WA INTERIM RECOVERY PLAN NO. 227

HIDDEN BEARD HEATH (*LEUCOPOGON OBTECTUS*)

RECOVERY PLAN



Department of Environment and Conservation Kensington



Australian Government





FOREWORD

Recovery Plans (RPs) and Interim Recovery Plans (IRPs) are developed within the framework laid down in Department of Conservation and Land Management (CALM) Policy Statements Nos. 44 and 50. Note: the Department of CALM formally became the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) in July 2006. DEC will continue to adhere to these Policy Statements until they are revised and reissued.

Plans outline the recovery actions that are required to urgently address those threatening processes most affecting the ongoing survival of threatened taxa or ecological communities and begin the recovery process.

DEC is committed to ensuring that Threatened taxa are conserved through the preparation and implementation of plans and by ensuring that conservation action commences as soon as possible and, in the case of Critically Endangered (CR) taxa, always within one year of endorsement of that rank by the Minister.

This plan will remain in force until withdrawn or replaced. It is intended that, if the taxon is still ranked Endangered (against IUCN criteria), this plan will be reviewed after five years and the need for further recovery actions assessed.

This plan, which was given regional approval on 2 March, 2006 and approved by the Director of Nature Conservation on 21 March, 2006, was updated in March 2010. The allocation of staff time and provision of funds identified in this plan is dependent on budgetary and other constraints affecting DEC, as well as the need to address other priorities.

This plan was written and endorsed as an IRP in Western Australia, and it is also the National Recovery Plan for this Ecological Community as listed under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* 1999.

Information in this plan was accurate as at March 2010.

PLAN PREPARATION

This plan was prepared by Ben Bayliss¹, Heather Taylor¹, Gina Broun² & Andrew Brown³

¹ Project Officer, Species and Communities Branch, DEC, Locked Bag 104, Bentley DC 6983

² Flora Conservation Officer, Moora District, DEC, PO Box 638, Jurien Bay, WA 6516.

³ Threatened Flora Coordinator, Species and Communities Branch, DEC, Locked Bag 104, Bentley DC 6983

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The following people have provided assistance and advice in the preparation of this plan:

Eric Bunn	Research Scientist, Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority
Anne Cochrane	Manager, DEC's Threatened Flora Seed Centre
Duanne Ginger	Environmental Officer, Eneabba Operations Iluka Resources Ltd.
Kelly Poultney	Technical Officer (Rare Flora Database), DEC Species and Communities Branch
Amanda Shade	Horticulturalist, Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority

Thanks also to the staff of the W.A. Herbarium for providing access to Herbarium databases and specimen information, and DEC Species and Communities Branch for assistance.

Cover photograph by Stephen Hopper

CITATION

This plan should be cited as:

Department of Environment and Conservation (2006). Hidden Beard Heath (*Leucopogon obtectus*) Interim Recovery Plan 2006-2011. Interim Recovery Plan No. 227. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia.

SUMMARY

Scientific Name: Family:	<i>Leucopogon obtectus</i> Epacridaceae	Common Name: Flowering Period:	Hidden Beard Heath October - March
DEC Region:	Midwest	DEC District:	Moora
Shire:	Carnamah, Coorow, Irwin,	Recovery Team:	Moora District Threatened Flora Recovery
	Three Springs and Dandaragan		Team

Illustrations and/or further information: Brown, A., Thomson-Dans, C. and Marchant, N. (Eds) (1998) *Western Australia's Threatened Flora*, Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia; Leigh, J., Boden, R. and Briggs, J. (1984) *Extinct and Endangered Plants of Australia*. The Macmillan Co. of Australia Pty Ltd. Hong Kong; *FloraBase - Information on the Western Australian Flora*. Department of Conservation and Land Management (2009), Western Australia. <u>http://www.dec.wa.gov.au/science/.</u>

Leucopogon obtectus was first collected between the Moore and Murchison rivers by James Drummond and was named by Bentham in 1868. It was then not until 1978 that the species was again seen and collected. A comprehensive survey by CALM in 1981 found about 100 plants in 25 small populations, many of which consisted of a single plant. A reserve northwest of Eneabba in which populations of *L. obtectus* occur was gazetted for flora and fauna conservation in 1989.

Leucopogon is derived from the Greek *leucos* (white) and *pogon* (beard), referring to the white bearded corolla lobes while the species name *obtectus*, is derived from the latin *obtego* (to cover, conceal, protect), referring to the complete covering of the branches by the overlapping leaves.

Current status: Leucopogon obtectus was declared as Rare Flora in September 1987 under the Western Australian Wildlife Conservation Act 1950 and is currently ranked as Endangered (EN) in Western Australia against World

Conservation Union (IUCN) criterion D (IUCN 2001) as there were less than 250 mature individuals known at that time. There are now over 500 plants known and it strictly meets VU under IUCN criterion D.

Leucopogon obtectus is also listed as Endangered under the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act).

The main threats are degradation of habitat (largely through mining and exploration), road and rail maintenance, and inappropriate fire regimes. Potential threats are weed invasion and dieback.

Description: *Leucopogon obtectus* is an erect, open shrub growing to about 1.5 m tall with broad, almost heart-shaped, concave, stalkless leaves that overlap and conceal the stem. The leaves are about 1 cm long and 1 cm wide, a pale bluish-green colour and rigid with a short point at the tip. Two or 3 creamy yellow flowers are held on very short stalks in each leaf axil. Each flower has 6 petals, united to form a tube towards the base. Five of the petal lobes spread outwards to show the dense hairs on the inner surface. Five stamens alternate with the petals. Smooth, green, egg-shaped fruits hold a single seed (Brown *et. al*, 1998).

Distribution and habitat: The main distribution of *Leucopogon obtectus* is north-west and south-east of Eneabba over a range of about 30 km. Generally the species is found as small, scattered groups in low, open heath on the crests and upper slopes of sand dunes, or more rarely in interdunal swales in grey-white or pale yellow sand (Brown *et. al*, 1998). The taxon is generally taller than the surrounding low heath. It grows in association with *Banksia hookeriana*, *B. menziesii*, *B. candolleana*, *Calothamnus sanguineus* and *Hakea* sp.

