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# Summary

This recovery plan addresses the conservation requirements of five species of rock wallabies that occur in the NT, SA and WA. Within these five species are a number of recognised subspecies and genetic races. The plan summarises available information relevant to the future conservation of these species and outlines a range of actions to improve their conservation status.

**Taxonomy and conservation status**

Short-eared rock wallaby(*Petrogale brachyotis*) occurs in WA, NT and in the federally-administered Kakadu NP. It is not listed as a threatened species in any of these jurisdictions. Three geographic races are recognized (Kimberley, Victoria River and Arnhem Land).

Monjon (*Petrogale burbidgei*) only occurs in the north-west Kimberley and is not currently listed under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950* (WA), or the federal EPBC Act. It is however listed as a priority 4 taxon on the DPaW priority fauna list. The DPaW priority fauna list is not legislated but seeks to include those taxa that may be considered threatened in the future with further research or if circumstances change.

Nabarlek (*Petrogale concinna*) is not listed as a threatened species under the EPBC Act nor the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950* (WA). It is listed as ‘near threatened’ under the *Territory Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act 2000* (NT). Three subspecies are currently recognized based on the geographic distribution of the species (Eldridge 1997): *Petrogale c. concinna* *P. c. monastria*,and *P. c. canescens*. The validity of these subspecies requires further study.

Black-footed rock wallaby (*Petrogale lateralis*) has a broad geographic distribution with three subspecies and two chromosomal races with differing conservation status as outlined below:

* *P. lateralis lateralis :*

EPBC Act: Vulnerable

*Wildlife Conservation Act* 1950 (WA): Fauna that is rare or is likely to become extinct

* *P. lateralis hacketti*

EPBC Act:Vulnerable

*Wildlife Conservation Act 1950* (WA): Fauna that is rare or is likely to become extinct

* *P. lateralis pearsoni*

EPBC Act: Not listed - delisted from EPBC Act (Vulnerable) in July 2010, in response to a review of the number of wild and translocated populations.

*National Parks and Wildlife Act* *1972* (SA): Not listed

* *P. lateralis* MacDonnell Ranges race

EPBC Act: Vulnerable

*National Parks and Wildlife Act* *1972* (SA) Schedule 7: Endangered

*Wildlife Conservation Act 1950* (WA): Fauna that is rare or is likely to become extinct

*Territory Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act 2000* (NT): Near Threatened

* *P. l.* West Kimberley race

EPBC Act: Vulnerable

*Wildlife Conservation Act 1950* (WA): Fauna that is rare or is likely to become extinct

Rothschild’s rock wallaby (*Petrogale rothschildi*) is confined to the Pilbara and northern Ashburton Regions. It is not listed as a threatened species under the EPBC Act nor the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950* (WA).

**Threats**

The main known and perceived conservation threats facing the rock wallabies covered in this plan are:

* predation by foxes, feral cats and dogs
* competition for food and shelter from introduced herbivores
* changes to fire regimes since colonisation
* habitat destruction from clearing, mining and quarrying
* habitat degradation due to weed incursions
* small population sizes and population fragmentation
* disease
* disturbance by tourists
* drought and the effects of climate change.

**Habitat critical for survival**

Habitat critical to the survival of each taxon is summarized in Appendix 1. In general terms, rock wallabies can occur on a wide variety of rock types, but require sufficient cave and crevice development to provide shelter from extremes of temperature and predators. Free water is usually not required unless the animals are occupying sub-optimal habitat that has inferior thermal refuges. Suitable vegetation communities (with palatable grasses, herbs and forbs present) need to be in close proximity to shelter sites. Habitat critical to survival has been mapped for populations of *P. l. lateralis* in the WA Wheatbelt and for *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race in SA (Read and Ward 2011). It has not been mapped for any of the other taxa included in this plan.

**Recovery objectives**

The overall objective of the recovery program is to:

Ensure the survival of populations and maintain or, where applicable, improve the conservation status (based on IUCN criteria (IUCN 1994)) of the taxa described in this plan through increased knowledge and understanding, the protection of habitat and abatement of threats, and involving the community in recovery actions.

**Recovery actions**

* Assess the conservation status of poorly surveyed taxa.
* Conduct feral predator control and monitor its effectiveness.
* Manage problem herbivores.
* Maintain and enhance biosecurity actions for islands to prevent the introduction of feral predators, competitors, weeds or disease.
* Conduct translocations, captive breeding and reintroductions to establish new, or supplement existing populations.
* Survey and monitor populations and review the efficacy of management actions.
* Manage habitat to maintain or improve its carrying capacity for rock wallabies and to permit successful breeding and dispersal.
* Undertake research to improve understanding of species’ biology, management and monitoring techniques.
* Communication and community education.
* Manage the recovery process.

**Performance criteria**

This Recovery Plan will be deemed successful if, within a ten year period, all of the following are achieved (using 2011 data as a baseline):

1. The areas or number of sites occupied by mainland *P. lateralis* populations increase.
2. The areas occupied and the number of populations of *P. rothschildi* is at least maintained.
3. The island populations of rock wallabies persist and population levels are at least maintained.
4. Distribution and status surveys are undertaken of poorly known taxa and their conservation status reassessed.
5. Monitoring techniques for rock wallabies and their associated predators are improved and applied to at least five additional populations.

This Recovery Plan will be deemed not successful if, within a ten year period, any of the following occur (using 2011 data as a baseline):

1. There is a decline in the conservation status of any taxon covered by this plan due to the action of threatening processes.
2. There is extinction of any of the currently known populations of *P. lateralis* or*P****.*** *rothschildi.*
3. There is extinction of any island population of taxa covered by this plan.
4. Surveys are not conducted and understanding of the distribution and conservation status of taxa remains at 2011 levels.
5. There is no growth in 50 per cent of the populations where feral animal control is taking place to manage this threat.
6. No new viable populations are established.
7. **Species information**

## Introduction

This recovery plan addresses the conservation requirements of five species (and their component subspecies and genetic races) of rock wallabies occurring in the NT, WA and SA (Table 1).

Only one species (*P. lateralis*), and associated subspecies and races, is listed as threatened under federal or state/territory legislation, however all taxa have been included in this plan as some threats and management issues are in common. The maintenance of the conservation status of the non-threatened taxa is a wider biodiversity conservation objective.

Table 1: Taxa of rock wallabies covered in this recovery plan (as currently recognized, June 2012) and their conservation status under federal, state and territory legislation.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Taxon name** | Common Name | **EPBC (1999) status** | **Distribution (status)** |
| *P. l. lateralis* | Black-flanked rock wallaby | V | WA (V) |
| *P. l. hacketti* | Recherche rock wallaby | V | WA (V) |
| *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race | Black-flanked or black-footed rock wallaby, or warru | V | NT (NT), SA (E), WA (V) |
| *P. l.* West Kimberley race | Black-flanked rock wallaby | V | WA (V) |
| *P. l. pearsoni* | Pearson Island rock wallaby | Not listed\* | SA |
| *P. brachyotis* | Short-eared rock wallaby | Not listed | NT, WA |
| *P. burbidgei* | Monjon | Not listed | WA (P4) |
| *P. c. concinna* | Nabarlek | Not listed | NT (NT) |
| *P. c. monastria* | Nabarlek | Not listed | WA |
| *P. c. canescens* | Nabarlek | Not listed | NT |
| *P. rothschildi* | Rothschild’s rock wallaby | Not listed | WA |
| EPBC- *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* 1999  V – vulnerable, E – endangered, NT – near threatened, P4 - DPaW Priority Fauna List priority 4 taxon[[1]](#footnote-1)  \* delisted from EPBC Act (V) in July 2010, in response to a review of the number of wild and translocated populations and a recommendation by the SA Threatened Species Sub-committee. | | | |

The plan summarises available information relevant to the conservation of these species and outlines actions to halt population declines and, where applicable, support recovery. These rock wallabies are distributed across a vast area of Australia, often in rugged country and on many different types of land tenure. Some threats facing rock wallaby populations are similar, however the range of threats is diverse and the geographic spread of the taxa involved makes this multi-species recovery plan complex. The management of threats facing rock wallabies is challenging and will require close co-operation between government agencies, Aboriginal communities, community groups and many land-holders.

Three of the species covered in this plan have northern tropical distributions. The Monjon (*P. burbidgei*)is endemic to the Kimberley Region of WA, while the short-eared rock wallaby (*P. brachyotis*)and Nabarlek (*P. concinna*) have broader distributions including large areas of the Kimberley and the Top End of the NT.

Rothschild’s rock wallaby (*P. rothschildi*) is endemic to the Pilbara and Ashburton Regions of WA. The black-footed rock wallaby (*P. lateralis*) has the largest geographic range; encompassing much of WA, northern SA and central NT. However, its populations are widely separated and/or highly fragmented and it demonstrates considerable genetic variation with three recognized subspecies and two known genetic races.

A draft recovery plan for *P. l. lateralis* was written as a project under the Australian National Parks and Wildlife Service Endangered Species Program (Project 149) by Hall and Kinnear (1991). A recovery team was formed in 2006 to develop conservation strategies for SA populations of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race (warru) and a recovery plan was subsequently produced (Read and Ward 2011). Recovery plans have been written for other rock wallaby species not covered by this plan: *Petrogale persephone* (Nolan and Johnson 2001) and *Petrogale penicillata* (Department of Environment and Climate Change NSW 2008).

Profiles for each taxon covered by this recovery plan are provided in Appendix 1. These contain descriptions of taxa and information on their distribution, habitat and biology and an explanation of known and potential threats to their conservation.

## Distribution and important populations

Table 2 presents information on the past and current distribution of each taxon (also refer to Appendix 1 for distribution maps). Few populations have been adequately surveyed to determine population size, or no recent estimates are available, thus Table 2 contains rough estimates (marked \*).

DPaW has recently completed a biogeographic survey of some of the larger Kimberley islands which failed to locate any new rock wallaby populations, but confirmed the persistence of all those previously recorded from the islands surveyed (Gibson and McKenzie 2012). The identity of the species of rock wallaby present on Jungulu (Darcy) Island was not able to be resolved.

Populations may be considered as ‘important’ based on their overall conservation value for the taxon; their value for conservation within a biogeographic, administrative or other type of region; as a tourism asset or attraction; or for cultural reasons for Aboriginal people. In this plan, populations where active management (e.g. predator control, fire management) currently occurs are also considered ‘important’ populations as there is expenditure of resources for their conservation. Populations considered important for the taxon are highlighted in Table 2 (marked ^).

Table 2: Past and current distribution of each taxon

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Past distribution** | **Current population locations (size estimates)** |
| P. l. lateralis | |
| WA – Depuch and Barrow Islands, Cape Range, Little Sandy Desert (including Durba Hills, Calvert Range) , Wheatbelt to Avon Valley NP, southern coastline, Salisbury Island | Barrow Island^ (150-200; Hall and Kinnear 1991)  Cape Range ^ (200-250)\*  Calvert Range^ (<50)\*  7 WA Wheatbelt populations^ (<150)\*  Salisbury Island^ (500)\*  Translocated populations:   * Cape Le Grand NP (<30)\* * Avon Valley NP (<50)\* * Paruna Sanctuary (<50)\* |
| P. l. hacketti | |
| WA - Recherche Archipelago 3 islands | Mondrian Island^ (500)\*  Westall Island^ (300)\*  Wilson Island^ (300)\* |
| ***P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race** | |
| Central Ranges WA, southern NT, north-western SA, Davenport Ranges SA | **NT:** Harts Range (no estimates available)  George Gill Range^ (no estimates available)  MacDonnell Ranges^ (no estimates available)  **SA:** Tomkinson (30-50)\* and Musgrave Ranges^ (150-200)\*; Read and Ward 2011, Ward *et. al*. 2011)  **WA:** eastern central ranges^ (100-200)\*  Cross-fostered captives Monarto Zoo Adelaide (22; Read and Ward 2011) |
| ***P. l.* West Kimberley race** | |
| WA – Edgar, Erskine and surrounding ranges and hills | Edgar Ranges^ (<200)\*  Erskine Ranges^ (100)\* |
| P. l. pearsoni | |
| SA – Pearson Island northern islet | Pearson Island^ (250-600)  3 translocated populations:   * Thistle Island^ (350) * Wedge Island (100-200) * Pearson Island southern islet (150)   (Estimates from Copley and Alexander 1997) |
| P. brachyotis | |
| NT – Top End from Victoria River across to the NT-QLD border including 19 islands | Many populations over vast area: including Kakadu NP^, Litchfield NP^ Mitchell River NP^ and Groote Eylandt ( no estimates available) |
| P. burbidgei | |
| WA – north-west Kimberley mainland; 3 islands and possibly others | Mitchell River NP^, Prince Regent River NR^,  Bigge^, Boongaree^ and Katers Islands^ (no population estimates available) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Past distribution** | **Current population locations (size estimates)** |
| P. c. concinna | |
| NT – Only known from the type locality near Timber Creek in the Victoria River district of NT | Unknown; only a single specimen in 1839, but no specific surveys have been undertaken to relocate this population or to locate others. |
| P. c. monastria | |
| WA – north Kimberley mainland; 3 islands and possibly others | Mainland populations in Mitchell River NP^ and Prince Regent NR^ and Long^, Hidden^ and Augustus^ Islands (no population estimates available) |
| ***P. c. canescens*** | |
| NT- eastern Arnhem Land | Eastern Arnhem Land (no population estimates available) |
| P. rothschildi | |
| WA – Pilbara and northern Ashburton Regions | Pilbara and northern Ashburton to the edge of Great Sandy Desert, Dampier Archipelago islands (Dolphin, Enderby and Rosemary)  1 translocated population: West Lewis Island  (No population estimates available) |
| \* Rough Estimate of population size  ^ Populations considered important for the taxon | |

## 

## Habitat

As their common name suggests, rock wallabies show a pronounced preference for rocky habitats, especially those with extensive development of caves, crevices and overhangs that allow the animals to escape extremes of weather and to hide from predators. Outcrops that have large areas of smooth rock surfaces (such as granite inselbergs or steep cliffs) typically do not support rock wallabies. However, small areas of broken outcrop in association with such rock types can support small colonies of rock wallabies. Appendix 1 details the habitat preferences for each rock wallaby taxon in this plan.

