

# CONTENTS

---

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <b>LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL</b>  | <b>III</b>  |
| <b>FOREWORD</b>   | <b>XIII</b> |
| <b>SUPERVISING SCIENTIST'S OVERVIEW</b>                             | <b>XIV</b>  |
| <b>ABBREVIATIONS &amp; GLOSSARY</b>                                 | <b>XX</b>   |
| <b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>   | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.1 Role and function of the Supervising Scientist                  | 1           |
| 1.2 Performance summary   | 1           |
| 1.3 The Alligator Rivers Region and its uranium deposits            | 2           |
| 1.3.1 Nabarlek  | 3           |
| 1.3.2 Ranger  | 3           |
| 1.3.3 Jabiluka  | 3           |
| 1.3.4 Koongarra   | 4           |
| 1.3.5 South Alligator Valley mines                                  | 4           |
| <b>2 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS OF URANIUM MINES</b>                 | <b>5</b>    |
| 2.1 Supervision process   | 5           |
| 2.1.1 Minesite Technical Committees                                 | 5           |
| 2.1.2 Audits and inspections  | 5           |
| 2.1.3 Assessment of reports, plans and applications                 | 6           |
| 2.2 Ranger  | 7           |
| 2.2.1 Developments  | 7           |
| 2.2.2 On-site environmental management                              | 8           |
| 2.2.3 Off-site environmental protection                             | 17          |
| 2.2.4 Outcome of investigations into incidents at Ranger in 2003–04 | 26          |
| 2.3 Jabiluka  | 27          |
| 2.3.1 Developments  | 27          |
| 2.3.2 On-site environmental management                              | 27          |
| 2.3.3 Off-site environmental protection                             | 29          |
| 2.4 Nabarlek  | 31          |
| 2.4.1 Developments  | 31          |
| 2.4.2 On-site conditions  | 32          |
| 2.4.3 Off-site environmental protection                             | 33          |

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.5      | Other activities in the Alligator Rivers Region  | 33        |
| 2.5.1    | Rehabilitation of the South Alligator Valley uranium mines   | 33        |
| 2.5.2    | Exploration  | 34        |
| 2.6      | Radiological issues  | 34        |
| 2.6.1    | Background   | 34        |
| 2.6.2    | Radiation at and from Ranger   | 35        |
| 2.6.3    | Jabiluka   | 38        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH AND MONITORING</b>   | <b>40</b> |
| 3.1      | Toxicity of treated pond water from Ranger uranium mine to five local freshwater species                             | 41        |
| 3.2      | Toxicity of uranium to two local freshwater species  | 43        |
| 3.3      | Bioaccumulation of radionuclides in terrestrial plants on rehabilitated landforms                                    | 46        |
| 3.3.1    | Background   | 46        |
| 3.3.2    | Results  | 47        |
| 3.4      | Surface water transport of uranium in the Gulungul Creek catchment   | 49        |
| 3.4.1    | Background   | 49        |
| 3.4.2    | Results  | 50        |
| 3.4.3    | Future work  | 52        |
| 3.5      | Development of a spectral library for minesite rehabilitation assessment   | 52        |
| 3.5.1    | Background   | 52        |
| 3.5.2    | Method   | 53        |
| 3.5.3    | Results  | 54        |
| 3.6      | Monitoring fine suspended sediment movement within the Gulungul Creek catchment                                      | 55        |
| 3.7      | Developing water quality closure criteria for Ranger billabongs using macroinvertebrate community data               | 56        |
| 3.8      | Continuous monitoring of water quality   | 57        |
| 3.9      | In situ toxicity monitoring in Magela Creek  | 57        |
| 3.10     | Ecological risk assessment of Magela floodplain from diffuse landscape-scale threats and point source mining threats | 61        |
| 3.10.1   | Part 1: Landscape  | 62        |
| 3.10.2   | Part 2: Ranger – surface water pathway of chemical contaminants  | 72        |
| 3.10.3   | Conclusions  | 74        |
| 3.10.4   | Future work  | 74        |
| 3.11     | Tropical Rivers Inventory and Assessment Project (TRIAP)   | 75        |
| 3.11.1   | Background   | 75        |