Habitat critical to the survival of the species, and important populations: The habitat critical to the survival of *Leucopogon obtectus* comprises the vegetation in which important populations occur; areas of similar habitat within 200 metres of known populations; remnant vegetation linking populations, the local surface and ground water catchments that maintain the habitat of the species and additional occurrences of similar habitat that may contain the species.

The species is listed as Endangered and it is considered that all known habitat is habitat critical to its survival, and that all populations are important populations. Currently, a significant proportion of the species' known occurrence is within mineral sands mining rehabilitation plots. As such, these occurrences should also be interpreted as representing important populations.

Benefits to other species or ecological communities: Other listed and priority flora and one Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) also occur in the wider habitat of *Leucopogon obtectus*. Recovery actions implemented for *L. obtectus* will also protect these species and the ecological community.

International obligations: This plan is fully consistent with the aims and recommendations of the Convention on Biological Diversity, ratified by Australia in June 1993, and will assist in implementing Australia's responsibilities under that Convention. *Leucopogon obtectus* is not specifically listed under any international treaty, and therefore this plan does not affect Australia's obligations under any other international agreements.

Role and interests of indigenous people: According to the Department of Indigenous Affairs Aboriginal Heritage Sites Register, no sites of Aboriginal significance are known at or near populations of the species. However, the involvement of the indigenous community has been sought to determine whether there are any issues or interests identified in the plan Opportunities for indigenous roles in the recovery of the species will be encouraged and may exist through cultural interpretation and awareness of the species. Continued liaison between DEC and the indigenous community will identify areas in which collaboration will assist implementation of recovery actions.

Affected interests: Main Roads WA, and several mining companies manage land on which this species occurs.

Social and economic impact: The implementation of this recovery plan has the potential to have some social and economic impact as some populations of *Leucopogon obtectus* occur on land leased for mining and others are on road reserves. Negotiations will continue with regard to their future management and recovery actions will involve liaison and cooperation with stakeholders.

Evaluation of the plan's performance: DEC in conjunction with the Moora District Threatened Flora Recovery Team (MDTFRT) will evaluate the performance of this plan. In addition to annual reporting on progress against listed actions and criteria for success and failure, the plan is to be reviewed within five years of its implementation.

Existing Recovery Actions: The following recovery actions have been or are currently being implemented in tandem with the development of this recovery plan:

- 1. Relevant land managers have been made aware of the location and threatened status of the species.
- 2. Staff from the Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority (BGPA) have 3 clones of the taxon, one from tissue material and two originating from seed. At present 4.35 g of seed, collected in 1999 from the vicinity of "Beekeepers Reserve", is stored in the BGPA seed store. Factors associated with observed low germination rates are currently being examined. Shoot-tip tissue material has been used to develop micropropagation techniques, with some measure of successful growth being achieved in laboratory media. Survival of plants generated by these techniques when transferred to soil media has been poor. Factors contributing to this low survival rate are currently being examined, such as, the absence of required mycorrhizal fungi. Mycorrhizal fungi have been successfully isolated from natural populations of *Leucopogon obtectus* and maintained in laboratory culture.
- 3. Research and development initiatives under the Iluka Resources Eneabba Operations Environmental Program 1999 2000 have supported studies relating to *Leucopogon obtectus*. These included:
 - A PhD project supervised by the BGPA that is examining Genetic Diversity of *Leucopogon obtectus* through DNA analyses.
 - A Curtin University student project (co-supported by Alcoa and MERIWA) that is attempting somatic embryogenesis of *Leucopogon obtectus*.
- 4. A comprehensive survey of populations 1, 2 (north of Eneabba) and 4 (Alexander Morrison National Park) were conducted for RGC Mineral Sands Limited by Landcare Services in 1988.
- 5. In November 2005 a census of *Leucopogon obtectus* within the Iluka Resources mining lease was conducted by the Eneabba Operations staff.
- 6. Staff from DEC Moora District annually monitor populations of the species (depending on available resources).
- 7. The Moora District Threatened Flora Recovery Team is overseeing the implementation of this Recovery plan and includes information on progress in its annual reports to DEC's Corporate Executive and funding bodies.

Recovery Plan objective: The objective of this Recovery plan is to maintain or enhance viable *in situ* populations to ensure the long-term preservation of the species in the wild.

Recovery criteria

Criteria for success: The number of individuals within populations has increased by ten percent or more or the number of populations has increased.

Criteria for failure: The number of individuals within populations has decreased by ten percent or more or the number of populations has decreased.

Recovery actions

- 1 Coordinate recovery actions
- 2 Liaise with relevant land managers
- 3 Achieve long-term protection of habitat
- 4 Map habitat critical to the survival of the species
- 5 Monitor populations
- 6 Conduct further surveys
- 7 Obtain biological and ecological information
- 8 Collect and preserve genetic material Research and develop techniques for propagating
- 9 *Leucopogon obtectus* for translocation

- 10 Stimulate regeneration
- 11 Implement weed control if required
- 12 Research fire ecology
- 13 Implement a fire management strategy
- 14 Promote awareness
- 15 Undertake and monitor translocation
- 16 Install DRF markers
- 17 Review the plan and assess the need for further recovery actions

1. BACKGROUND

History

Leucopogon obtectus was first collected between the Moore and Murchison rivers by James Drummond and was named by Bentham in 1868. It was then not until 1978 that the species was again seen and collected. Following its discovery, a comprehensive survey by CALM in 1981 (Lewis. 1981) discovered about 100 plants in 25 groups, many of which consisted of a single plant.

A significant proportion of the northern sandplain habitat of *Leucopogon obtectus* coincides with mineral sand deposits and, since the early 1970's, has been subject to a number of exploration licenses and mining leases. Mining has been active in the area of known occupancy of the species and one population (pop. 3) is largely the result of the species re-establishing in the area after habitat rehabilitation activities, presumably due to soil seed stock.

A reserve northwest of Eneabba in which populations of *Leucopogon obtectus* occur was gazetted for flora and fauna conservation in 1989 (Brown *et. al*, 1998).