Rock wallabies occur on a wide variety of rock types including limestone, sandstone, quartzite, granite, granophyre, gabbro, ironstone and laterite. Their preference for rocky habitats results in a scattered distribution across the landscape with large areas of unsuitable habitat separating some populations. Historical and ethno-zoological information suggests that some rock wallabies ranged further from their outcrops in the past and were able to use trees and even logs for shelter. This allowed them to forage over larger areas, find breeding partners or disperse to other rock outcrops (Pearson 1992). The increased threats of predation posed by foxes and feral cats have limited the extent to which such alternative shelter sites are used.

The vegetation that occurs within the habitat of rock wallabies is diverse due to the range of geological surfaces, latitudinal extent and different climatic conditions. Rock wallabies typically do not require access to free water, but will use it if available and will drink dew or rain. On islands where some sympatric macropod species occasionally drink seawater when under water stress, rock wallabies avoid this by selecting thermal shelter sites that reduce their water requirements and they limit activity to the cool of the late afternoon and night time (King and Bradshaw 2008).

Their close association with rocky habitats makes it relatively easy to delineate the habitat that is potentially suitable for rock wallabies to use for shelter. Their limited foraging zone (usually within 100m of outcrops) means that their effective shelter and foraging areas can be estimated. Geological maps, satellite imagery and aerial photography that indicate outcropping rock types are all useful for identifying potential habitat. However, the existence of specific microhabitats (cool, small multi-entranced crevices and caves) can only be determined by field survey.

Habitat Critical to Survival

Habitat critical to survival of each taxon is summarized in Appendix 1. In general terms, rock wallabies can occur on a wide variety of rock types, but require sufficient cave and crevice development to provide shelter from extremes of temperature and predators. Free water is usually not required unless the animals are occupying sub-optimal habitat that has inferior thermal refuges. Suitable vegetation communities (with palatable grasses, herbs and forbs present) need to be in close proximity to shelter sites. Habitat critical to survival has been mapped for populations of *P. l. lateralis* in the WA Wheatbelt and for *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race in SA (Read and Ward 2011). It has not been mapped for any of the other taxa included in this plan.

# Threats

The relative importance of historic and current factors in causing the decline of rock wallaby populations are difficult to distinguish due to a lack of knowledge, research and monitoring of populations. Factors are likely to be synergistic. For example, grazing by introduced herbivores might force rock wallabies to forage further from rocky refugia thereby exposing them to increased risk of predation.

The main known and perceived conservation threats facing rock wallabies as a group include:

* predation by foxes, feral cats and other predators
* competition for food and shelter from introduced herbivores
* changes to fire regimes since colonisation
* habitat destruction from clearing, mining and quarrying
* habitat degradation due to weed incursions
* small population sizes and population fragmentation
* disease
* disturbance by tourists
* drought and the effects of climate change.

Known and potential threats to the taxa in this plan are discussed below, and outlined in the taxon profiles (Appendix 1).

## Predation by foxes, feral cats and other predators

*Fox predation* - The work of Jack Kinnear and colleagues on the impactof foxes *(Vulpes vulpes*) on WA Wheatbelt rock wallaby populations alerted conservation agencies to their critical importance as a predator of native mammals (Kinnear *et al*. 1988, 1998). Predation by the European red fox is now listed as a key threatening process (KTP) under the EPBC Act. A threat abatement plan has been prepared (DEWHA 2008b).

The problem of episodic predation events has been raised by J. Kinnear (pers. comm. 2008). No baiting program will be 100 per cent effective at removing all foxes. Individual or small groups of foxes are capable of killing a significant number of rock wallabies in short periods; a serious threat if populations are already small. Fox predation is likely to be more severe on juveniles and the smaller female rock wallabies. The presence of foxes also alters the behaviour of rock wallabies. The presence of such predators tends to result in a reduction in time spent foraging and in foraging distance from their rocky refugia. (C. Pentland pers. comm.).

*Feral cat predation -* Feral cats (*Felis catus*) are listed as a KTP under the EPBC Act and a threat abatement plan has been published (DEWHA 2008c). The impact of feral cat predation on the taxa in this plan is not known and there have been no specific studies. Spencer (1991) observed feral cats eating young *Petrogale assimilis* (up to 4kg in weight as adults) in tropical Queensland and believed that feral cats had a role in limiting recruitment. Feral cats are considered to be a potential threat to rock wallabies (DEWHA 2008c). Feral cats have proven difficult to control with baits. Newly developed baits (‘Eradicat’) offer some hope of better control and these could be employed in conjunction with or in place of standard dried meat baits.

*Other predators -* The role of other predators, especially dingoes (*Canis lupus dingo*) and/or wild dogs, in causing rock wallaby declines or constraining rock wallaby populations remains unclear. Dingoes are known by Western Desert Aborigines to be predators of rock wallabies (Pearson 1992). There is considerable debate about the status and role of dingoes in the Australian landscape. This has the potential to influence effective predator control, as it is not currently possible to deliver widespread fox control using baits without also killing dingoes.

Several native predators are known to take rock wallabies including birds of prey (especially wedge-tailed eagles and sea eagles), large goannas and pythons. These species provide a ‘natural’ background level of predation in thriving rock wallaby populations, but could potentially cause problems for small, isolated or restricted populations. In rare instances, it may be justified to move individual native predators that are impacting markedly on a rock wallaby population, such as eagles nesting alongside an outcrop or a pen containing captive-bred rock wallabies.

*Interactions between predators -* There is some evidence that the removal of dingoes and foxes may lead to an increase in feral cat numbers through a ‘mesopredator release’ mechanism (Finke and Denno 2004, Johnson 2006; but see Robley *et al.* 2004 for a review). Current research will hopefully illuminate the comparative roles of introduced predators (N. Marlow pers. comm.). In terms of rock wallaby conservation, baiting must aim to reduce or remove overall predation pressure. When predator control is not undertaken in desert areas, rock wallaby populations have tended to decline or only persist in the most optimal habitat. This is apparent from the decline of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race populations in the Little Sandy Desert and Warburton Region of WA, where there has been no control of feral predators for over 40 years and there are large numbers of dingoes (Pearson and Ngaanyatjarra Council 1997).

## Competition for food and shelter from introduced herbivores

Almost all mainland populations of rock wallabies in this plan co-occur with exotic herbivores in or adjacent to their habitats.

*European rabbits* - Rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) are sympatric with rock wallabies in mid and southern latitudes, they may reduce the amount of potential forage and their activities (selective grazing and burrowing) encourage weed infestations. It is not known whether rabbit grazing is limiting the carrying capacity of habitat for rock wallabies, but some rabbit control around WA Wheatbelt rock outcrops in particular would likely improve potential carrying capacity for rock wallabies.High rabbit numbers may support higher predator populations, particularly of foxes and feral cats, but this relationship is imperfectly understood (Robley *et al*. 2004).

*Feral goats* *-* Feral goats (*Capra hircus*) occur in large numbers throughout the Mid-West Region of WA. A change in the legal status of feral goats on pastoral lands that allows their exploitation as stock (*Land Administration Act 1997)* has increased the value of feral goats to pastoralists, and has reversed the motivation to control them. This limits the effectiveness of regional goat control operations, as reinvasion of goats into conservation reserves is likely to occur from surrounding pastoral lands. This is a particular problem in Kalbarri and Cape Range NPs.

In Kalbarri NP, goat grazing has resulted in vegetation communities along the Murchison River cliffs being dominated by spiny, unpalatable species with little potential forage for rock wallabies and was probably responsible for their local extinction in the 1980s. In Cape Range NP, boat operators on Yardie Creek have reported occasional aggressive behaviour by goats, forcing rock wallabies to abandon caves during daylight hours. Goats appear to be effectively controlled outside pastoral lands by a combination of lack of water sources and probably predation by dingoes.

*Domestic stock and feral camels -* Domestic stock (sheep and cattle)and feral camels (Camelus dromedarius) impact on the vegetation around rock outcrops used by many populations of rock wallabies, but usually these potential competitors are unable or unwilling to penetrate most of the habitat used by rock wallabies. Nonetheless, stock and camels may have the ability to restrict population growth of rock wallabies by confining their foraging activities close to refugia or causing them to travel further to forage.

*Other macropods* - Removal of predators may lead to increases in the densities of other macropods and so indirectly affect rock wallabies. The euro (*Macropus robustus*) is one species that may potentially increase under a fox baiting regime (Read and Ward 2011). An overlap with rock wallaby diets and shelter sites is likely in some areas, although the nature and extent of competition is not known. High numbers of feral rats (*Rattus rattus*) and house mice (*Mus musculus*) occur in the WA Wheatbelt granite outcrops. Although there is no significant direct competition with rock wallabies they are likely contributing to the problems and persistence of feral predators.

## Changes to fire regimes since colonisation

There is increasing evidence across northern Australia that current fire regimes are resulting in, or have a synergistic impact on, the decline of a range of mammals (Fitzsimons *et al.* 2010). However, rocky habitats often cause fire fronts to slow and reticulate. Thus, rock wallaby populations are often buffered from the worst effects of bushfires (Burbidge and McKenzie 1989, Woinarski *et al.* 2001). While fire may cause short-term loss of feeding resources, it may also remove senescent unpalatable vegetation (such as old spinifex) and stimulate regeneration of more palatable ephemeral and perennial plant species. Alternately, with less shrub cover rock wallabies may be exposed to increased predation after fire. Since their habitats are already often open, this impact is likely to be less significant for rock wallabies than for macropods dependent on sheltering under vegetation (such as hare-wallabies).

There has been no specific research on the impact of fire on rock wallabies. Although observations on the impact of a large fire on *P. l. hacketti* on Mondrain Island found that there was some mortality of individual rock wallabies, but many survived and soon began to forage through burnt areas (Pearson unpublished). A fire that burnt about 80 per cent of an outcrop occupied by a *P. l.* West Kimberley race population in the Erskine Range appeared to have little impact on the abundance of rock wallabies. Apart from some anecdotal accounts of rock wallabies vacating burnt areas for short periods, understanding of the short and long-term effects of fire is limited. Research into the most appropriate ways to manage fire around rock wallaby colonies is required (see Action 8.5).

## Habitat destruction from clearing, mining and quarrying

Some small areas of rock wallaby habitat are currently under threat from clearing or from extractive industries such as mining or quarrying. Small areas of habitat for *P. l. lateralis* in Cape Range (limestone quarry) and Barrow Island (gas infrastructure) are potentially at risk. While the actual areas of habitat destroyed by these operations may be limited, indirect impacts resulting from these activities (increased traffic, greater risk of fire and elevated populations of exotic predators) are likely to affect rock wallabies over larger areas than just the immediate footprint.

## Habitat degradation due to weed incursions

Little is known about the impact of weeds on rock wallaby habitats and long-term effects on rock wallabies. Concern has been raised about the spread of buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris)* into rock wallaby habitat (e.g. *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race) in SA, NT and WA. Buffel grass has been widely promoted as a desirable grass for pastoralism because of its ability to colonise disturbed areas and provide good forage for cattle, but it tends to form monocultures and outcompetes native grasses. It dries off quickly during low rainfall periods and provides continuous, abundant fuel for fires, resulting in changes to vegetation structure (Miller *et al*. 2010). It is eaten by rock wallabies, although its palatability and nutritional status is low as it dries off.

There are many other weeds that occur in rock wallaby habitat. It is important that management agencies are vigilant about the potential impact of weeds, particularly on islands where species such as African boxthorn (*Lycium ferocissimum*) may infest large areas forming spiky thickets. Cape weed (*Arctotheca calendula*) used to occur at high densities around many WA Wheatbelt rock wallaby populations and aids the invasion of the exotic iceplants (*Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* and *M. nodiflorum*) which both contain toxic oxalates, with the latter implicated in sheep deaths (Butler 2012).Untreated iceplant will alter the vegetation structure leading to limited forage for rock wallabies at some outcrops.

## Small population sizes and population fragmentation

The increased likelihood of inbreeding when rock wallaby populations are small may result in reduced genetic variability, the expression of recessive genes or suppressed reproductive rates (Eldridge *et al.* 1998, Eldridge *et al.* 2004). As populations are fragmented, dispersal becomes less frequent or less likely to be successful. The clearing of native vegetation between rock outcrops and infrastructure such as roads and railway lines makes dispersal more hazardous.

Clearing of native vegetation around and between rock outcrops can limit both dispersal and foraging opportunities for rock wallabies. Small isolated habitat patches do not have the capacity to support large rock wallaby populations when environmental conditions deteriorate. As a consequence, boom and bust cycles occur in *P. l. lateralis* populations in the WA Wheatbelt. As their rock outcrops are surrounded by farmland, rapid population increases are not relieved by dispersal, resulting in severe over-grazing of their habitat.