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 3.11.2   | Sub-project 1: Inventory of the biological, chemical and physical features of aquatic ecosystems | 76        |
| 3.11.3   | Sub-project 2: Assessment of the major pressures on aquatic ecosystems                           | 81        |
| 3.11.4   | Communications and stakeholder engagement  | 83        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>STATUTORY COMMITTEES</b>  | <b>84</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction   | 84        |
| 4.2      | Alligator Rivers Region Advisory Committee   | 84        |
| 4.3      | Alligator Rivers Region Technical Committee  | 85        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>COMMUNICATION AND LIAISON</b>   | <b>87</b> |
| 5.1      | Introduction   | 87        |
| 5.2      | Research support and communication   | 87        |
| 5.2.1    | Indigenous employment and consultation   | 87        |
| 5.2.2    | Internal communications  | 89        |
| 5.2.3    | Communication with technical stakeholders and general community                                  | 90        |
| 5.3      | National and international environmental protection activities                                   | 91        |
| 5.3.1    | Environmental radiation protection   | 91        |
| 5.3.2    | Basslink   | 92        |
| 5.4      | National Centre for Tropical Wetland Research  | 92        |
| 5.5      | Science communication and education  | 92        |
| 5.6      | International conferences  | 93        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS</b>   | <b>94</b> |
| 6.1      | Human resource management  | 94        |
| 6.1.1    | Supervising Scientist  | 94        |
| 6.1.2    | Structure  | 94        |
| 6.1.3    | Investor in People   | 95        |
| 6.1.4    | Occupational Health and Safety   | 95        |
| 6.2      | Finance  | 96        |
| 6.3      | Facilities   | 97        |
| 6.3.1    | Darwin facility  | 97        |
| 6.3.2    | Jabiru Field Station   | 97        |
| 6.3.3    | Library  | 98        |
| 6.4      | Business planning process  | 98        |
| 6.5      | Interpretation of Ranger Environmental Requirements  | 98        |
| 6.6      | Ministerial Directions   | 98        |

|  |  |            |
|--|--|------------|
| 6.7  | Sustainability report  | 98         |
| 6.7.1  | How the Department applies the principles  | 99         |
| 6.7.2  | Contribution of outcomes   | 99         |
| 6.7.3  | Summary of performance 2005–06   | 99         |
| 6.8  | National Centre for Tropical Wetland Research  | 103        |
| 6.9  | Animal experimentation ethics approvals  | 103        |
| <b>APPENDIX 1 ARRTC KEY KNOWLEDGE NEEDS</b>                            |  | <b>105</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX 2 PUBLICATIONS FOR 2005–2006</b>                           |  | <b>117</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX 3 PRESENTATIONS TO CONFERENCES AND SYMPOSIA, 2005–2006</b> |  | <b>122</b> |
| <b>INDEX</b>   |  | <b>124</b> |
| <b>Tables</b>  |  |            |
| Table 2.1  | Ranger production activity for 2005–2006 by quarter  | 7          |
| Table 2.2  | Ranger production activity for 2001–2002 to 2005–2006  | 7          |
| Table 2.3  | Ranger Minesite Technical Committee meetings   | 14         |
| Table 2.4  | Ranger Authorisation Changes/Approvals   | 14         |
| Table 2.5  | Summary of Magela Creek 2005–06 wet season water quality upstream and downstream of Ranger   | 18         |
| Table 2.6  | Summary of Gulungul Creek 2005–06 wet season water quality upstream and downstream of Ranger   | 20         |
| Table 2.7  | Jabiluka Minesite Technical Committee meetings   | 28         |
| Table 2.8  | Jabiluka Authorisation Changes/Approvals   | 28         |
| Table 2.9  | Summary of Ngarradj (Swift Creek) 2005–06 wet season water quality upstream and downstream of Jabiluka   | 31         |
| Table 2.10   | Nabarlek Minesite Technical Committee meetings   | 32         |
| Table 2.11   | Annual radiation doses received by workers at ranger uranium mine  | 36         |
| Table 2.12   | Radon decay product concentrations at Jabiru and Jabiru East, and total and mine derived annual doses received at Jabiru in 2003–2005                  | 37         |
| Table 3.1  | Estimated percentage contribution to committed effective dose from the ingestion of fruits and yams for long-lived members of the uranium decay series | 47         |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Table 3.2 Percent increase in filtered uranium concentration in Gulungul Creek in 2005                                   | 51  |
| Table 3.3 Comparison of landscape and minesite ecological risks to Magela floodplain, and their relative importance rank | 74  |
| Table 3.4 Major activities of sub-project 1  | 76  |
| Table 3.5 Continental geomorphic classes   | 78  |
| Table 3.6 Focus catchment geomorphic classes   | 78  |
| Table 5.1 Overseas conferences, seminars and workshops attended by Supervising Scientist Division staff in 2005–06       | 93  |
| Table 6.1 Staffing numbers and locations (at 30 June 2006)   | 95  |
| Table 6.2 Summary of cost of outputs   | 96  |
| Table 6.3 Occupancy and area of building 2005–06   | 100 |
| Table 6.4 Total power consumption 2005–06  | 100 |
| Table 6.5 Performance – transport  | 101 |
| Table 6.6 Materials – paper  | 101 |
| Table 6.7 Animal experimentation ethics approvals  | 104 |