Leucopogon obtectus was declared as Rare Flora under the Western Australian Wildlife Conservation Act 1950 in September 1987, and was later listed as Endangered under the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (1999).

Description

Leucopogon obtectus is an erect, open shrub growing to about 1.5 m tall with a few long, erect branches that are completely covered by the foliage. The stalkless, concave, rigid leaves are about 1 cm long and 1 cm wide and have fine lines. They are also a pale bluish-green colour, broadly heart-shaped (to ovate or orbicular), end in a small sharp point, and overlap along the stems. Flowers are creamy-yellow, very small, borne 2 or 3 together on very short peduncles arising singly from the lead axils and not projecting beyond the leaves. Each flower has 6 petals, united to form a tube towards the base. Five of the petal lobes spread outwards to show the dense hairs on the inner surface. Five stamens alternate with the petals. Smooth green egg-shaped fruits hold a single seed (Brown *et. al*, 1998 and Leigh *et. al*, 1984).

The generic name *Leucopogon* is derived from the Greek *leucos* (white) and *pogon* (beard) and refers to the white bearded corolla lobes found on all species. The species name *obtectus*, is derived from the Latin *obtego* (to cover, conceal, protect), referring to the complete covering of the branches by the overlapping leaves (Leigh *et. al*, 1984)

Distribution and habitat

Leucopogon obtectus has been recorded from Alexander Morrison National Park at the south-eastern end of its known distribution to just north of Nature Reserve 39744 at the northern end, representing a distance of about

60 km. A single unconfirmed record has been made of a population 40 km south of Eneabba. Most populations of *L. obtectus* are found over a range of about 30 km extending northwest and southeast of Eneabba. The species grows as a taller component of low open heath in open scattered populations, mainly on the crests and upper slopes of sand dunes (or more rarely in interdunal swales) comprised of grey-white or pale yellow sands (Brown *et. al*, 1998). Associated species include *Xylomelum angustifolium* and *Xanthorrhoea preissii*.

Pop. No. & Location	DEC	Shire	Vesting	Purpose	Tenure
1a N of Epsebba	Moora	Invin	Main Poads WA	Pood Pasarva	Other Crown Peserve
1h. N of Encabba	Moora	Irwin	Unallocated*		Unallocated Crown
10. N of Elicabba	witten	11 w 111	Chanocated	-	Land
1c. N of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Conservation Commission	Conservation of flora and fauna	Nature Reserve
1d. N of Eneabba	Moora	Three Springs	Main Roads WA	Road Reserve	Other Crown Reserve
1e. N of Eneabba	Swan	Carnamah	Conservation Commission	Conservation of flora and fauna	Nature Reserve
1f. N of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Unallocated	-	Unallocated Crown Land
1g. N of Eneabba	Moora	Three Springs	Unallocated	-	Unallocated Crown Land
2. S of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Unallocated	-	Unallocated Crown Land
3a. S of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Unallocated	-	Unallocated Crown Land
3b. S of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Unallocated	-	Unallocated Crown Land
3c. S of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Conservation Commission	Conservation of flora and fauna	Nature Reserve
3d. S of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Conservation Commission	Conservation of flora and fauna	Nature Reserve
3e. S of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Main Roads WA	Road Reserve	Other Crown Reserve
3f. S of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Conservation Commission	Conservation of flora and fauna	Nature Reserve
3g. S of Eneabba	Moora	Carnamah	Main Roads WA	Road Reserve	Other Crown Reserve
4a. SE of Eneabba	Moora	Coorow	Conservation Commission	Conservation of flora and fauna	National Park
4b. SE of Eneabba	Moora	Coorow	Conservation Commission	Conservation of flora and fauna	National Park
5. S of Eneabba	Moora	Dandaragan	Conservation Commission	Conservation of flora and fauna	Nature Reserve

Comment of Contanton fand (Comment Control of and Contant	Summarv	of po	pulation	land	vesting.	purpose	and tenu	re
---	---------	-------	----------	------	----------	---------	----------	----

* The Department for Environment and Conservation (DEC) is the management agency for fire prevention, feral animals and weeds in areas of Unallocated Crown Land in WA

Biology and ecology

Information specific to *Leucopogon obtectus* is limited. However, characteristics generally associated with the genus and its family, the Epacridaceae, may be applicable to the species.

Leucopogon obtectus is a relatively short lived disturbance opportunist in that it is killed by fire, regenerating from seed rather than resprouting from lignotuberous/perennial root stock. It is therefore likely that, in common with most other Australian Epacridaceae, *L. obtectus* recruits only from seed stored in the soil. It has been suggested that a certain period of seed ageing may be required to break down the hard seed coat and initiate germination. Few *L. obtectus* seedlings have been observed amongst mature living plants.

The species is thought to be both insect and bird pollinated.

Single age, undisturbed stands of mature *Leucopogon obtectus* that are associated with mine rehabilitation plots established in the mid 1980s are showing evidence of senescence. A number of plants in these stands, recorded as alive in 1998 have since died, suggesting that the life span of individuals may be no more than 20 years.

Natural seed-set does not appear to be prolific (Bunn, personal communication) suggesting that it may take some years for soil seed reserves to accumulate. There is a risk of rapid depletion if seed reserves are subject to frequent disturbance such as fire, which results in the species not reaching sexual maturity.

As with many other members of the Epacridaceae, *Leucopogon* species are difficult to propagate. Seed germination rates are very low and, although some species may occasionally be propagated from cuttings, they rarely establish successfully under cultivation (Leigh *et al.*, 1984). In the mid 1980s BGPA staff had some success in tissue culturing *Leucopogon obtectus*. At this time they propagated a number of healthy plants in laboratory media using shoot tip culture techniques in one of the few published studies on micropropagation of the Epacridaceae. However, plants produced by this technique failed to survive and develop after transfer to soil based media (Bunn 1989). *Leucopogon obtectus*, in common with most other Epacridaceae, is dependent on forming symbioses with mycorrhizal fungi and an absence of such mycorrhizae has been suggested as a possible factor in the poor survival of micropropagated plants. BGPA staff are currently examining this relationship and have successfully isolated and maintained cultures of appropriate mycorrhizal fungi for future work in examining their role in the long term establishment of transplanted seedlings.