Fragmentation and small population size result in increased risk of local extinctions due to stochastic events such as drought. The likelihood of recolonisation of these habitats decreases as the distance from relictual populations increases. In recent years, genetic techniques have highlighted the impact that fragmented habitat has historically had in shaping the distribution of rock wallaby species, especially *P. lateralis* and *P. brachyotis* (Telfer and Eldridge 2010). As other species are studied in more detail, it is likely that population sub-structuring will increasingly become an important aspect for consideration in rock wallaby conservation and management.

## Disease

There is little specific knowledge of the diseases and parasites afflicting the species of rock wallabies covered in this plan. The potential role of disease in causing declines of native fauna is little known and has been suggested by many authors, including Finlayson (1961) and Abbott (2006), as important in the decline and extinction of a range of native mammalian taxa. Woinarski *et al.* (2001) suggested disease as a factor in the decline of small mammal species in the NT. Within *Petrogale*, a range of diseases and parasites have been recorded. Four species of the parasite genus *Eimeria* have been identified from *P. l. pearsoni* (O’Callaghan *et al*. 1998), while the condition, bronchogenic carcinoma was identified in a lone *P. lateralis* (Willers *et al.* 2010).Toxoplasmosis and hydatidosis have been recorded in *P. persephone* (Johnson *et al.* 2003);hydatids, lice, mites, ticks, Microfilariae in *P. penicillata* (Barnes *et al.* 2010, DSEWPaC 2012, Lobert 1988), and lumpy jaw, tapeworms, nematodes, protozoans, ticks, mites and lice in *P. xanthopus* (Muranyi 2000, Miller 2001). Most internal parasites do not result in serious illness unless the rock wallabies are under stress, especially in captive situations (Miller 2001).

Toxoplasmosis is caused by the protozoan *Toxoplasmosa gondii* and can be acquired if rock wallabies come into contact with infected cat faeces. It results in often-fatal pneumonia and encephalitis. Cats are the only definite host for this parasite (Miller 2001).

## Disturbance by tourists

The impact of tourists on the behaviour of rock wallabies can have localised effects that may prove significant for small populations. 1080 fox baiting may be limited in some areas around camp sites or tourist walks near rock wallaby populations and this may lead to increased predation pressures on local populations.

Supplementary feeding of rock wallabies can result in health problems and excessive levels of inter-specific aggression. In most cases, feeding of rock wallabies has now either ceased or reduced to levels that avoid their reliance on handouts. Rock wallabies at a few sites are given commercial kangaroo pellets to attract them to public viewing sites (e.g. Gap Motel in Alice Springs and Lake Argyle) but this is unlikely to have any serious impact and serves a useful role in educating the public about rock wallabies. When reaching starvation levels in a ‘bust cycle’, some WA wheatbelt populations are supplementary fed with lucerne hay and pellets to preserve numbers.

Concern about the potential impact of tour boats and tourists swimming and boating in Yardie Creek prompted a study by Biota (2002). They found that the rock wallabies were little affected by the few regular boat tours operated each day, but individual tourists boating or swimming up the gorge and walking around the rim of the gorge did cause rock wallabies to retreat to refuges (Biota 2002).

## Drought and the effects of climate change

There have been no specific studies on the likely effects of climate change on the rock wallaby taxa covered in this plan. Climate change that leads to declines in rainfall, higher summer temperatures and more variable weather patterns, as is predicted for southern Australia (Hughes 2003, Commonwealth of Australia 2007, Garnaut 2008), could impact on the body condition, survivorship and reproduction of rock wallabies. Fragmented populations and reduced genetic variability also limit possible evolutionary responses to climate change, such as *in situ* adaptation of populations and dispersal to other habitat.

Within the life of this plan, the effects of climate change may begin to act on some rock wallaby populations through extreme events, such as prolonged droughts and large fires. In the longer term, climate change is predicted to alter vegetation communities and hence food resources. Baiting regimes for foxes and cats will need to be adapted to rainfall variations and reflect rock wallaby population size fluctuations, probably more marked than those in the past.

## Areas and populations under threat

The species and populations of rock wallabies covered by this plan face a variety of different threats which are listed in Table 3.

Table 3: Threats to populations of rock wallaby taxa

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Taxon** | Population | **Threats** |
| *P. l. lateralis* | All populations | * Fox and cat predation (risk of introduction to Barrow and Salisbury Islands) |
| Barrow Island | * Infrastructure development and petroleum activities * Low genetic variation |
| Cape Range NP and Ningaloo Station | * Feral goats |
| *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race | All populations in SA and WA (Townsend Ridges, Rawlinson Range, Walter James Range, Morgan Range, Mt Johnno, Bell Rock Range) | * Fox and cat predation * Low levels of genetic variation in small or fragmented populations |
| All populations in NT | * Fox and cat predation * Fire regimes that degrade habitat (changes to food plant availability and shelter from predators) * Habitat degradation due to stock |
| *P. l.* West Kimberley race | All populations | * Potential effects from large or frequent fires * Fox (in south) and feral cat predation |
| *P. l. hacketti* | All populations | * Potential bushfire * Risk of introduction of foxes or feral cats |
| *P. l. pearsoni* | All populations | * Risk of introduction of foxes or feral cats * Small populations and response to perturbations such as fire |
| **Taxon** | Population | **Threats** |
| *P. brachyotis* | Populations in the southern (arid and semi-arid) NT and WA | * Predation by foxes, feral cats and dogs * Widespread cattle grazing * Fire |
| Groote Eylandt | * Activities related to manganese mining |
| All NT islands | * Risk of cat introductions * Large fire events |
| *P. burbidgei* | Mainland populations | * Cat predation * Fire regimes that degrade habitat (changes to food plant availability and shelter from predators) |
| Island populations | * Risk of introduction of cats * Large fire events |
| *P. concinna* | All mainland populations in the NT and WA | * Cat predation * Fire regimes that degrade habitat (changes to food plant availability and shelter from predators) |
| Island populations in WA | * Risk of introduction of feral cats and dogs * Small population sizes and the risks posed by large stochastic events such as bushfire |
| *P. rothschildi* | Coastal and island populations of *P. rothschildi* | * Fox predation * Possible infrastructure development related to export of minerals |
| Island populations | * Risk of introduction of foxes and cats * Bushfire and arson or accidental ignition by visitors |
| Populations on central plateau | * Feral cat predation of juveniles * Mining activities in some areas * Frequent and large scale fires that reduce availability of food plants and cover from predators |

# 

# Previous and existing management

## Management planning and policy

The EPBC Act is the over-arching legislative framework that guides the Australian Government’s environmental policy in relation to matters including threatened species. The Act requires approval of any activity that has the potential to have a ‘significant’ impact on linked threatened species. A number of plans have been prepared under this legislation to deal with threatening processes relevant to rock wallabies, including:

* Threat Abatement Plan for Predation by the European Red Fox (DEWHA 2008b)
* Threat Abatement Plan for Predation by Feral Cats (DEWHA 2008c)
* Threat Abatement Plan for Competition and Land Degradation by Unmanaged Goats (DEWHA 2008d)
* Threat Abatement Plan for Competition and Land Degradation by Rabbits (DEWHA 2008e)
* Invasive Species Threat Abatement Planning - Consultation with Indigenous Communities (DEWHA 2009)

Other relevant federal government policy documents include *Survey guidelines for Australia’s threatened mammals, EPBC Act survey guidelines 6.5* (DSEWPaC 2011).

A range of state and territory legislation and policy is also relevant to rock wallabies:

Western Australia

* *Wildlife Conservation Act* *1950*
* *Conservation and Land Management Act* *1984*
* Western Shield Fauna Recovery Program Draft Interim Strategic Plan 2009-2010 (DEC 2008)
* Aboriginal involvement in nature conservation and land management (DEC, revised draft as at Feb 2012)
* Policy Statement No. 19*Fire Management Policy* (CALM 2005)
* Policy Statement No. 29 *Translocation of Threatened Flora and Fauna* (CALM 1995)
* Policy Statement No. 33 *Conservation of threatened and specially protected fauna in the wild* (CALM 1991)
* Policy Statement No. 44 *Wildlife Management Programs* (CALM 1992)
* Good Neighbour Policy (CALM 2007)

South Australia

* *Natural Resource Management Act* *2004*
* South Australian Strategic Plan (2011), including No Species Loss Policy requiring actions to prevent the extinction of any taxon in SA.
* Department of Environment and Natural Resources Corporate Plan 2010-2014
* Alinytjara Wilurara Regional NRM Plan (2011)

Northern Territory

* *Territory Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act* *2006* and regulations
* NT Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan (2010-2015)
* *Weed Management Act* *2001*

In addition, there is a range of other policies/strategies administered within or by each state or territory which may influence rock wallaby conservation and cover subjects such as:

* State environmental policy documents and plans
* Acts and legislation for the exploration and extraction of minerals
* Land rights Acts and agreements
* Policies or strategies for particular parks and reserves
* Fire control plans and strategies
* Captive breeding agreements, husbandry manuals, etc.

## Recovery planning

Rock wallaby taxa covered in this plan face a wide range of threats and consequently past and future management of the various taxa and individual populations will vary as local threats and circumstances dictate.

A draft recovery plan for *P. l. lateralis* was prepared under the Australian National Parks and Wildlife Service Endangered Species Program by Hall and Kinnear (1991) and some of its actions have been carried out over subsequent years. A recovery team was formed in 2006 to develop conservation strategies for SA populations of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race and a recovery plan was subsequently produced (Read and Ward 2011). The Warru Recovery Team is a collaborative effort of Traditional owners, DEH, APY land management, ZoosSA/Conservation Ark, AWNRM, the University of Adelaide and consultants Ecological Horizons.

No recovery teams or plans are current for other species covered in this plan.

## Management actions

Current management actions to conserve rock wallabies include: surveys and monitoring of populations, the control of exotic predators and competing herbivores, fire management, captive breeding and translocations. These activities are covered by a wide range of policy and planning documents in the two states, territory and federally administered land in Kakadu and Uluru-Kata Tjuta NP’s as outlined above.

Surveys and monitoring

Since rock wallabies are very habitat-specific, populations tend to be widely dispersed and separated by large areas of unsuitable habitat. This means rock wallaby populations tend to be relatively sedentary, with typically only one species at a site. In addition, there are relatively few other macropod species in rocky areas with which rock wallabies and their signs (tracks, faecal pellets, etc.) can be confused. The open nature of some rocky habitat favours certain survey techniques such as spotlighting. Conversely, the habitat occupied by rock wallabies is often rugged, difficult to traverse, has limited vehicle access, and occurs in some of the most remote areas of Australia. This can limit the use of some survey techniques.

Indirect techniques (such as faecal pellet counts) have been used to determine the presence or absence of animals to monitor regional trends but have limited use for site-based monitoring. Jarman and Capararo (1997) examined the use of the technique for monitoring grey kangaroos and Geelan (1999) for *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race. While it requires considerable repetition, it may give reasonable estimates especially when populations are small and confined to a limited area of habitat. Use of indirect techniques for rock wallaby monitoring requires further investigation.

The use of remote cameras has made the monitoring of some populations and many sites possible. It is difficult or impossible to identify individuals from photos and so determining parameters such as population numbers or densities is not possible. However, cameras do provide valuable information on population persistence, recruitment and the presence of feral predators.

A study to develop suitable monitoring techniques on Barrow Island in 2003-2004, concluded that rock wallaby numbers were low and were concentrated in a relatively small area of Barrow Island (Burbidge unpublished). Following survey work in 2005, a rock wallaby monitoring prescription was developed (Burbidge and Thomas 2005) based on daytime searching for a week between late September and November in known habitat on at least two occasions.

Trapping studies remain the most effective way to obtain robust measures of population size (Kinnear *et al*. 2010, Willers *et al*. 2011). In addition, trapping surveys are able to collect information on breeding, recruitment and health parameters of populations.

A range of potential monitoring techniques are available and their use will depend on what questions need to be answered (e.g. persistence, population trends, etc.) and resources. For instance, all captured rock wallabies in DPaWs Central Wheatbelt District are microchipped. Remote dataloggers are then used to determine movement and persistence of individuals.

Predator control

Extensive baiting around rock wallaby populations occurs at a number of sites in south-western WA, the Pilbara and the Calvert Range, WA. There is helicopter and hand baiting around several *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race populations in north-west SA. There is currently no feral predator baiting specifically for the protection of rock wallabies in the NT (Table 4).

Table 4: Current predator baiting operations to protect rock wallaby populations.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Taxon** | **Location** | **Type of baiting** | **Frequency** | **Notes** |
| *P. lateralis* | WA Wheatbelt | ground | fortnightly; monthly at Querekin Rocks | 7 colonies  baited for foxes only |
| Cape Range NP | aerial | quarterly |  |
| Calvert Range | aerial  ground | annually  3 times per yr | Eradicat baits |
| Kalbarri NP\* | aerial | quarterly | \*Population extinct - baiting as a precursor to translocation |
| Avon Valley NP | ground | monthly |  |
| Walyunga NP | ground | monthly |  |
| Paruna Sanctuary | ground | monthly | predator exclusion fence |
| Cape Le Grand NP | aerial | quarterly |  |
| *P. l.* MacD Ranges race | Kalka, New Well | ground/aerial | quarterly |  |
| Central Ranges - Townsend Ridges\* | ground | monthly | \*discontinued 2007 |
| *P. rothschildi* | northern Burrup Peninsula | ground | 3 times per yr | Fox bait - Jan, Apr and July |
| Dolphin and Enderby Islands | ground | monthly |  |

In WA baiting is undertaken with standard dried meat baits for foxes and ‘Eradicat’ baits for cats containing 3.0mg and 4.5mg of 1080, respectively. Their success in field trials has been variable. Broad-scale 1080 baiting for foxes and cats may also remove other potential predators of rock wallabies such as dingoes and wild dogs. This may or may not relieve pressure on feral cats (via a meso-predator release response) and lead to increases in their abundance or changes in behaviour.

