration*, 20(1), 21-30.

Silva, L. G., K. E. Doyle, D. Duffy, P. Humphries, A. Horta and L. J. Baumgartner (2020). "Mortality events resulting from Australia's catastrophic fires threaten aquatic biota." *Global Change Biology* 26(10): 5345-5350

Tilzey, R.D.J. (1976). Observations on interactions between indigenous Galaxiidae and introduced Salmonidae in Lake Eucumbene catchment, New South Wales. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 27(4), 551–564.

Timbal, B. et al. (2015). Murray Basin Cluster Report, Climate Change in Australia Projections for Australia's Natural Resource Management Regions: Cluster Reports, eds. Ekström, M. et al., *CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology, Australia*

Tolsma A & Shannon J (2018). Assessing the Impacts of Feral Horses on the Bogong High Plains, Victoria. Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research. Unpublished client report for Parks Victoria, Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, Heidelberg, Victoria.

Ward, M., D. Southwell, R. Gallagher, T. A. Raadik, N. S. Whiterod, M. Lintermans, G. Sheridan, P. Nyman, A. F. Suárez-Castro, J. Marsh, J. Woinarski and S. Legge (unpublished data). Modelling data on post-fire sedimentation risk to estimate the impacts of fire on waterways and vulnerability to aquatic species. In possession of author.