Recent molecular genetic studies conducted by BGPA staff have indicated that there is a high level of genetic variability amongst individual plants but no significant population differentiation. It has been suggested that the species comprises a single genetically diverse group associated with an outcrossing reproductive strategy. This has implications for restoration management of the species and it has been suggested that using seeds collected from natural populations enables high genetic diversity and outbreeding processes in restored populations to be maintained (Zawko *et al.* 2001). From these genetic studies, recruitment from the soil seed bank appears to have been sufficient to replenish a similar degree of genetic diversity in post mine rehabilitated populations when compared with undisturbed natural populations.

Threats

Leucopogon obtectus was declared as Rare Flora in September 1987 under the Western Australian Wildlife Conservation Act 1950 and is currently ranked as Endangered (EN) in Western Australia against World Conservation Union (IUCN) criterion D (IUCN 2001) as there were less than 250 mature individuals known at the time of ranking. There are now over 500 plants known and the species strictly meets Vulnerable (VU) under IUCN criterion D. Leucopogon obtectus is listed as Endangered under the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act). The main threats are degradation of habitat (largely through mining and exploration), inappropriate fire regimes, lack of appropriate disturbance, road and rail maintenance.

Threats to the species include:

- **Mining** leases and mineral exploration licenses cover habitat containing most of the known occurrences of *Leucopogon obtectus*. Mining reduces the species' available natural habitat and constitutes a significant threat.
- **Disease:** Although *Leucopogon obtectus* is presumed to be susceptible to *Phytophthora* related dieback (Brown *et al.* 1998), the degree of susceptibility is unknown. Keighery (1988) indicates that the genus *Leucopogon* is variable in its response to infection and the comparatively rapid maturing characteristics of some species may enable recruitment within a population before succumbing to the disease. Larger slower maturing species such as *L. obtectus* may be more susceptible. *Phytophthora* related dieback has been recorded within the vicinity of the species' habitat and is known to affect associated heathland plant species. Mining and exploration activities have the potential to exacerbate and spread dieback and it should therefore be considered a potential threat in the management of *L. obtectus*. Management practices should incorporate disease control as part of an overall habitat management strategy.
- **Inappropriate fire regimes** may affect the viability of populations. Although fire is the stimulus for germination and occasional fires are needed for reproduction and maintenance of populations, the soil seed bank has the potential to be depleted if fires recur before regenerating or juvenile plants reach maturity.
- Lack of disturbance As few seedlings or juvenile plants have been observed in association with mature living plants, lack of appropriate disturbance may present a threat where populations are mature or senescent.

Unburnt 20 year old mine rehabilitation sub-populations are showing signs of senescence with little evidence of recruitment.

- Road, rail and firebreak maintenance threatens all road and rail reserve populations and most populations that may occur on private property. Threats include grading, chemical spraying, construction of drainage channels and mowing of roadside vegetation. Several of these actions also encourage weed invasion. At least one example of plant death from local flooding has been documented. Natural gas pipeline Station No.1 is located within Population 1c and an access track from Beekeepers Rd to the station also runs through the population. Activities associated with this access facility presents threats with respect to introduction and spread of weeds and disease.
- Weed invasion is a potential threat to all populations, although most remain largely weed-free at present. Weed incursions are a potential threat where they exclusively occupy the post-fire regeneration niche of the species. Weeds suppress plant growth and recruitment by competing for soil moisture, nutrients and light. They also exacerbate grazing pressure and increase the fire hazard due to the ready ignition and high energy output of increased fuel loads, which are produced annually by many grass weed species. *Acacia blakelyi* is a significant invasive species of recent mine rehabilitation blocks and, although native to the region, may limit the establishment of other local native species.
- Seed Predation: Seed examined during surveys conducted by BGPA staff in the 1980s showed apparent high levels of predation with estimates of up to 80% predated seed found. Predator damage included signs of borers and small larvae within mature fruit from which the endosperm had presumably been consumed. These observations represent one season's data, derived mainly from mine rehabilitation sites where most seed collections took place (Eric Bunn, personal communication) and it needs to be determined if this phenomenon is typical of all populations, including those in undisturbed natural habitats. It is nonetheless a potential threat to the maintenance of a viable soil seedbank.

· · · ·

Pop. No. & Location	Year	No.	Condition	Threats
1. NofEnashha	1002	2	Deer	Electing Deed/finchmark maintenance
Ta. N OI Elleabba	2000	2	Poor	Flooung, Road/medieak maintenance
	2000	2		
1h N of Enoshha	2009	2	Healthy (1005) Unknown following 1008	Wildfire (if too frequent)
TO. IN OF Elleadoa	2000	2	2002 hume/wildfires	whathe (if too frequent)
1a Nof Enashba	1091	14	- 2002 builds/ whithles Healthy (1081) Unknown following 1008	Activities associated with access track to
IC. N OI Elleabba	1981	14	2002 hume/wildfings	Activities associated with access track to
	1995	12	– 2002 burns/whatnes	gas (pipeline) within reserve e.g.: track
	1998	50		maintenance, weed, dieback spread.
11 N CE 11	2009	<u>32</u>		
Id. N of Eneabba	1992	Not	Not recorded 1998. Unknown following	wildfire (if too frequent), road
	found	2	1998 – 2002 burns/wildfires	maintenance activities
	1998	2		
	2009	0		
le. N of Eneabba	1981	25	Healthy (1981). Unknown following 1998	Wildfire (if too frequent)
	1998	22	– 2002 burns/wildfires	
	2009	25		
1f. N of Eneabba	1992	22	Healthy (1992). Unknown following 1998	Wildfire (if too frequent)
	1998	269	– 2002 burns/wildfires	
	2009	230		
1g. N of Eneabba	1998	1	Unknown following 1998 – 2002	Wildfire (if too frequent), track
			burns/wildfires	maintenance, weed invasion, dieback
				spread.
2. S of Eneabba	1998	5	Not recorded	Wildfire (if too frequent)
	2009	4		
3a. S of Eneabba	1982	15	Healthy (pre mining rehab – various years	Mining, Invasive species (Acacia blakelyi)
	1998	44 [33]	1987 2004)	on rehab sites. Lack of appropriate fire
	1999	8		regimes
	2009	105		
3b. S of Eneabba	1999	6	Moderate. Undisturbed (2004)	Mining
	2009	3		
3c. S of Eneabba	1998	1	Healthy (pre mining rehab – various years	Mining
	1999	16 [8]	1991 2004)	6
	2000	1		
	2009	26		
3d. S of Eneabba	1991	$\frac{2}{2}$ (4 inv)	Healthy (1981 & 1988 - pre-mining rehab -	Mining
	1999	8 [5]	2004 in some areas)	