In WA, dried meat fox baits can be distributed cost effectively over large areas by aircraft, such as in Cape Range NP, Kalbarri NP and the Calvert Range. Aerial baits are typically distributed at a rate of 5 per km2, two to four times per year. In other areas, due to safety concerns for humans and domestic dogs, it is necessary to lay baits by hand, usually from a vehicle or on foot. Ground baits are generally distributed from vehicles at intervals of 100-200m, and provide localised protection, but require regular replacement. In a few areas, baits are buried or tethered to prevent re-distribution by birds, but this reduces their effectiveness and more frequent baiting is required (J. Kinnear pers. comm.).

Eradicat trials have been carried out at Cape Arid through the South Coast Integrated Fauna Recovery Project. This work has addressed potential non-target issues on the south coast, and once baits are registered, the baiting program should be extended to include the Cape Le Grand rock wallaby population.

Existing baiting frequencies around WA Wheatbelt rock wallaby populations appear to have been initially successful with these populations historically thriving, building in numbers and dispersing to unoccupied outcrops (B. MacMahon, P. Orell pers. comms.). However, dramatic declines over the 2011 summer were likely the result of starvation due to large populations (a result of effective fox control) leading to the overgrazing of vegetation close to critical habitat (highly fractured rocks). In addition, fear of predators confines rock wallabies in this highly grazed area and prevents extensive foraging (Kinnear *et al.* 2010). Poor seasonal conditions have exacerbated this situation (N. Moore pers. comm. 2012)

In the NT, broadscale aerial baiting is not used. There have been attempts to develop a bait station targeting foxes and cats, but with reduced risk to dingoes (G. Edwards pers. comm.). Until this bait station has been adequately tested and its effectiveness against foxes and cats demonstrated, existing baiting operations designed to protect rock wallabies should be continued.

Baiting by the NGLMU at the Townsend Ridges (NT) on a six to eight weekly timing was sufficient to promote a recovery in the abundance of rock wallabies and the expansion of the population to occupy more cliff-line habitat (J. Miller pers. comm.).

New baiting programs require extensive consultation with Aboriginal communities and sign-posting of bait trails is required. Predator baiting or other management requires monitoring to determine and assess effectiveness. Currently transects along freshly smoothed dirt roads to observe animal tracks are an appropriate technique. The use of remote cameras near bait stations also may be able to determine which species are taking baits and the extent of visitation to bait stations (N. Thomas pers. comm.).

Introduced herbivore control (rabbits and goats)

The control of rabbits around rock wallaby colonies has been limited but may be important in some environments to prevent over-grazing or an elevation in fox numbers due to prey abundance. Rabbit control is complicated by concerns about non-target species, and most techniques are expensive to continue repeatedly at sufficient intensity to provide a prolonged decrease in numbers. Diseases such as myxomatosis and calici-virus have been responsible for large reductions in rabbit populations when conditions are favourable for spread, but new strains need to be developed regularly to cope with increasing resistance of rabbits.

Integrated and committed control of goats in conservation reserves where rock wallabies did or continue to persist needs to be developed further. Helicopter shooting is a cost-effective means to rapidly reduce goats at high densities, but needs to be supplemented with other techniques such as mustering, ground-shooting, fencing off water sources and possible strategic use of goat-proof fencing. Helicopter shooting of goats was undertaken in 2007, 2008 and 2011 in Cape Range and Kalbarri NPs. While helicopter shooting provides some temporal control of goats, it is not yet clear whether a few days of shooting in each park is significantly reducing the overall impact of goats. Ground-based shooting is carried out opportunistically by DPaW staff and amateur gun clubs (Western Australian Field and Game Association).

Techniques for monitoring large introduced feral herbivores are well-established and undertaken periodically in WA and SA as part of annual kangaroo surveys. Monitoring of kangaroo numbers in the NT is carried out sporadically. Goat numbers in WA and SA are usually estimated from counts made during aerial transects flying pre-determined flight lines (Short *et al*. 1983). In the NT, feral goats are absent from the mainland, only occurring on a few offshore islands: North East, North Goulburn, Truant, and Vanderlin (LRM 2012).

Captive breeding and translocations

There are now a number of zoos that keep and breed various species of rock wallabies. In eastern Australia, the brush-tailed rock wallaby has benefited from the success of a coordinated and multi-institutional breeding program. As a result, husbandry techniques for rock wallabies are well developed (Miller 2001, Taggart *et al.* 2005, Schultz *et al.* 2006). Adelaide Zoo and the University of Adelaide have pioneered cross-fostering techniques with the brush-tailed rock wallaby and have used this method to develop a captive colony of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race at Monarto Zoo (Read and Ward 2011).

Translocations can be used to increase the number of sites occupied by rock wallabies, reducing the risk of any single event; for example drought or an intense predation event causing local extinction. Translocations can also be used to remove animals from a site where predator control is not feasible and populations are heading for extinction. Table 5 provides a summary of translocation records between 1960 and 2010.

Table 5: Translocations of taxa of rock wallabies covered in this plan (py=pouch young). Only the translocation of *P. rothschildi* to West Lewis Island was unsuccessful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Date** | **Taxon** | **Source population** | **Destination** | **No. translocated** |
| 1960 | *P. l. pearsoni* | North Pearson Is | South Pearson Is | 6 (unplanned release) |
| 1974 | *P. l. pearsoni* | North? Pearson Is | West Is | ? |
| 1974 | *P. l. pearsoni* | North? Pearson Is | Thistle Is | 15 |
| 1975 | *P. l. pearsoni* | North? Pearson Is | Thistle Is | 15 |
| 1975 | *P. l. pearsoni* | North Pearson Is | Wedge Is | 11 |
| 1982 | *P. rothschildi* | Enderby Is | West Lewis Is | 15 (8♂, 7♀) |
| 1985 | *P. rothschildi* | Enderby Is | West Lewis Is | ? |
| 1990 | *P. l. lateralis* | Nangeen Hill | Querekin Rock | 5 (+2 py) |
| 2001 | *P. l. laterals* | Mt Caroline NR | Paruna Sanctuary | 10 (3♂& 7♀) (+6 py) |
| Mt Caroline NR | Avon Valley NP | 37 (24♂& 13♀) (+12py) |
| 2002 | *P. l. lateralis* | Querekin Rock | Avon Valley NP | 9 (3♂& 6♀) (+4py) |
| Querekin Rock | Paruna Sanctuary | 12 (8♂& 4♀) (+2py) |
| Mt Caroline NR | Walyunga NP | 29 (19♂& 10♀) (+7py) |
| 2003 | *P. l. lateralis* | Querekin Rock | Avon Valley NP | 16 (12♂& 4♀) (+2py) |
| Mt Caroline NR | Cape Le Grand NP | 14 (8♂& 6♀) (+4py) |
| Mt Caroline NR | Avon Valley NP | 2 (1♂& 1♀) (+1py) |
| Querekin Rock | Paruna Sanctuary | 20 (16♂& 4♀) (+2py) |
| 2004 | *P. l. lateralis* | Querekin Rock | Cape Le Grand NP | 10 (5♂& 5♀) (+2py) |
| Querekin Rock | Walyunga NP | 27 (15♂& 12♀) (+8py) |
| Mt Caroline NR | Cape Le Grand NP | 8 (2♂& 6♀) (+2py) |
| 2005 | *P. l. lateralis* | Querekin Rock | Paruna Sanctuary | 15 (5♂& 10♀) (+7 py) |
| 2007 | *P. l. lateralis* | Mt Caroline | Paruna Sanctuary | 8 (all ♂) |
| Querekin Rock | Paruna Sanctuary | 11 [6♂& 5♀) (+4 py) |
| 2008 | *P. l. lateralis* | Querekin Rock | Avon Valley NP | 5 (all ♂) |
| 2008 | *P. rothschildi* | Near Newman | Near Newman | 1 (♂) |
| 2009 | *P. l. lateralis* | Querekin Rock | Avon Valley NP | 3 (all ♂) |
| Mt Stirling | Querekin Rock | 1 (♂) |
| Mt Caroline | Querekin Rock | 3 (all ♂) |
| 2009 | *P. rothschildi* | Near Newman | Near Newman | 8 (5♂& 3♀) |
| 2010 | *P. l. lateralis* | Querekin Rock | Paruna Sanctuary | 1 (♂) |
| Mt Stirling | Querekin Rock | 1 (♂) |
| Mt Caroline | Avon Valley NP | 1 (♀) |

When there were high numbers of rock wallabies in some Wheatbelt populations, resulting in overgrazing, translocations from these populations to sites in the Avon Valley and Cape Le Grand NPs were undertaken (Orell and Dans 2001, 2002, Orell 2003, Mawson 2004). All these translocations are believed to have been successful. In later years (post 2009) individuals from other locations were translocated to Querekin Rock in an attempt to increase genetic variation there.

Island biosecurity

A number of populations of rock wallabies occur on islands with no exotic mammals and it is highly desirable they remain so, especially free of exotic predators such as foxes and cats. There is increasing emphasis on island biosecurity associated with the continuing use of islands for industry and tourism (Nias *et al.* 2010). The only existing island biosecurity protocols for islands with rock wallaby populations are for Barrow Island and Groote Eylandt. The protocols on Barrow Island include inspection of all incoming equipment to the island for feral animals, soil and weed seeds, and education of staff and contractors. On Groote Eylandt the ALC has an initiative with airlines and barge companies to check all authorisations for the introduction of plants and animals.

Protocols need to be developed to cover the range of potential introduction threats and for monitoring other islands. Contingency plans need to be developed to enable quick and effective intervention should an introduction occur.

Construction of predator-proof fences around habitat

Under some circumstances where it is not possible to adequately control foxes or feral cats, the construction of predator-proof fences may be warranted to preserve some rock wallaby populations. For instance, the *P. l. lateralis* population on Nangeen Hill has declined rapidly despite intensive baiting. For an isolated reserve such as Nangeen Hill, surrounded by farmland, the construction of a fence and intensive efforts to remove any feral predators may be the most appropriate action. Careful consideration needs to be made of the complex habitat requirements of rock wallabies such as rock structure capacity, available grazing resources and the limitation of dispersal. Genetic supplementation or the translocation of animals may be required if numbers either get too small, or increase beyond the sustainable capacity of the habitat.

# Guide for decision makers

Under the EPBC Act, any person proposing to undertake actions which may have a significant impact on any listed threatened species or ecological community should refer the action to the Minister for Environment. The Minister will then determine whether the action requires EPBC Act assessment and approval. As these provisions relate to proposed (i.e. future) actions, they can include:

* actions which may result in increased impact from existing threat/s or potential threat/s; and
* actions which may result in a new threat.

Corresponding provisions may also apply in state and territory legislation, and the protection of rock wallabies and their habitat should be taken into account in any environmental impact assessment.

Actions within habitat critical for survival that could result in any of the following may result in a significant impact on rock wallabies**:**

* a reduction in the amount of, or connectivity, of habitat used by rock wallabies
* an increase in fire frequency or loss of habitat due to fire
* an increase in human activities that leads to an increase vehicular traffic in habitat
* introduction of new weed species.

Actions that could result in any of the following may result in a significant impact:

* any decrease in, or damage to, available foraging habitat or shelter sties
* a major increase in numbers of feral cats or foxes
* an increase in fire frequency or loss of habitat due to fire
* significant increase or acceleration of extreme weather events linked to climate change events.

Management practices necessary to avoid significant adverse impacts on rock wallabies may include considerations such as:

* protection of habitat from disturbance or destruction, including direct destruction or fragmentation of habitat (e.g. a quarry or roadworks)
* effective control of feral predators such as foxes and cats
* effective control of weeds
* appropriate control and management of fire
* efficient surveillance to protect island populations from introduced predators or disease
* protocols for tourism activities.

# 

# Affected interests

The rock wallaby taxa and their habitat covered in this plan occur across a vast area of the western half of Australia on, and adjacent to many different land tenures, including: NPs, conservation reserves, pastoral lands, Aboriginal reserves and freehold, unallocated Crown land, mining leases, freehold land used for farming and land zoned for industry. This suggests there may be many interests potentially affected by this plan, although in most cases, little impact upon current land use is likely as a result of this recovery plan. Landholders and land management agencies may be affected when seeking to alter the landscape or undertake actions that may affect rock wallabies as outlined in *Guide to Decision Makers*. Where populations/sub-populations occur on lands other than those managed by the respective conservation agencies (federal, state or territory), permission has been, or will be, sought from the managers prior to recovery actions being undertaken.

Interests potentially affected by and/or involved in the implementation of this recovery plan include:

* Local communities
* Land owners and managers
* Non-government organisations: AWC, Cape Conservation Group
* Mining companies: Chevron-Texaco, Gorgon, Rio Tinto, BHP Billiton, [Energy Resources of Australia](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Energy_Resources_of_Australia)
* Universities
* Museums: Australian Museum, SA Museum, WA Museum, Museum and Art Galleries of the NT
* Government departments and agencies: DEWNR, DER, DEREC, DPaW, DSEWPaC, EPA, DMP, LRM
* Traditional owners and managers: ALC, CLC, CLMA, KLC, MGC, NgC, NLC, WDLC, APY, APYLMU and others.