## Figures

|   |       |
|---|-------|
| Map 1 Alligator Rivers Region   | xvii  |
| Map 2 Ranger mine site  | xviii |
| Map 3 Sampling locations used in SSD's research and monitoring programmes   | xix   |
| Figure 2.1 Water Treatment Plant  | 8     |
| Figure 2.2 Annual rainfall Ranger mine 1971–72 to 2005–06   | 9     |
| Figure 2.3 Ponding on 2s stockpile  | 11    |
| Figure 2.4 Uranium concentrations measured in Magela Creek by SSD and ERA during the 2005–06 wet season           | 19    |
| Figure 2.5 Uranium concentrations in Magela Creek since the 2000–01 wet season                                    | 19    |
| Figure 2.6 Uranium concentrations measured in Gulungul Creek by SSD and ERA during the 2005–06 wet season         | 21    |
| Figure 2.7 Uranium concentrations in Gulungul Creek between 2000 and 2005   | 21    |
| Figure 2.8 Creekside monitoring results for freshwater snail egg production for wet seasons between 1992 and 2006 | 23    |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.9 Creekside monitoring results for larval black-banded rainbowfish survival, for wet seasons between 1992 and 2006   | 24 |
| Figure 2.10 Paired control-exposed dissimilarity values (using the Bray-Curtis measure) calculated for community structure of fish in Mudginberri ('exposed') and Sandy ('control') billabongs in the vicinity of the Ranger uranium mine over time | 25 |
| Figure 2.11 Jabiluka Project Area during the 2005–06 wet season   | 27 |
| Figure 2.12 Uranium concentrations measured in Ngarradj by SSD and ERA in the 2005–06 wet season  | 30 |
| Figure 2.13 Uranium concentrations in Ngarradj since the 1998–99 wet season   | 30 |
| Figure 2.14 Radon decay product concentration measured by SSD and ERA in Jabiru and Jabiru East from June 2003 to June 2006   | 37 |
| Figure 2.15 Radon decay product and long-lived alpha activity concentrations measured at SSD's Mudginberri Four Gates Rd radon station  | 39 |
| Figure 3.1 Response of five local species to treated pond water permeate  | 42 |
| Figure 3.2 Loss of uranium in an <i>A. cumingi</i> test solution initially containing 43 µg/L uranium (measured)  | 45 |
| Figure 3.3 Effect of uranium on the growth rate of <i>L. aequinoctialis</i> , expressed as a percentage of the control response   | 46 |
| Figure 3.4 Effect of uranium on the egg production of <i>A. cumingi</i> , expressed as a percentage of the control response   | 46 |
| Figure 3.5 Screen shot of the bushtucker SIS showing uranium activity concentrations in terrestrial bush food samples collected in 1998 around the Ranger mine site   | 48 |
| Figure 3.6 The Gulungul catchment and project sampling sites  | 49 |
| Figure 3.7 Uranium concentration and rainfall time series data in the Gulungul Creek catchment  | 51 |
| Figure 3.8 SSD's Spectral Database concept – spectral data and metadata records   | 54 |
| Figure 3.9 Control chart showing temporal variation of the ratio of event mud loads measured downstream to that upstream along Gulungul Creek during 2005–06  | 56 |
| Figure 3.10 Continuous electrical conductivity (EC) at both the upstream and downstream sites on Magela Creek and quality spot checks measured using a calibrated portable field meter over mid-wet season months in 2006                           | 58 |