Summary of population information and threats

	2009	13		
3e. S of Eneabba	1999	1	Not recorded	Road maintenance
	2009	1		weed invasion
3f. S of Eneabba	1998	2	Healthy (1998) post 1977/79 rehab in	Mining
	1999	3	some areas	
	2009	5		
3g. S of Eneabba	1999	1	Not recorded	Road maintenance
	2009	1		weed invasion
4a. SE of Eneabba	1991	0	Healthy (1987)	Wildfire (if too frequent)
	1998	3		_
	2009	3		
4b.	1998	2	Not recorded	Near Road Reserve
	2009	1		
5. S of Eneabba	1998	1	Healthy (1998)	Clearing, weed invasion
	2009	1		

Numbers in [brackets] = number of live plants removed during mining operations.

Guide for decision-makers

Section 1 provides details of current and possible future threats. Any on-ground works (mining, clearing, firebreaks, roadworks etc) in the immediate vicinity of *Leucopogon obtectus* will require assessment. On-ground works should not be approved unless the proponents can demonstrate that they will not have an impact on the species, or on its habitat or potential habitat.

Habitat critical to the survival of the species, and important populations

The habitat critical to the survival of *Leucopogon obtectus* comprises the vegetation in which important populations occur; areas of similar habitat within 200 metres of known populations (i.e. kwongan heath - these provide potential habitat for natural range extension); remnant vegetation linking populations (this is necessary to allow pollinators to move between populations); the local catchment of surface and ground water that maintain the habitat of the species, and additional occurrences of similar habitat that may contain the species.

The species is listed as Endangered and it is therefore considered that all known habitat is habitat critical to its survival, and that all populations are important populations. Currently, a significant proportion of the species' known occurrence is within mineral sands mining rehabilitation plots. As such, these occurrences should also be interpreted as representing important populations.

Benefits to other species or ecological communities

There are a number of threatened and priority species and one Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) in the wider habitat of *Leucopogon obtectus*. Several Declared Rare Flora (DRF) species occur within the 500 m buffer zones that have been placed around *L. obtectus* populations and *L. obtectus* population 34d occurs within the TEC buffer for the Endangered Lesueur-Coomallo Floristic Community A1.2 (Species-rich heath with emergent *Hakea obliqua*). Recovery actions that improve the health of *L. obtectus* populations will also protect these threatened species and the TEC.

Species name	Conservation Status (Western Australia)	Conservation Status (EPBC Act)
Eucalyptus crispata	Declared Rare (E)	V
Grevillea curviloba subsp. incurva	Declared Rare (CR)	E
Hakea megalosperma	Declared Rare (V)	V
Paracaleana dixonii	Declared Rare (V)	E
Verticordia luteola var. rosea	Priority 1	-
Caustis gigas ms	Priority 2	-
Grevillea biformis subsp. cymbiformis	Priority 2	-
Lasiopetalum sp. Badgingarra (E.A. Griffin 5278)	Priority 2	-
Loxocarya gigas	Priority 2	-
Calytrix chrysantha	Priority 3	-
Calytrix eneabbensis	Priority 3	-

Conservation-listed flora species occurring in habitat of Leucopogon obtectus

Calytrix superba	Priority 3	-
Stachystemon axillaris	Priority 4	-
Verticordia aurea	Priority 4	-
Verticordia fragrans	Priority 3	-

For a description of the Priority categories see Atkins (2009)

International obligations

This plan is fully consistent with the aims and recommendations of the Convention on Biological Diversity, ratified by Australia in June 1993, and will assist in implementing Australia's responsibilities under that Convention. *Leucopogon obtectus* is not specifically listed under any international treaty, and therefore this plan does not affect Australia's obligations under any other international agreements.

Role and interests of indigenous people

According to the Department of Indigenous Affairs Aboriginal Heritage Sites Register, no sites of Aboriginal significance are known at or near populations of the subspecies covered by this plan.

The advice of the South West Aboriginal Land and Sea Council (SWALSC) and Department of Indigenous Affairs has been sought to identify groups with a cultural connection to land that is important for the species' conservation and to determine any indigenous management responsibilities for the land or issues or interests in the plan. Opportunities for indigenous roles in the recovery of the species will be encouraged and may exist through cultural interpretation and awareness of the species.

Continued liaison between DEC and the indigenous community will identify areas in which collaboration will assist implementation of recovery actions.

Affected interests

The Shires of Irwin, Three Springs, Carnamah, Coorow and Dandaragan are affected as land managers, and main Roads WA, and several mining companies are associated with land on which this species occurs.

Social and economic impacts

The implementation of this recovery plan has the potential to have social and economic impact as some populations of *Leucopogon obtectus* occur on land leased for mining. Negotiations will continue with regard to their future management and recovery actions will involve liaison and cooperation with stakeholders.

Evaluation of the plan's performance

DEC in conjunction with the Moora District Threatened Flora Recovery Team (MDTFRT) will evaluate the performance of the plan. In addition to annual reporting on progress against listed actions and criteria for success and failure, the plan is to be reviewed within five years of its implementation.

2. RECOVERY OBJECTIVE AND CRITERIA

Recovery Plan objective: The objective of this Recovery plan is to maintain or enhance viable *in situ* populations to ensure the long-term preservation of the species in the wild.

Recovery criteria

Criteria for success: The number of individuals within populations has increased by ten percent or more or the number of populations has increased.

Criteria for failure: The number of individuals within populations has decreased by ten percent or more or the number of populations has decreased.