# Role and interests of Aboriginal people

Prior to colonial settlement, rock wallabies were an important food source for many Aboriginal people. They were captured by spearing animals when basking or by cornering in caves; or by driving rock wallabies into yards of brush constructed where they could be brought down with clubs or throwing sticks (Finlayson 1935, Burbidge *et al.* 1988, Pearson 1992).

The disappearance of rock wallabies across southern Australia mirrored the fate of many other mammals in the range of 35g - 5kg (‘critical weight range’; Burbidge and McKenzie 1989) that were important to nomadic Aboriginal people. In general, rock wallabies appear to have declined more gradually than other critical weight range mammals, perhaps due to the buffering their rocky habitats provided from the impacts of fox predation or changes in fire regimes (Burbidge and McKenzie 1989). Currently, rock wallabies still occur on vast tracts of Aboriginal freehold and leasehold land. They are rarely hunted. Their cultural importance in terms of spiritual beliefs is poorly known. The people of the Ngaanyatjarra community of Mantamaru (Jameson) have creation (tjukurrpa) stories about rock wallabies (warru) related to nearby hills (C. Munro pers. obs.). There are no doubt many other stories and beliefs about rock wallabies in other areas.

Aboriginal people have strong concerns about access to countryside for survey and baiting projects. They have ongoing obligations to sacred sites and the safety of people travelling as strangers in their lands. Consequently, thorough and ongoing liaison is required, and it is necessary for researchers and managers to be accompanied by traditional owners or to have their permission, especially when working in new or remote areas.

Efforts to save rock wallaby populations from extinction in desert areas of WA and SA have provided some employment opportunities for Aboriginal people. For instance, at the Townsend Ridges, Kalka and New Well, local Aboriginal people have been employed by state government conservation agencies or local land councils to survey for rock wallabies and to lay predator baits to help in the recovery of small rock wallaby populations (Pearson and Ngaanyatjarra Council 1997, Read and Ward 2011). Fox baiting operations are likely to have positive impacts on the populations of bush-tucker species such as some goannas, bustards and rabbits. New baiting programs require extensive consultation with Aboriginal communities and some sign-posting of bait trails have been produced in Western Desert dialects (Ngaanyatjarra and Pitjantjatjarra). Such signs are typically placed alongside standard 1080 warning signs written in English.

Captive-bred *P. l. lateralis* rock wallabies (warru) were returned to their native home on the APY lands in the remote north-west corner of SA in March 2011. Deeply concerned about its decline, the Anangu (local Aboriginal people) are actively involved in the warru’s recovery program. ’Warru Rangers’ are employed to undertake recovery actions as part of DSEWPaCs Land and Coasts division’s ‘Working on Country’ ranger program. There are many more potential roles for Aboriginal people in the management of rock wallabies.

A range of Aboriginal groups and the authorities representing them provided knowledge which was incorporated into this plan and allowed access to country to survey for rock wallabies and discussed their management. These groups and authorities would be involved in the implementation of recovery actions of this plan. These include: ALC, APY lands, CLMA, CLC, Jawoyn Association, KLC, MGC, NgC, NLC and the WDLC.

# 

# Social and economic impacts and benefits

This plan aims to contribute positively to the range of job opportunities available to communities (especially Aboriginal communities) and increase involvement in the management of rock wallabies by remote communities on their lands and other land tenures. There are unlikely to be any adverse social or economic impacts caused by the implementation of this recovery plan.

However, in those rock wallaby populations that are highly fragmented and surrounded by agricultural lands, during periods of high population growth there is the potential for rock wallabies to graze adjacent agricultural crops. This grazing may contribute to, or compound existing grazing pressures from other local and feral herbivores. Actions outlined in this plan, such as feral predator control, should be used in combination with other management actions to avoid increases in population numbers that exceed the natural available habitat’s carrying capacity.

Some proponents of particular land uses (mining, quarrying and infrastructure) may be required to take measures to reduce the impact of their activities on rock wallaby populations. Where high biosecurity standards are required for island mining operations, companies and their contractors would need to meet these standards. Similarly, where proposed development activities co-incide with rock wallaby habitat, developers may be required to demonstrate that there will be no impact on rock wallabies or that any impacts can be adequately mitigated. Such requirements would be in place irrespective of this plan, and this plan will provide some clear direction for the implementation of such measures.

# International obligations

Australia is a signatory of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* (Biodiversity Convention). Rock wallabies are listed with all native fauna under this agreement.

This plan is consistent with the aims and recommendations of the *Convention on Biological Diversity*, ratified by Australia in June 1993, and will assist in implementing Australia’s responsibilities under that Convention. None of the species are listed under Appendix II in the *United Nations Environment Program World Conservation Monitoring Centre* (UNEP-WCMC) *Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species* (CITES), and this plan does not affect Australia’s obligations under any other international agreements.

Australia is a signatory of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (the World Heritage Convention). It aims to ‘promote cooperation among nations to protect heritage from around the world that is of such outstanding universal value that its conservation is important for current and future generations’. Populations of rock wallaby taxa covered in this plan occur in three World Heritage sites in Australia:

* Kakadu NP (*P. brachyotis, P. c. canescens*)
* Purnululu NP (*P. brachyotis*)
* Ningaloo coast (*P. l. lateralis*)

*Petrogale. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race formerly occurred at a fourth World Heritage site, Uluru Kata Tjuta NP, but became locally extinct in the 1980s.

The *United Nations Declaration on the rights of Indigenous Peoples* sets out standards in relation to how governments interact with Indigenous people and some provisions of this non-binding declaration signed by Australia may have some impact on the scope of the actions listed in this plan.

# Benefits and impacts to other species/ecological communities

Actions in the plan that target invasive animals and plants will deliver benefits to many other species and restore health to ecological communities. Cat and fox baiting to conserve rock wallabies will have benefits locally for other threatened species such as the mallee-fowl, bilby, marsupial mole, mulgara and great desert skink. Goat control will improve the state of vegetation communities and may contribute to the conservation of threatened plant species.

Increases in the size of rock wallaby populations should in turn provide improved food resources for a variety of natural predators (pythons, birds of prey and perhaps western quolls) including threatened species such as the Pilbara olive python (*Liasis olivaceus barroni*).

There are potential impacts to declared rare flora, such as the critically endangered granite tetratheca (*Tetratheca deltoidea*) which is known only to occur at Mount Caroline. In areas where rock wallaby populations have boomed and are overgrazing, species of declared or priority flora and threatened or priority ecological communities may be negatively impacted. Management actions likely to increase rock wallaby populations need to take into consideration the presence of other flora or ecological communities, especially those that are threatened, and other management actions such as fencing, land acquisition or revegetation may need to be considered.

The Edgar Ranges contain the only two populations of the declared rare flora Edgar Ranges pandanus (*Pandanus spiralis* var. *flammeus*). This species is restricted to a narrow gorge (DSEWPaC 2012). *P. l.* West Kimberley race populations would unlikely graze the pandanus foliage but may impact on recruitment by eating the fruits.

# Recovery program

## Recovery objectives

The long-term objective of the recovery program is to:

Ensure the survival of populations and maintain or improve the conservation status (based on IUCN criteria (IUCN 1994)) of the taxa described in this plan by managing threats, improving scientific knowledge to guide recovery and involving the community in recovery actions.

## Performance criteria

*(using 2011 data as a baseline)*

*Criteria for success over the life of the plan (ten years):*

1. The areas or number of sites occupied by mainland *P. lateralis* populations increase.
2. The areas occupied and the number of populations of *P. rothschildi* is at least maintained.
3. The island populations of rock wallabies persist and population levels are at least maintained.
4. Distribution and status surveys are undertaken of poorly known taxa and their conservation status reassessed.
5. Monitoring techniques for rock wallabies and their associated predators are improved and applied to at least five additional populations.

Criteria for failure

1. There is a decline in the conservation status of any taxon covered by this plan due to the action of threatening processes.
2. There is extinction of any of the currently known populations of *P. lateralis* or*P****.*** *rothschildi.*
3. There is extinction of any island population of taxa covered by this plan.
4. Surveys are not conducted and understanding of the distribution and conservation status of taxa remains at 2011 levels.
5. There is no growth in 50 per cent of the populations where feral animal control is taking place to manage this threat.
6. No new viable populations are established.

## Recovery actions

All of the recovery actions below are considered essential in order to meet the recovery objectives. However, in order to help allocate limited funding and resources, recovery actions have been allocated priority ratings of high, moderate, low, based on the level of threat and potential contribution of the action to meeting recovery objectives.

**Action 1: Assess the conservation status of poorly surveyed taxa**

While knowledge of the distribution and conservation status is well developed for southern rock wallaby taxa, understanding of the current status of tropical species remains poor. There have been no specific surveys for *P. brachyotis, P. burbidgei*, Kimberley populations of *P. concinna*, or *P. c. concinna* and inland Pilbara populations of *P. rothschildi.* In addition, some taxa have not been surveyed for long periods and there are suggestions that their populations are in decline (*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race WA and NT populations; *P. C. canescens* in NT). These areas and taxa need to be resurveyed to ascertain their current status, possible threats and any evidence of population contractions or declines from their known historical distributions.

**1.1 Survey of the distribution, conservation status and genetic diversity of tropical rock wallabies; *P. concinna, P. burbidgei* and *P. brachyotis*.**

*Tasks:*

* Develop methods to undertake surveys of these species across their respective ranges in northern Australia.
* With land-holder involvement, undertake surveys at strategic sites selected on the basis of geographic distribution, historical records, land-holder and Aboriginal knowledge and the existence of suitable habitat.
* Undertake surveys to determine presence/absence initially and then conduct trapping at representative sites (at the range/outcrop level) to clarify the identity of taxa, to take samples for genetic studies and to assess the general health of the population (collect samples for study of diseases/parasites).
* During surveys record and identify potential threats to each population.
* Based on this information make recommendations on representative sites to monitor populations.
* Assess conservation status and likely population trends based on past information and any monitoring and survey data.

Areas not surveyed for long periods or where the persistence of populations or the identity of a population is not known include:

*P. c. canescens*

* western Arnhem Land (Kakadu NP, Nabarlek minesite and Mt Borradaile)
* Mt Bundey area/Litchfield NP

*P. c. concinna*

* Timber Creek/Victoria River (NT) area (including Gregory NP and the Bradshaw Defence training area)

*P. c. monastria*

* Jungulu (Darcy) Island, WA (identity of *Petrogale* sp. unknown)
* Mitchell River NP and Prince Regent NR.

*P. burbidgei*

* Mitchell River NP
* Prince Regent NR
* Wollaston Island (identity of *Petrogale* sp. unknown)
* Artesian Range Sanctuary

*Responsibility:*

* DPaW - Mitchell River NP, Prince Regent NR
* AWC - Artesian Range Sanctuary
* DPaW/NLC - Jungulu (Darcy) and Wollaston Islands
* LRM/Dept of Defence - Mt Bundey, Timber Creek, Gregory NP, Bradshaw Defence training Area
* LRM/private leaseholders - Nabarlek minesite and Mt Borradaile
* DSEWPaC - Kakadu NP

*Priority*: High (*P. concinna*), Moderate (*P. burbidgei, P. brachyotis*)

*Timeframe:* Year 1 to 3

**1.2 Survey populations of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race in WA and NT and *P. l.* West Kimberley race in WA.**

*Tasks:*

* Revisit sites of past surveys of these taxa surveyed by Pearson (1992) and Gibson (2000) to assess changes in distribution.
* Select other potential areas of habitat based on local knowledge and examination of remote sensing data; survey these sites and collect genetic material and information on potential threats.
* Survey of APY lands for new populations of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race.
* Assess current conservation status in light of previous surveys and make recommendations on sites requiring management intervention and those sites suitable for monitoring.

*Responsibility*:

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* DSEWPaC, LRM, DPaW, AWC, CLC, APY, WDLC

*P. l.* West Kimberley race

* DPaW, KLC

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe:* By year 5

**1.3 Survey of island and mainland Pilbara for Rothschild’s rock wallaby to determine current status and establishment of monitoring sites.**

*Tasks:*

* Revisit sites of past surveys to assess changes in distribution and abundance.
* With land-holder involvement, undertake surveys at strategic sites selected on the basis of geographic distribution, historical records, land-holder and Aboriginal knowledge and the existence of suitable habitat.
* Collect genetic material and information on potential threats.
* Assess current conservation status in light of previous surveys and make recommendations on sites requiring management intervention and those sites suitable for monitoring.

*Responsibility*: DPaW

*Priority:* Moderate

*Timeframe:* By year 5

**Action 2: Conduct feral predator control**

**2.1. Continue existing exotic predator control programs.**

Predation by foxes has been demonstrated to be a major threat to rock wallabies. Baiting with dried meat baits has been implemented at a number of sites to maintain populations.

*Task:*

* Continue current feral predator control activities to protect populations of *P. lateralis* and *P. rothschildi.*

Taxa and populations involved are listed previously in Table 4.