| Figure 3.11 Comparison of freshwater snail egg production for routine creekside monitoring and two feeding regimes of in situ toxicity monitoring   | 61 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 3.12 (a) Concordant trends in dry season density of magpie geese for the Magela and the Alligator Rivers region (1981–2003), and the ‘Top End’ of the NT (1983–1996). (b) Survey area of the annual magpie geese surveys in the NT. (c) Estimated numbers of Magpie Geese in the NT between 1958 and 1996. (d) Cumulative sum of the mean deviations in wet season flow for Magela Creek at G8210009 (1972–2005) and Katherine River (1958–2005) | 65 |
| Figure 3.13 (a) Linear regression between Log <sub>e</sub> extent of para grass and time. (b) Relationship between loss of native vegetation cover of four key wetland plants and increasing cover of para grass. (c) Control-cost curve for para grass (Noulangie floodplain, Kakadu).   | 66 |
| Figure 3.14 Bayesian habitat suitability model for para grass showing exposure probabilities  | 67 |
| Figure 3.15 The extent of para grass on Magela floodplain in 1991 and 2005, and the predicted extent in 2025 based on habitat suitability, known spread rates and location of known infestations  | 68 |
| Figure 3.16 (a) Distribution and intensity of ground disturbance damage caused by feral pigs on Magela floodplain (Nov 2003). (b) Trends in buffalo and pig density (1985–2003) in the ARR (c) Inverse relationship between density of buffalo and pigs in the ARR. (d) Threshold relationship between probability of pig damage and Ln density.  | 69 |
| Figure 3.17 Risk of fire on the Magela floodplain and surrounding woodland (1980–2004)  | 71 |
| Figure 3.18 (a) Distribution of combined ecological risks for landscape threats. (b) a Tornado diagram illustrating the relative contributions of each risk group to the combined ecological risk assessment for landscape threats  | 72 |
| Figure 3.19 (a) Distribution of combined ecological risks for minesite chemical threats. (b) Tornado diagram illustrating the relative contributions of each chemical to the combined ecological risk in the surface water pathway.   | 73 |
| Figure 3.20 Location of Tropical Rivers Inventory and Assessment Project  | 75 |
| Figure 3.21 Distribution of the vegetation classes within the Fitzroy catchment   | 79 |
| Figure 3.22 Tropical rivers region showing the location of the Daly, Fitzroy and Flinders River catchments  | 80 |
| Figure 3.23 Draft conceptual model for impacts on selected endpoints due to native vegetation clearance and associated land use in the Daly River catchment   | 82 |

|            |   |     |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.1 | ARRTC members with the Director of <i>eriss</i> and ERA staff at monitoring point G8210009 downstream of the Ranger mine – September 2005 | 85  |
| Figure 5.1 | Mudginberri residents visit the Darwin Laboratories   | 88  |
| Figure 5.2 | Consultation with Mirarr traditional owners at Mula in Kakadu National Park   | 89  |
| Figure 5.3 | SSD display at the Gunbalanya Open Day  | 90  |
| Figure 6.1 | Organisational structure of the Supervising Scientist Division  | 94  |
| Figure 6.2 | Tree damage at Jabiru Field Station caused by Tropical Cyclone Monica   | 97  |
| Figure 6.3 | Darwin DEH waste produced 2005–06   | 102 |
| Figure 6.4 | Darwin DEH greenhouse emissions 2005–06   | 102 |