3. RECOVERY ACTIONS

Existing recovery actions

All relevant land managers have been notified of the location and threatened status of *Leucopogon obtectus*. The notification details the Declared Rare status of the species and associated legal obligations.

Staff at The Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority (BGPA) examining techniques for propagating *Leucopogon obtectus* have found that seed germination rates are low. The likely contributing factors are currently being examined as part of a broader inquiry into stimulation of native species germination. Methods for vegetative propagation of *Leucopogon obtectus* involving shoot tip tissue culture and somatic embryogenesis have had some measure of success in laboratory culture. Research to address issues of subsequent transplantation failure of cultured material into soil based media is being pursued. This includes examining the mycorrhizal requirements of the species and relationship between micro propagated plants and symbiotic fungi on subsequent development and long term survival. To assist in these studies, mycorrhizal fungi associated with *L. obtectus* in its natural habitat have been successfully isolated and maintained in pure culture.

The BGPA has completed a research project examining the molecular genetics of *Leucopogon obtectus*. A PhD thesis has developed from this study which outlined the implications for the conservation genetics of the species. This work was supported by a research grant provided through the Iluka Resources Limited. Eneabba Operations Environmental Program for research and development

The BGPA has three clones of the taxon, one from tissue material and two from seed. At present 4.35 g of seed, collected in 1999 from the vicinity of "Beekeepers Reserve", is stored in the BGPA seed store.

In November 2005 a census of *Leucopogon obtectus* within the Iluka Resources mining lease was conducted by Eneabba Operations staff.

Staff from DEC Moora District periodically monitor (subject to staff resources being available) all populations of this species.

The MDTFRT is overseeing the implementation of this plan and will include information on progress in its annual report to DEC's Corporate Executive and funding bodies.

Future recovery actions

Where populations occur on lands other than those managed by DEC, permission has been or will be sought from appropriate land managers prior to recovery actions being undertaken. The following recovery actions are generally in order of descending priority; however this should not constrain addressing any of the priorities if funding is available for 'lower' priorities and as other opportunities arise.

1. Coordinate recovery actions

The Moora District Threatened Flora Recovery Team will coordinate recovery actions for *Leucopogon obtectus* and other Declared Rare Flora in the Moora District and will include information on progress in their annual report to DEC's Corporate Executive and funding bodies.

Action:	Coordinate recovery actions
Responsibility:	DEC (Moora District) through the MDTFRT
Cost:	\$2,000 per year.

2. Liaise with relevant land managers

Staff from DEC Moora District will continue to liaise with relevant land managers and landowners to ensure that populations are not accidentally damaged or destroyed. Managers associated with the natural gas pipeline

Station No 1 and access track within Population 1c should be made aware, if not already notified, of the presence of *Leucopogon obtectus*. In particular, managers of mining operations that are being undertaken on land that contains or may have an impact on populations of *L. obtectus* need to be aware of their obligations. Such obligations may include:

- Ensuring that occurrences of *Leucopogon obtectus* and habitat critical to its survival are protected from any damage that may arise from activities carried out by the proponent or operator.
- Rehabilitation of areas disturbed by mining. This will include a demonstration that existing *Leucopogon obtectus* populations and their habitat are restored according to criteria acceptable to DEC.
- Contributing resources for carrying out research and contributing knowledge that facilitates the long term viability of populations and their habitat.

Action:	Liaise with relevant land managers
Responsibility:	DEC (Moora District) through the MDTFRT
Cost:	\$1,400 per year.

3. Achieve long-term protection of habitat

Improving the security of populations and their habitat will be investigated. On private land this may include conservation covenants with a range of agencies or registration through the Land for Wildlife scheme. The reservation status of the land parcels that support Populations 1f and 3a (Unallocated Crown Land) and Populations 1c, 1e and 3c (class C Nature Reserve) will be reviewed, and the possibility of additional protection through the reserve system investigated.

Action:	Achieve long-term protection of habitat
Responsibility:	DEC (Moora District) through the MDTFRT
Cost:	\$1,500 per year.

4. Map habitat critical to the survival of the species

It is a requirement of the EPBC Act that spatial data relating to habitat critical to the survival of the species be determined. Although this is described in Section 1, the areas as described have not yet been comprehensively mapped and that will be redressed under this action. If any additional populations are located, then habitat critical to the survival of the species will also be determined and mapped for these locations.

Action:Map habitat critical to the survival of the speciesResponsibility:DEC (Moora District, Species and Communities Branch (SCB)) through the MDTFRTCost:\$4,000 in the first year.

5 Monitor populations

Annual monitoring of factors such as habitat degradation (including weed invasion, and plant diseases such as *Phytophthora cinnamomi*), population stability (expansion or decline), pollination activity, seed production, recruitment, longevity and predation of the seed is important

The visibility of Declared Rare Flora (DRF) markers should also be monitored to ensure they remain effective, and have not faded or been covered by vegetation growth.

Fire is likely to have a significant impact on *Leucopogon obtectus* populations. Fire occurrence and frequency should be monitored in relation to known or likely areas of occupancy for the species. This includes:

- Monitoring and determining the impact of occasional and concurrent fires on known populations and their subsequent recovery.
- Monitoring the impact of fires on possible recruitment of *Leucopogon obtectus* populations from latent seed bank reserves in areas where plants have died.

Status of soil seedbanks should be monitored for all populations where inappropriate disturbance may be:

- diminishing reserves though repeated stimulation without replenishment or
- gradual decline through loss of viability of seed not exposed to required germination stimuli.

Monitoring programs should be undertaken by mining agencies responsible for rehabilitation of disturbed habitat. Data and regular reports from these programs should be made available to the recovery team as required.

Action:	Monitor populations
Responsibility:	DEC (Moora District), Main Roads Western Australia and MERIWA through the
	MDTFRT. Also Curtin and Melbourne Universities through Iluka Resources.
Cost:	\$1,600 per year.

6. Conduct further surveys

Further surveys by DEC staff and community volunteers should be conducted during the flowering period of the species (October to March). As populations of the species are impacted upon in some areas by mineral sand mining, permission to take plants in the course of such mining operations should involve reference to the percentage of plants to be taken in comparison to the total numbers of known plants. In this context the mining operator or proponent should be encouraged to contribute to the costs and/or labour involved in surveying current population numbers within and outside the current mining lease.