*Responsibility:*

* DPaW - WA Central Wheatbelt District, Cape Range NP, Kalbarri NP, Avon Valley NP, Walyunga NP, Cape Le Grand NP, Northern Burrup Peninsula, Dolphin Island
* WDLC/DPaW - Calvert Range
* APYLMU/DEWNR - Kalka, New Well
* AWC - Paruna Sanctuary

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**2.2 Expand introduced predator control operations to other rock wallaby populations constrained by predation (*P. l. lateralis, P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race, *P. rothschildi).***

*Tasks:*

* Investigate the potential for expansion of collaborative predator baiting operations with Aboriginal communities to protect rock wallaby populations in the Central Ranges (Bell Rock, Cavenagh, Rawlinson, Morgan and Musgrave Ranges), southern Burrup Peninsula and coastal Pilbara.
* Bait target areas using known suitable methods, at the most appropriate frequency based on current knowledge.
* Resume feral predator baiting at the Townsend Ridges.
* Predator baiting at Durba Hills in advance of proposed translocation of *P. l. lateralis* (see action item 5.1).

*Responsibility*:

* NGLMU/DPaW - Cavenagh Range, Bell Rock Range, Rawlinson Range, Morgan Range, Townsend Ridges
* APY lands/DEWNR - Musgrave Ranges
* DPaW - southern Burrup Peninsula and coastal Pilbara
* DPaW/KJ- Durba Hills

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe*: Ongoing

**2.3 Construction of a predator proof fence around Nangeen Hill (WA Wheatbelt) and monitoring its effectiveness.**

Tasks:

* Construct a predator-proof (fox and feral cat) fence around Nangeen Hill NR to protect the much reduced population of *P. l. lateralis* and its unique genetics.
* Control rabbits and investigate the removal/control of feral rodents (*R. rattus* and *M. musculus)* from granite rocks.
* Manage populations of western grey kangaroos and euros from within the reserve.
* Undertake intensive predator control to remove all foxes and cats.
* Control iceplant infestation and revegetate the meadow below the granite outcrop.
* Review the effectiveness of the fence as a possible solution for other small threatened populations.

*Responsibility:* DPaW

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe:* By year 5

**Action 3: Manage and monitor problem herbivores**

**3.1 Implement long-term goat control strategies in Kalbarri, Cape Range and Avon Valley NP’s in WA (*P. l. lateralis).***

Tasks:

* Survey goat populations at key locations annually.
* Continue helicopter shooting program in Kalbarri and Cape Range NPs to keep goat numbers at a low level.
* Ground-based shooting to supplement helicopter operations and provide better temporal and local control of goats, especially around proposed translocation sites.
* Conduct goat control operations in Avon Valley NP, Walyunga NP.
* Determine feasibility of a goat-proof fence to isolate Cape Range NP and the Learmonth Air Weapons Range from neighbouring pastoral properties to assist in the integrated control of goats.
* Investigate the purchase of Murchison House Station and de-stock it of goats to minimise goats entering Kalbarri NP and surrounding conservation lands.

*Responsibility:*

* DPaW - Cape Range NP, Kalbarri NP, Avon Valley NP, Walyunga NP
* DPaW/Dept of Defence - Learmonth Air Weapons Range

*Priority*: High

*Timeframe*: Ongoing

**3.2 Implement a long-term rabbit control operation around WA Wheatbelt and APY lands populations.**

*Tasks:*

* Control rabbit populations on WA Wheatbelt *P. l. lateralis* sites to reduce habitat degradation and grazing competition with rock wallabies.
* Consult with APY lands traditional owners on controlling rabbits within 1km of populations of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges, as rabbits are an important food source for traditional owners.

*Responsibility:*

*P. l. lateralis*

* DPaW

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* APY/DEWNR (*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race)

*Priority*: Moderate

*Timeframe*: Ongoing

**3.3 Continue camel control operations on APY lands and around WA desert populations.**

*Task:*

* Control camels around rock wallaby populations in the Townsend Ridges, Morgan Range and Calvert Range in WA, and the APY lands, by ground-based shooting and strategic fencing as required.

*Responsibility:*

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* DPaW, NGLMU

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* APY, DEWNR

*Priority*: Moderate

*Timeframe*: Ongoing

**3.4 Assess the impact of euros on rock wallaby habitat.**

*Task:*

* Investigate the relative contribution of euros to total grazing pressure on rock wallaby habitat.

*Responsibility:*

*P. l. lateralis*

* DPaW - Cape Range NP
* DPaW - Central Wheatbelt (Nangeen Hill Nature Reserve, Mt Caroline Nature Reserve)

*Priority*: Low

*Timeframe*: Ongoing

**Action 4: Maintain and enhance biosecurity actions for islands to prevent the introduction of feral predators, competitors, weeds or disease**

* 1. **Prepare and disseminate biosecurity protocols.**

*Tasks:*

* Continue existing biosecurity programs (Barrow Island, Groote Eylandt) and expand to other islands.
* Develop, implement and monitor rigorous quarantine protocols and standards appropriate for other islands.
* Disseminate quarantine protocols and associated information to government agencies, contractors and the public who may visit islands inhabited by rock wallabies, such as the Australian Maritime Service (light station maintenance), Customs, Volunteer Sea Rescue groups and fishing clubs.

*Responsibility:*

* + Chevron/DPaW/Gorgon - Barrow Island
  + DPaW - Recherche Archipelago: Mondrain, Westall, Wilson, Salisbury Islands
  + DPaW - Dampier Archipelago: Dolphin, Enderby, Rosemary Islands
  + DPaW/KLC - Kimberley islands: Boongaree, Jungulu (Darcy), Wollaston, Katers, Bigge, Long, Hidden, Augustus Islands
  + DEWNR - North and South Pearson Islands
  + DEWNR/private land-holders - Thistle and Wedge Islands
  + LRM/NLC - 19 islands off Arnhem Land coast, NT
  + LRM/ALC/GEMCO - Groote Eylandt Mining Company (Groote Eylandt)
  + LRM/ALC - Bickerton Island

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

* 1. **Install signage at boat ramps.**

*Task:*

* Install signage or improve existing public information boards at boat ramps, wharfs and barge landings to include :
* Prohibition of bringing pets (cats and dogs) onto the islands
* Avoiding the introduction of plant weed species via seeds in clothes and camping equipment
* Fire safety and restrictions.

*Responsibility:*

* + DPaW, Dept of Transport (WA)
  + DEWNR, Dept of Planning Transport and Infrastructure (SA)
  + LRM, Dept of Primary Industries, Fisheries and Resources (NT)

*Priority:* Moderate

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

* 1. **Build networks with government agencies and public to improve surveillance of islands.**

*Task:*

* Build networks with local police, fisheries, Indigenous sea rangers, sea rescue organisations and fishermen to enhance surveillance of islands, to report or intervene and prevent any activity likely to endanger rock wallaby populations.

*Responsibility:*

* + DPaW (WA)
  + DEWNR (SA)
  + LRM (NT)

*Priority:* Moderate

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

* 1. **Plan emergency responses to incursion of predators, competitors, weeds and diseases on islands.**

*Task:*

* Prepare emergency response plans for individual islands or groups of islands to outline the course of action to be taken if incursions of introduced predators or environmental weeds are detected.

*Responsibility:*

* + DPaW - Recherche Archipelago: Mondrain, Westall, Wilson, Salisbury Islands
  + DPaW - Dampier Archipelago: Dolphin, Enderby, Rosemary Islands
  + DPaW/KLC - Kimberley islands: Boongaree, Jungulu (Darcy), Wollaston, Katers, Bigge, Long, Hidden, Augustus Islands
  + DEWNR - North and South Pearson Islands
  + DEWNR/private land-holders - Thistle and Wedge Islands
  + LRM/NLC - 19 islands off Arnhem Land coast, NT
  + LRM/ALC - Bickerton Island

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe:* Year 1 and 2

**Action 5: Conduct translocations, captive breeding and reintroductions to establish new, or supplement existing populations**

Translocations are highly dependent on successful predator and introduced herbivore control, and should not be conducted until these threats can be adequately managed as per action items 2 and 3.

**5.1 Conduct further translocations of *P. lateralis* to areas within its known range where populations have become extinct.**

Tasks:

* Undertake translocations of *P. l. lateralis* from the WA Wheatbelt to Kalbarri NP and Knungajin Rocks (near Merredin) when it is possible to do so without endangering these populations.
* Survey the genetic diversity of the Nangeen Hill population and supplement periodically from other populations if required.
* Undertake translocations of *P. l. lateralis* from the Calvert Range to the Durba Hills and other sites in the Little Sandy Desert and adjoining areas.
* Prepare a translocation plan for Durba Hills with the assistance and consultation of local Aboriginal communities.
* If the above is successful, investigate the possibility of further translocations to other former habitat in the Little Sandy Desert and Depuch Island.

*Responsibility:*

* + DPaW - WA Wheatbelt, Kalbarri NP, Knungajin Rocks
  + DPaW/KJ- Durba Hills, Depuch Island

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**5.2 Use captive breeding, cross-fostering and translocation to recover populations of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race following control of threatening processes.**

Tasks:

* Continue cross-fostering and captive-breeding of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race animals at Monarto Zoo, SA to build numbers for reintroductions.
* Establish and maintain a predator-proof compound in the APY lands to aid with the reintroduction of rock wallabies.
* Undertake translocations of captive bred *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race animals to sites within the APY lands in SA.
* Based on the success of captive releases in APY lands, locate suitable sites and undertake translocation of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race animals to the Davenport Ranges, SA.
* Investigate the feasibility of translocating some *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race animals back to Uluru-Kata Tjuta NP.

*Responsibility:*

* + APYLMU/DEWNR/AWNRM - APY lands and Davenport Ranges
  + DSEWPaC/CLC - Uluru Kata Tjuta NP

*Priority:* Moderate-High

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**Action 6: Monitor populations and review the efficacy of management actions**

**6.1 Monitor the effectiveness of introduced animal control programs, by assessing presence/absence of rock wallabies and predators, calculating activity indices of introduced predators and/or habitat use by rock wallabies and introduced predators.**

*Tasks:*

* Intensively monitor specific and representative populations (mark-recapture trapping and/or with microchipping/transponders) of the threatened taxa (within *P. lateralis*) to determine population size and trends; and less intensive systematic surveys for persistence and gross population changes in other taxa/populations (fresh faecal pellet searches, remote cameras and spotlight counts).
* Survey selected populations (across the range and habitat types of each taxon) of non-threatened taxa at least once every five years.
* Where predator or herbivore control is being conducted or translocations have been undertaken, monitor the response of predators or herbivores (e.g. sand pads/activity indexes) and rock wallabies to assess the effectiveness of the programs.
* Monitor the response of rock wallabies and their habitat to the construction of predator proof fences and the relaxation of predator pressure (as per action item 2.3).
* Analyse monitoring results promptly and incorporate into an adaptive management framework. Make timely alterations to predator/herbivore control programs as required and commence management actions if significant population declines or new threats are detected.

*Responsibility:*

*P. l. lateralis*

* DPaW - WA Central Wheatbelt District, Avon Valley/Walyunga NP, Cape Range NP
* AWC - Paruna Sanctuary

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* LRM/CLC - MacDonnell Ranges, Petermann Ranges
* NGLMU/DPaW - Townsend Ridges, Rawlinson, Cavenagh, Bell Rock, Walter James, Dixon and Morgan Ranges, Mt Johnno
* APYLMU/DEWNR - Musgrave, Tomkinson, Hickley Ranges

*P. l.* West Kimberley race

* DPaW - Edgar Ranges, Erskine Range, Mt Anderson and hills near Mt Wynne

*P. brachyotis*

* DPaW - Mirima NP, Lake Argyle, Windjana Gorge, Bungle Bungle NP
* LRM - Litchfield NP
* LRM/NLC - central/eastern Arnhem Land
* DSEWPaC/NLC/Gagadju Association - Kakadu NP

*P. burbidgei*

* DPaW/KLC - Mitchell River NP, Prince Regent NR

*P. concinna*

* DPaW/KLC - Mitchell River NP, Prince Regent NR
* LRM/NLC - central Arnhem Land
* DSEWPaC - Kakadu NP

*P. rothschildi*

* DPaW - Karijini and Millstream-Chichester NP, Burrup Peninsula

*Priority:* Low (*P. brachyotis*); High (other taxa)

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**6.2 Monitor island populations of rock wallabies and their habitats to maintain biosecurity of islands and to enable rapid intervention if an exotic introduction is detected.**

Tasks:

* Monitor all island populations of *P. lateralis*, *P. rothschildi, P. concinna* and *P. burbidgei* for population persistence and the potential arrival of any biosecurity risks, annually at least (such as inspection of beaches for feral animal tracks).
* Monitor populations on selected islands occupied by *P. brachyotis* (as it occurs on at least 19 islands in the NT)*,* focusing on those islands most at risk of introduction of feral animals or weed species (e.g. those with outstations, fishing camps or other human activity).

*Responsibility:*

*P. l. lateralis*

* DPaW - Barrow and Salisbury Islands

*P. lateralis hacketti*

* DPaW - Mondrain, Westall and Wilson Islands

*P. brachyotis*

* LRM/NLC - Arnhem Land Islands
* LRM/ALC - Groote Eylandt and Bickerton Island

*P. burbidgei*

* DPaW/KLC - Bigge, Boongaree, Wollaston and Katers Islands

*P. concinna*

* DPaW/KLC - Long, Hidden, Jungulu (Darcy) and Augustus Islands

*P. rothschildi*

* DPaW - Dolphin, Enderby, Rosemary and West Lewis Islands

*Priority:* Low (*P. brachyotis*); High (other taxa)

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**6.3 Monitor rock wallaby populations subject to industrial or tourism impacts and review management if population size is negatively impacted or distribution shifts are detected.**

Tasks:

* Monitor impacts on *P. l. lateralis* of industrial development on Barrow Island and any techniques employed to mitigate any impacts.
* Monitor the impact of any expansion of manganese mining into *P. brachyotis* habitat on Groote Eylandt.
* Monitor the effectiveness of fox baiting on the Burrup Peninsula and islands of the Dampier Archipelago in close proximity to infrastructure and industrial development (e.g. Dolphin Island).
* Monitor and document the impacts of the development of Kokerbin NR on *P. l. lateralis.*

*Responsibility:*

* + Gorgon/Woodside/DPaW - Barrow Island, Burrup Peninsula
  + LRM/GEMCO - Groote Eylandt
  + DPaW - Dampier Peninsula, Dolphin Island, Kokerbin NR

*Priority*: High (WA); Low (*P. brachyotis*, NT)

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**Action 7: Manage habitat to maintain or improve its carrying capacity for rock wallabies and to permit successful breeding and dispersal**

**7.1 Improve security of tenure of off-reserve rock wallaby populations.**

Tasks:

* Negotiate conservation covenants or other binding management agreements for lands that are important for sustaining rock wallaby populations. Off-reserve sites containing important populations of listed threatened taxa, including WA Wheatbelt *P. l. lateralis* sites should be the highest priority.
* Increase areas of protected rock wallaby habitat by negotiating strategic land purchases.
* Assist land-holders to adequately manage rock wallaby populations currently on private property, leasehold land or other tenures, especially with feral predator control.
* Connect existing populations by creating corridors of native vegetation to increase dispersal opportunities by land purchase or management arrangements with other land-holders.

*Responsibility:*

*P. l. lateralis*

* DPaW - WA Wheatbelt populations, Avon and Walyunga NPs
* AWC - Paruna Sanctuary

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* APYLMU/DEWNR, DPaW/NGLMU

*P. rothschildi*

* DPaW - Burrup Peninsula

*P. concinna, P. burbidgei, P. brachyotis*

* DPaW/KLC, LRM/NLC

*Priority:* High (*P. lateralis*), Moderate (*P. rothschildi*), Low (*P. brachyotis, P. concinna*)

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**7.2 Rehabilitate habitat by controlling weeds and planting native vegetation to increase foraging habitat.**

Tasks:

* Undertake weed control around WA Wheatbelt rock wallaby populations and monitor its effectiveness and changes in rock wallaby habitat use.
* Implement the APY Lands Buffel Management Plan to restrict the spread and extent of buffel grass.

*Responsibility:*

*P. l. lateralis*

* DPaW - WA Wheatbelt populations

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* APYLMU/DEWNR

*Priority:* High (*P. lateralis*), Moderate (*P. rothschildi*)

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**7.3 Minimise the impacts of fire.**

Tasks:

* Implement the APY Lands Fire Management Plan to reduce the size and extent of bushfires, and to manage fires around rock wallabies at New Well and Kalka.
* Plan, implement and monitor precautionary fire practices around *P. concinna* and *P. burbidgei* habitat in the Kimberley to prevent or reticulate large bushfires.
* Plan, implement and monitor prescribed burning in Pilbara reserves that ensures patchy and low intensity fires in areas occupied by *P. rothschildi*.
* Plan, implement and monitor prescribed burning in the Edgar Ranges NR that ensures patchy and low intensity fire in areas occupied by *P. l.* West Kimberley race.
* Develop protocols and readiness to intervene as required should a large bushfire occur on an island occupied by rock wallabies.
* Implement fire management around translocated populations to reduce the likelihood of large fires and to enhance habitat.

*Responsibility:*

*P. l. lateralis*

* DPaW/Chevron - WA Wheatbelt populations, Avon Valley and Walyunga NPs, Barrow Island
* AWC - Paruna Sanctuary

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* APYLMU/DEWNR, DPaW/NGLMU

*P. rothschildi*

* DPaW- Burrup Peninsula, Dolphin Island

*P. concinna, P. burbidgei, P. brachyotis*

* DPaW/KLC, LRM/NLC

*Priority:* High (*P. l.* MacD race, *P. burbidgei*, *P. concinna*), Moderate (*P. rothschildi*), Low (*P. l. lateralis, P. brachyotis*)

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**Action 8: Undertake research to improve understanding of species biology, management and monitoring techniques**

**8.1 Conduct population viability analysis for those populations of rock wallabies where appropriate trapping data are available.**

*Tasks:*

* Collate available trapping data for *P. lateralis* at long-term sites and conduct population viability analysis to assess their current status, population sizes and trends, and so guide decisions on how large populations need to be to ensure their persistence.
* Use these analyses to guide the recovery of other populations, translocations and determine the minimum data sets required to accurately estimate population trends.

*Responsibility:*

*P. l. lateralis*

* + DPaW/UWA/Murdoch University - WA Wheatbelt populations

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* + APYLMU/DEWNR, University of Adelaide

*Priority*: High

*Timeframe:* Year 1 and 2

**8.2 Assist with studies to refine existing and develop new predator control techniques.**

*Tasks:*

* Provide field and other assistance for research into new or improved predator baiting technology, including the use of alternative toxins to 1080.
* Review of baiting techniques suitable for controlling feral predators around rock wallaby populations and their trialling in an experimental framework.
* Undertake a trapping or scat distribution survey prior to and after the implementation of new baiting at populations previously unbaited (as per action item 2.2).
* Estimate the numbers and densities of predators and use this information to assess the potential effectiveness of baiting over time.

*Responsibility:*

* + DPaW, LRM, DEWNR with universities

*Priority:* High (WA & SA) Moderate (NT)

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**8.3 Test, improve existing, and develop new monitoring techniques for rock wallabies and predators.**

*Tasks:*

* Undertake comprehensive and comparative testing of techniques to monitor rock wallabies as well as predators that will allow more accurate population estimations and display improved sensitivity to population trends. Some of these techniques would include scat counts, remote cameras, genetic typing from scat samples, sand plots, mark-recapture studies and remote dataloggers detecting microchipped individuals.
* Investigate the usefulness of new technologies when they become available.

*Responsibility:*

* + DPaW, PWCNT, DEWNR with universities

*Priority:* High (WA & SA) Moderate (NT)

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**8.4 Undertake genetic analyses to delineate taxon boundaries, to test the validity of sub-species, inform translocations and clarify priorities for conservation actions.**

*Tasks:*

* Clarify the taxonomic status of the subspecies of *P. brachyotis* and *P. concinna.*
* Encourage the routine collection of tissue and fresh faecal samples, photos of head, upper body, hips and tail from those species where possible in the field.
* Examine variation in Cape Range NP/Ningaloo Station *P. l. lateralis*; and *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race populations in NT, SA and unsampled populations in the Central Ranges Region of WA.
* Compare the various populations of *P. rothschildi* on islands in the Dampier Archipelago, the Burrup Peninsula and upland Pilbara sites to determine if there is significant population structuring.
* Clarify population numbers, distribution and health of translocated *P. l. lateralis.*

*Responsibility:*

* + Australian Museum, SA Museum, WA Museum (housing of samples), LRM, DPaW, DEWNR (collection of samples)

*Priority*: High (*P. concinna, P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race); Moderate (*P. brachyotis, P. burbidgei* and *P. rothschildi*)

*Timeframe:* Year 3 onwards

**8.5 Undertake landscape-scale research projects to understand the impact of fires on habitat, predation risks and population parameters.**

*Tasks:*

* Undertake studies examining the possible benefits of patch burning around *P. lateralis* populations to determine changes in activity or habitat use; shifts in diet; changes in body condition before and after fire, and survivorship/recruitment related to predation.
* Ascertain the extent and population impacts of feral cat predation on *P. burbidgei* and *P. concinna.*
* Conduct a study examining the response of *P. concinna* and *P. burbidgei* to fire-induced changes to their habitats.
* Research the field biology and ecology of *P. l.* West Kimberley race and *P. rothschildi* at selected sites in the southern Kimberley and Pilbara respectively.
* Assess the role predation may have in determining current population size and distribution and habitat use.
* Make recommendations for the most appropriate fire regimes to implement around populations on the basis of the observation of behaviour and habitat use in and around existing and future fire scars.

*Responsibility:*

* + LRM/CLC - MacDonnell Ranges
  + DPaW, NGLMU - Central Ranges of WA

*P. burbidgei* and *P. concinna*

* + DPaW/AWC/NLC - Kimberley

*P. l.* West Kimberley race and *P. rothschildi*

* + DPaW/Universities

*Priority:* High (WA & SA) Moderate (NT)

*Timeframe:* By year 5

**8.6 Determine the impact of habitat enhancement (provision of water, supplementary feeding) on rock wallaby populations.**

*Task:*

* Investigate whether the provision of water and supplementary feed around translocated populations or those at very low densities can assist with their rapid recovery in population size and expansion of their habitat use.

*Responsibility:*

* + DEWNR/APY

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe*: End of year 3

**8.7 Ascertain the factors preventing successful recruitment and dispersal.**

*Tasks:*

* Undertake a radio-tracking/trapping study of translocated rock wallabies to understand the reasons for limited recruitment and dispersal.
* Trial strategies for more effective translocation and release of rock wallabies.

*Responsibility:*

* DEWNR/APY - SA translocated populations (when released)
* DPaW – Wheatbelt, Kalbarri NP

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe:* Year 5

**8.8 Assist with the development of techniques to control buffel grass and research its impact on rock wallabies.**

*Tasks:*

* Document the response of rock wallabies to the invasion of buffel grass in terms of its importance in the diet and its relative nutritional value compared to alternative plants.
* Assist with any field trials relating to the control of buffel grass and limiting its spread. Trials may involve land management techniques (such as the use of fire), but also the use of herbicides.

*Responsibility:*

* DEWNR/APY - SA populations of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

*Priority:* Moderate

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**8.9 Investigate the prevalence of toxoplasmosis and other diseases and parasites in rock wallabies.**

*Tasks:*

* Collate samples and data from past and present projects containing information on rock wallaby diseases.
* Encourage researchers and managers to collect samples and observe/document the health of rock wallabies they handle.
* Document disease and parasite profiles of all the rock wallaby taxa in this plan and provide this information in a format that can be readily updated.

*Responsibility:*

* DPaW/Murdoch University, LRM, DEWNR

*Priority:* Moderate

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**8.10 Continue to develop and improve methods of captive care and translocation of rock wallabies.**

*Tasks*:

* Continue to develop more efficient and effective methods to breed and care for rock wallabies and improve handling techniques for translocations.
* Review techniques and discuss at the five yearly rock wallaby scientific and management meeting (action 10.1)

*Responsibility:*

*P. l. lateralis*

* DPaW/Perth Zoo

*P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race

* DEWNR/Monarto Zoo

*Priority:* Moderate

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**Action 9: Communication and community education**

**9.1 Provide a range of information and interpretative materials about rock wallabies for the community and tourism operators.**

*Tasks:*

* Provide up-to-date information on rock wallabies to the public via agency websites.
* Assist tour guides and rangers working at rock wallaby sites to develop and present interpretative material on rock wallabies in their area.
* Encourage tour leaders on private property to use interpretative material related to rock wallaby conservation.
* Maximise opportunities to impart the conservation message through interpretive signs on rock wallaby pens in participating zoos.
* Provide signage at strategic sites to explain conservation issues pertaining to rock wallabies.

*Responsibility:*

* DPaW, Perth Zoo, LRM, DEWNR, Monarto Zoo

*Priority:* Moderate

*Timeframe:* By year 3

**9.2 Involve the community, especially Aboriginal people, in the survey and management of rock wallabies.**

*Tasks:*

* Develop and plan rock wallaby conservation and research projects with Aboriginal communities so they are involved in the management of rock wallabies on their lands.
* Plan for the involvement of land-holders and the local community in conservation actions (e.g. predator control and fire management), ensure the management of rock wallabies is incorporated into ‘Working on Country’ projects/plans for Indigenous Protected Areas.
* Develop culturally appropriate protocols to ensure the timely reporting of the results of conservation actions to Aboriginal groups and to the wider public.

*Responsibility*:

* LRM/CLC - MacDonnell Ranges
* LRM/NLC - Arnhem Land
* DSEWPaC - Kakadu NP
* DPaW/NGLMU - Central Ranges of WA
* DPaW/AWC/NLC - Kimberley
* DEWNR/APY - SA populations
* NRM groups for Indigenous Protected Areas

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

**9.3 Provide updates on progress to community groups and the general public via newspaper articles, radio interviews, etc.**

*Task:*

* Produce regular communication via various media (newspapers, magazines, radio interviews, television news) to update local land-holders and the wider community of progress in the recovery of rock wallabies.

*Responsibility:*

* LRM, DPaW, DEWNR, AWC

*Priority:* Moderate

*Timeframe*: Ongoing

**Action 10: Manage the recovery process**

**10.1 Establish recovery teams or similar forums to plan and oversee actions to maintain, and where applicable, recover populations of *P. lateralis, P. rothschildi* and *P. concinna.***

*Tasks:*

* Continue regular meetings of the Warru Recovery Team in SA.
* Form a recovery team in WA to coordinate the recovery process for *P. lateralis*, with regular meetings to review actions related to *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race (with LRM/NGLMU).
* Investigate the potential to establish a tropical mammal recovery team to combine the recovery of *P. concinna* with other species affected by similar threats within a wider recovery process.
* Report annually on the progress of implementation of recovery actions, and success in meeting the recovery plan objectives.
* Organise a workshop every three years to bring together Aboriginal people, researchers and managers to share results and ideas, review and plan ongoing actions.

*Responsibility:*

* LRM, DPaW, DEWNR

*Priority:* High

*Timeframe:* Ongoing

A summary of the recovery actions relevant to each rock wallaby taxon included in this plan is presented in Table 6.