Particular attention should be given to surveying population 1 north of Eneabba to ascertain its current status following fires that have occurred in the area. Other areas of likely habitat should also be surveyed periodically, particularly areas that have been disturbed by fire which may stimulate recruitment from a latent seedbank. Records of areas surveyed should be sent to Species and Communities Branch and retained at the district office, even if *Leucopogon obtectus* is not found.

Action:	Conduct further surveys
Responsibility:	DEC (Moora District) through the MDTFRT
Cost:	\$2,500 per year in the first, third and fifth years with contribution from Mining operator
	(Iluka Resources Ltd).

7 Obtain biological and ecological information

Improved knowledge of the biology and ecology of *Leucopogon obtectus* will provide a scientific basis for its management in the wild. An understanding of the following is necessary for effective management:

- 1. Soil seed bank dynamics, including seedbank location and viability and replacement or reduction.
- 2. The role of various disturbances (including fire), competition, rainfall and grazing in germination and recruitment.
- 3. The pollination biology of the species.
- 4. The requirements of pollinators.
- 5. The reproductive strategies, phenology and seasonal growth of the species.
- 6. Characterisation of fungal symbionts and their presence at existing and potential *Leucopogon obtectus* sites
- 7. Determine if current seed predation is of sufficient impact to warrant further investigation
- 8. Determine required levels of genetic diversity and minimum viable population size.

Action:	Obtain biological and ecological information
Responsibility:	DEC (Science Division, Moora District), MERIWA and BGPA through the MDTFRT.
	Also Curtin and Melbourne Universities through Iluka Resources.
Cost:	\$12,000 per year in the second, third and fourth years.

8 Collect and preserve genetic material

It is necessary to store germplasm as a genetic resource, ready for use in translocations and as an *ex situ* genetic "reservoir" of the species. The germplasm stored will include, if possible, seed and live plants in cultivation. As only limited seed has been collected from one population of *Leucopogon obtectus* additional collections should be made from a wider variety of locations. This is important to maintain adequate representation of the genetic diversity of the species as well as maintain the historical processes that have shaped the genetic character of the species. Poor germination of seed tested to date indicates the need for other sources of germplasm. This will require the development of effective techniques for long term storage of such material. To achieve this, alternative sources of effective plant material may need to be identified, collected and tested. Mycorrhizal fungi are likely to be an important symbiont and should be collected and stored in conjunction with any plant germplasm.

Action:	Collect viable seed, vegetative and mycorrhizal material. Develop techniques and		
	protocols for storing and maintaining these materials as living <i>ex situ</i> collections.		
Responsibility:	DEC (TFSC, Moora Districts) and BGBA through the MDTFRT		
Cost:	\$2,200 in the first, third and fifth years.		

9 Research and Develop techniques for propagating *Leucopogon obtectus* for translocation.

Further research should be conducted to improve techniques for propagating plants suitable for translocation. This may involve:

- improving the current low ex situ germination rate of seed
- improving the survival of plants generated from micro propagated tissue material
- Establishing the symbiotic requirements for long term survival of propagated plants at translocation sites.

Action:

Responsibility: DEC (TFSC, Moora District), MERIWA and BGBA through the MDTFRT. Also Curtin University through Iluka Resources.

Cost: \$9000 over first 3 years.

10. Stimulate regeneration

Techniques should be researched and developed for facilitating *in situ* recruitment of *Leucopogon obtectus* populations. Where a significant proportion of the species occurrence shows signs of senescence with little recruitment experimental disturbance trials may need to be considered. The purpose of such trials would be to ascertain those methods most effective, in facilitating *in situ* recruitment. If a fire trial is found to be necessary, it should be undertaken with care and its impact limited to a small area. As fire has the potential to encourage the invasion of weeds effective follow-up weed control will need to be undertaken as necessary. Monitoring of trials will include documenting the response of associated species and any negative impacts such as the level weed invasion. Monitoring of regeneration should continue for at least three years and preferably until it is established that the regenerated plants have reached reproductive maturity and are actively contributing to the seedbank.

Action:	Stimulate regeneration
Responsibility:	DEC (Moora District) through the MDTFRT
Cost:	\$2,000 per year.

11. Implement weed control if required

The current level of threat from weeds is low at most populations. However, an increase in weed numbers (such as after fire) could impact on *Leucopogon obtectus* by competing for resources, degrading habitat, exacerbating grazing pressure, and increasing the risk and severity of fire. Recruitment is likely to be particularly affected. If during monitoring it is deemed that the threat from weeds has increased, weed control may need to be

undertaken in consultation with the land managers. The methods employed will need to accommodate seasonal considerations and impact on the surrounding native vegetation. All applications of weed control should be followed by a report on the method, timing and success of the treatment against weeds, and the effect on *Leucopogon obtectus* and associated native plant species.

Action:Implement weed control if requiredResponsibility:DEC (Moora District) and relevant land managers through the MDTFRTCost:\$700 per year.

12. Research fire ecology

Long-term research is required to determine the longevity of *Leucopogon obtectus* and the time required to replenish seed stores following germination. As this information becomes known, a full fire management strategy will be developed.

Action:	Research fire ecology
Responsibility:	DEC (Science Division and Moora District) through the MDTFRT
Cost:	\$6,000 in year 1; \$2,500 in years 2, 3, 4 and 5.

13. Implement a fire management strategy

No planned burns will occur in the habitat of populations until a full fire management strategy has been developed. This will include recommendations on prescription fire frequency and intensity, precautions to prevent fire, a strategy for reacting to wildfire and the need, method of construction and maintenance of firebreaks. This will be implemented by DEC District staff in consultation with land managers.

Action:	Implement a fire management strategy
Responsibility:	DEC (Moora District) and Iluka through the MDTFRT
Cost:	\$3,500 in year 5.

14. Promote awareness

The importance of biodiversity conservation and the need for the long-term protection of wild populations of this species will be promoted to the community through poster displays and the local print and electronic media. Formal links with local naturalist groups and interested individuals will also be encouraged. An information sheet will be produced, and will include a description of the plant, its habitat, threats, recovery actions and photos. This will be distributed to the public through DEC's Moora District office and at the offices and libraries of the Shires of Carnamah and Coorow. Such information distribution may lead to the discovery of new populations.