Table 6: Recovery actions relevant to each rock wallaby taxon

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Recovery Action | | | | | | | | | |
| **Taxon** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** | **10** |
| *P. l. lateralis* | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P. l. hacketti* |  |  |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P. l.* West Kimberley race | ✓ |  |  |  |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P. l. pearsoni* |  |  |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P. brachyotis* | ✓ |  |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P. burbidgei* | ✓ |  |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P. c. canescens* | ✓ |  |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P. c. concinna* | ✓ |  |  |  |  |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P.c. monastria* | ✓ |  |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| *P. rothschildi* | ✓ | ✓ |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

## 

# Implementation and evaluation

The timing and costs of recovery actions for the first five years of this recovery plan are summarized in Table 7. The costings were derived from existing estimates in the Warru Recovery Plan for the SA populations of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race (Read and Ward 2011), the Action Plan for Threatened Australian Macropods (Roache 2011) or estimated at the time of preparation and are indicative only. These costings will also be indicative of the out years of this plan.

Actions such as the commencement of feral animal baiting to increase the size of a rock wallaby population takes time to establish and a number of years for the rock wallabies to respond to the relaxation of predator pressure. This means that actions commenced during this plan may deliver incremental results within the life of the plan with the full benefits (in terms of population responses) occurring over subsequent years. Actions commenced such as predator baiting and monitoring will need to be ongoing beyond the life of the plan.

Most of the taxa in this plan are not listed as endangered or threatened, although several are thought to have declining populations across some part of their range as a consequence of land use changes or other threatening processes. Recovery and population management tasks for such taxa are the responsibility of state, territory and federal conservation agencies as part of their ongoing conservation programs and can best be managed through state/territory-based recovery teams in the first instance, with periodic communication between different recovery teams dealing with the same taxa in different jurisdictions.

Funding will be required from state and territory conservation agencies and associated bodies to undertake the recovery actions. Some of these funds may be derived from co-operative projects with community or Aboriginal groups and through a range of other funding sources.

This plan will run for a minimum of ten years from the date of its adoption under the EPBC Act, or until replaced. The recovery team (or similar) will produce an annual report of achievements against the actions. The plan will be reviewed by state and territory conservation agencies, in consultation with the recovery team/s within five years of the date of its adoption, or sooner if necessary, and again after ten years.

Table 7: Costs ($000), priority and timing of recovery actions for the first five years of this plan

| **Action** | **Species** | **Priority** | **Year 1** | **Year 2** | **Year 3** | **Year 4** | **Year 5** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assess the conservation status of poorly surveyed taxa** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 Survey of the distribution, conservation status and genetic diversity of tropical rock wallabies | *P. concinna* | High | 170 | 170 | 50 |  |  | 390 |
| *P. burbidgei* | Moderate |
| *P. brachyotis* | Moderate |
| 1.2 *S*urvey populations of *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race in WA and NT and *P. l.* West Kimberley race in WA | *P. l.* MacD race | High | 100 | 100 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 350 |
| *P. l.* West Kimb race | High | 15 | 15 | 5 |  |  | 35 |
| 1.3 Survey of island and mainland Pilbara for Rothschild’s rock wallaby to determine current status and establishment of monitoring sites | *P. rothschildi* | Moderate | 50 | 50 |  |  | 20 | 120 |
| **2. Conduct feral predator control** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 WA Wheatbelt | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 200 |
| 2.1 Cape Range NP/Learmonth Air Weapons Range | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 160 |
| 2.1 Calvert Range | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 150 |
| 2.1 Kalbarri NP (translocation site, baiting underway) | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| 2.1 Avon Valley NP | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| 2.1 Walyunga NP | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| 2.1 Paruna Sanctuary | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 50 |
| 2.1 Cape Le Grand NP (translocated population) | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| 2.1 Kalka, New Well (includes Eradicat trial) | *P. l.* MacD race | High | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 290 |
| 2.1 Northern Burrup Peninsula, Dolphin and Rosemary Islands | *P. rothschildi* | High | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| 2.1 Dampier Archipelago Islands (Dolphin Is) | *P. rothschildi* | High | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| 2.2 Central Ranges of WA (new baiting) | *P. l.* MacD race | High |  | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 320 |
| 2.2 Southern Burrup Peninsula | *P. rothschildi* | Med | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| 2.2 Townsend Ridges (resume baiting) | ?*P. l.* MacD race1 | High | 40 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 160 |
| 2.2 Durba Hills (proposed translocation) | *P. l. lateralis* | High |  | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 160 |
| 2.3 Construction of a predator proof fence around Nangeen Hill and monitoring its effectiveness | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 100 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 200 |
| **3. Manage and monitor problem herbivores** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 Goat control Kalbarri NP | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 250 |
| 3.1 Goat control Cape Range NP | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 350 |
| 3.1 Goat control Avon Valley NP, Walyunga NP | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| 3.2 Rabbit control WA Wheatbelt | *P. l. lateralis* | Moderate | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| 3.2 Rabbit control within 1km of rock wallaby colonies- APY lands | *P. l.* MacD race | Moderate | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| 3.3 Camel control APY lands | *P. l.* MacD race | Moderate | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 40 |
| 3.3 Undertake survey and plan camel control in Central Ranges WA | *P. l.* MacD race | Moderate | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 60 |
| 3.4 Assess impact of euros on rock wallaby habitat | *P. l. lateralis* | Low | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 150 |
| **4. Maintain and enhance biosecurity actions for islands to prevent the introduction of feral predators, competitors, weeds or disease** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 Prepare and disseminate biosecurity protocols | All island pop’s 2 | High | 20 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 28 |
| 4.2 Install signage at boat ramps | All island pop’s 2 | Moderate | 10 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 26 |
| 4.3 Build networks with gov’t agencies and public to  improve surveillance of islands | All island pop’s 2 | Moderate | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| 4.4 Plan emergency responses to incursion of predators, competitors, weeds and diseases on islands | All island pop’s 2 | High | 20 | 10 |  |  |  | 30 |
| **5. Conduct translocations, captive breeding and reintroductions to establish new, or supplement existing populations** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 Translocation to Kalbarri NP and monitoring | *P. l. lateralis* | High |  |  | 40 |  | 20 | 60 |
| 5.1 Translocation to Knungajin Rocks and monitoring | *P. l. lateralis* | High |  |  | 15 |  | 10 | 25 |
| 5.1 Translocation to Durba Hills | *P. l. lateralis* | High |  | 60 |  | 20 |  | 80 |
| 5.2 Cross-fostering/captive breeding at Monarto Zoo | *P. l.* MacD race | High | 150 | 90 | 95 | 100 | 105 | 540 |
| 5.2 Establish and maintain predator-proof compound APY lands | *P. l.* MacD race | High | 150 | 150 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 600 |
| 5.2 Translocations to APY lands from Monarto Zoo | *P. l.* MacD race | High |  |  |  |  | 200 | 200 |
| 5.2 Investigate translocation sites in Davenport Range | *P. l.* MacD race | Moderate |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| 5.2 Investigate feasibility to translocate to Uluru-Kata  Tjuta NP | *P. l.* MacD race | Moderate |  |  |  | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| **6. Monitor populations and review the efficacy of management actions** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.1 Monitor WA Wheatbelt populations - annual trapping, cameras and scat searches | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 250 |
| 6.1 Monitor Calvert Range/Durba Hills rock wallabies- annual trapping and scat searches | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 200 |
| 6.1 Monitor Cape Range NP rock wallabies- boat transects, observation, scat searches | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| 6.1 Monitor rock wallabies- Avon Valley/Walyunga NPs | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| 6.1 Monitor rock wallabies- Paruna Sanctuary | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| 6.1 Monitor rock wallabies- Cape Le Grand NP | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| 6.1 Monitor rock wallabies- 4 x Recherche islands | *P. l. hacketti* | High | 15 |  |  |  | 15 | 30 |
| *P. l. lateralis* | Moderate | 10 |  |  |  | 10 | 20 |
| 6.1 Monitor rock wallabies- APY lands | *P. l.* MacD race | High | 80 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 248 |
| 6.1 Monitor rock wallabies- Central Ranges WA | *P. l.* MacD race | Moderate | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| 6.1 Monitor rock wallabies- Dampier Archipelago | *P. rothschildi* | Moderate | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 50 |
| 6.2 Monitor island populations to maintain biosecurity | P. brachyotis | Low | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 50 |
| other island pop’s 2 | High |
| 6.3 Monitor populations subject to impact by industry or tourism | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 50 |
| *P. brachyotis* | Low |
| **7. Manage habitat to maintain or improve its carrying capacity for rock wallabies and to permit successful breeding and dispersal** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.1 Improve security of tenure of off-reserve rock wallaby populations | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| *P. l.* MacDrace | High |
| *P. rothschildi* | Moderate |
| *P. brachyotis* | Low |
| *P. concinna* | Low |
| 7.2 Undertake weed control around WA Wheatbelt rock wallaby populations and monitor its effectiveness and changes in rock wallaby habitat use | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 60 |
| 7.2 Implement APY Lands Buffel Grass Management Plan | *P. l.* MacDrace | High | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 200 |
| *P. rothschildi* | Moderate |
| 7.3 Implement APY Lands Fire Management Plan around rock wallabies at New Well and Kalka | *P. l.* MacDrace | High | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 20 |
| 7.3 Plan, implement and monitor precautionary fire practices around *P. concinna* and *P. burbidgei* habitat in the Kimberley to prevent or reticulate large bushfires | *P. burbidgei* | High | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 250 |
| *P. c. monastria* | High |
| 7.3 Plan, implement and monitor prescribed burning in Pilbara reserves that ensures patchy and low intensity fires in areas occupied by *P. rothschildi* | *P. rothschildi* | Moderate | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| 7.3 Plan, implement and monitor prescribed burning in the Edgar Ranges NR that ensures patchy and low intensity fire in areas occupied by *P. l.* West Kimberley race | *P. l.* West Kimberley race | Low | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 80 |
| 7.3 Develop protocols and readiness if required to potentially intervene if a large bushfire occurs on an island occupied by rock wallabies | All (except *P. l.* West Kimb/MacD race) | High | 40 | 10 |  | 10 |  | 60 |
| **8. Undertake research to improve understanding of species biology, management and monitoring techniques** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.1 Conduct population viability analysis for those populations where appropriate trapping data are available | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| *P. l.* MacDrace | High |
| 8.2 Assist with studies to refine existing and develop new predator control techniques | All | High/Mod | 50 | 50 |  |  |  | 100 |
| 8.3 Test, improve existing and develop new monitoring techniques for rock wallabies and predators | All | High/Mod | 50 | 50 |  |  |  | 100 |
| 8.4 Undertake genetic analyses to delineate taxon boundaries, to test the validity of sub-species, and so clarify priorities for conservation actions | *P. concinna* | High |  |  | 70 | 70 | 70 | 210 |
| *P. l.* MacD race | High |
| *P. brachyotis* | Moderate |
| *P. burbidgei* | Moderate |
| *P. rothschildi* | Moderate |
| 8.5 Undertake landscape-scale research projects to understand the impact of fires on habitat, predation risks and population parameters | *P. concinna* | High/Mod | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 1,000 |
| *P. burbidgei* | High |
| *P. lateralis* | High/Mod |
| *P. l.* West Kimb race | High |
| *P. rothschildi* | High |
| 8.6 Determine the impact of habitat enhancement (provision of water, supplementary feeding) on rock wallaby populations | *P. l. lateralis* | High | 30 | 30 | 30 |  |  | 90 |
| *P. l.* MacDrace |
| 8.7 Ascertain the factors preventing successful recruitment and dispersal | *P. l. lateralis* | High |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 300 |
| *P. l.* MacDrace |
| 8.8 Assist with development of techniques to control buffel grass and research its impact on rock wallabies | *P. l.* MacDrace | Moderate | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 200 |
| 8.9 Investigate the prevalence of toxoplasmosis and other diseases and parasites in rock wallabies | All | Moderate | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |  | 60 |
| 8.10 Continue to develop and improve methods for captive care and translocation of rock wallabies | *P. l. lateralis* | Moderate | 10 |  |  | 10 |  | 20 |
| *P. l.* MacDrace |
| **9. Communication and community education** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.1 Provide a range of information and interpretative materials about rock wallabies for the community and tourism operators | All | Moderate | 10 | 10 |  |  |  | 20 |
| 9 .2 Involve the community, especially Aboriginal people, in the survey and management of rock wallabies | All | High | 60 | 60 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 270 |
| 9.3 Provide updates on progress to community groups and the general public via newspaper articles, radio interviews, etc. | All | Moderate | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| **10. Manage the recovery process** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.1 Establish recovery teams to plan and oversee actions to maintain and recover populations of *P. lateralis*, *P. rothschildi* and *P. concinna* | All | High | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 150 |
| TOTALS |  |  | 2324 | 2177 | 1927 | 1832 | 2072 | 10332 |

Notes: Costs indicative only. Some are drawn from Read and Ward (2011), Roache (2011) or calculated approximately at 2011 rates.

1 this population is a unique genetic entity; Eldridge and Pearson (1997).

2 all rock wallaby taxa in this plan except *P. l.* MacDonnell Ranges race, *P. c. concinna, P. c. canescens* and *P. l.* West Kimberley race have at least one island population
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# Appendix 1 – Rock wallaby species profiles

1. Taxa in need of monitoring; taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and which are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection, but could be if present circumstances change. These taxa are usually represented on conservation lands. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)