Action:	Promote awareness
Responsibility:	DEC (Moora and Merredin Districts) through the Recovery Teams
Cost:	\$1,700 in first year, and \$1,100 per year.

15. Undertake and monitor a translocation

If attempts to stimulate regeneration are not successful, translocations may need to be considered for the conservation of this species. This approach will require the development of a translocation proposal and selection of suitable translocation sites. Normal protocols involve the propagation of material prior to planting out in accordance with an approved Translocation Proposal. Given the difficulties associated with seed germination for the species and the experimental nature of vegetative micropropagation techniques to date, alternative methods, other than *ex-situ* propagation, may need to be investigated. For example, seedbank augmentation from relocated topsoil or harvested vegetation, accompanied by appropriate germination stimuli. Information on the translocation of threatened plants and animals in the wild is provided in the Department's Policy Statement No. 29 *Translocation of Threatened Flora and Fauna*. All translocation proposals require endorsement by the Department's Director of Nature Conservation.

Monitoring of the translocation is essential and will be undertaken according to the timetable developed for the Translocation Proposal.

Action:	Undertake and monitor translocation
Responsibility:	DEC (Moora District, TFSC) and BGPA through the MDTFRT. Also Iluka.
Cost:	\$10,000 in the third year and \$5000 in the fifth year.

16. Install DRF markers

DRF markers will be required at Populations 1a, 1d and 3g on Brand Highway, and Population 1c on Beekeepers Road. The installation of these markers will take place during monitoring of the species in its flowering period. These will help road maintenance workers to avoid accidental damage to the plants or their habitat.

Action:	Install DRF markers
Responsibility:	Various (Iluka Resources, Main Roads Western Australia, Shire of Coorow/Carnamah)
	with assistance from DEC (Moora District) through the MDTFRT
Cost:	\$200 in first year.

17. Review the Recovery plan and assess the need for further recovery actions

At the end of its five-year term this plan will be reviewed and the need for further recovery actions will be assessed.

Action:	Review the plan and assess the need for further recovery actions
Responsibility:	DEC (SCB, Moora District) through the MDTFRT
Cost:	\$1,000 in the fifth year.

Summary of Recovery action costs

\$75,200
\$13,800
\$108,200
\$197,200

4. TERM OF PLAN

Western Australia

This plan will operate from March 2006 to February 2011 but will remain in force until withdrawn or replaced. If the taxon is still ranked EN (IUCN 2001) in Western Australia after five years, the need for further recovery actions will be determined. The current plan was updated in March 2010.

Commonwealth

In accordance with the provisions of the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) this adopted recovery plan will remain in force until revoked.

The recovery plan must be reviewed at intervals of not longer than 5 years.

5. **REFERENCES**

Atkins, K. (2009) *Declared Rare and Priority Flora List for Western Australia*. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia.

- Brown, A., Thomson-Dans, C. and Marchant, N. (Eds). (1998) *Western Australia's Threatened Flora*. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
- Bunn, E., Dixon, K.W., and M.A. Langley, (1989), In vitro propagation of *Leucopogon obtectus* Benth. (Epacridaceae) Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 19: pp77-84. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.

Bunn, E. personal communication

- DEC (2009) Western Australian Herbarium FloraBase 2 Information on the Western Australian Flora. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia. Accessed 2003. <u>http://www.dec.wa.gov.au/science/</u>
- CALM (1995) Policy Statement No. 29 *Translocation of Threatened Flora and Fauna*. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
- CALM (1994) Policy Statement No. 50 *Setting Priorities for the Conservation of Western Australia's Threatened Flora and Fauna.* Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
- CALM (1992) Policy Statement No. 44 *Wildlife Management Programs*. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
- CALM (1990) *Threatened Flora Database (DEFL)*. Species and Communities Branch, Department of Conservation & Land Management, Western Australia. Accessed 2003.
- DEC (2009) Western Australian Herbarium FloraBase 2 Information on the Western Australian Flora. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia. Accessed 2003. <u>http://www.dec.wa.gov.au/science/</u>
- Keighery, G.J. (1988). *Dieback briefing paper. I, Epacridaceae*. Department of Conservation and Land Management. Perth. Western Australia.
- Leigh, J., Boden, R. and Briggs, J. (1984) *Extinct and Endangered Plants of Australia*. The Macmillan Co. of Australia Pty Ltd. Hong Kong.
- Lewis, J., (1981) *Conostylis* sp.*tomentosa, Isopogon tridens* (Meisn), F. Muell, *Leucopogon obtectus* Benth in Rare and geographically restricted plants of Western Australia 8, Dept. of Fisheries & Wildlife, Perth.
- World Conservation Union (2001) *IUCN Red List Categories: Version 3.1.* Prepared by the IUCN Species Survival Commission. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK.
- Zawko, G., Krauss, S.L., Dixon, K.W., and K. Sivasithamparam, (2001). Conservation genetics of the rare and endangered *Leucopogon obtectus* (Ericaceae), Molecular Ecology 10, pp2389-2396, Blackwell Science Ltd.

6. TAXONOMIC DESCRIPTION

Leigh, J., Boden, R. and Briggs, J. (1984) *Extinct and Endangered Plants of Australia*. The Macmillan Co. of Australia. Pp 190-191.

Shrub to about 1.5m high with a few long, erect branches completely covered by the foliage. *Leaves* are stalkless, with a pale bluish-green bloom, broadly heart-shaped to ovate or orbicular, about 1 cm in diameter and ending in a small sharp point, rigid, concave and overlapping along the stems. *Flowers* are creamy-yellow, very small, borne 2 or 3 together on very short peduncles arising singly from the lead axils and not projecting beyond the leaves. The lanceolate sepals are 4 mm long and the corolla tube is nearly as long as the calyx and has rather short lobes. *Fruit* is not described. *Flowering* October to November.

NOTE: Fruit is described by Brown, et.al, (1998) as; smooth, green, egg-shaped and containing a single seed.