A preliminary ecological risk assessment of the impact of tropical fire ants (Solenopsis geminata) on colonies of seabirds at Ashmore Reef



Bellio MG, Bayliss P, Williams AJ, van Dam R, Fox GJ & Moulden JH It is SSD policy for reports in the SSR series to be reviewed as part of the publications process.

This Supervising Scientist Report has been formally refereed by two external independent experts.

Maria Grazia Bellio – Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist & National Centre for Tropical Wetland Research, Darwin NT (current address: International Water Management Institute, PO Box 2075, Colombo, Sri Lanka)

Dr Peter Bayliss – Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist, GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801, Australia

Angus J Williams – Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service (AQIS), PO Box 350, Broome WA 6725, Australia

Dr Rick van Dam – Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist, GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801, Australia

Gary J Fox – Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist, GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801, Australia

JH Moulden – Western Australia Department of Agriculture, PO Box 19, Kununurra WA 6743, Australia

This report should be cited as follows:

Bellio MG, Bayliss P, Williams AJ, van Dam R, Fox GJ & Moulden JH 2007. *A preliminary ecological risk assessment of the impact of tropical fire ants (Solenopsis geminata) on colonies of seabirds at Ashmore Reef.* Supervising Scientist Report 190, Supervising Scientist, Darwin NT.

The Supervising Scientist is part of the Australian Government Department of the Environment and Water Resources.

© Commonwealth of Australia 2007

Supervising Scientist
Department of the Environment and Water Resources
GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801 Australia

ISSN 1325-1554

ISBN-13: 978-1-921069-00-0 ISBN-10: 1-921069-00-7

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Supervising Scientist. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to Publications Inquiries, *Supervising Scientist*, GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801.

e-mail: publications_ssd@environment.gov.au

Internet: www.environment.gov.au/ssd (www.environment.gov.au/ssd/publications)

The views and opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of the Commonwealth of Australia. While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the contents of this report are factually correct, some essential data rely on the references cited and the Supervising Scientist and the Commonwealth of Australia do not accept responsibility for the accuracy, currency or completeness of the contents of this report, and shall not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance on, the report. Readers should exercise their own skill and judgment with respect to their use of the material contained in this report.

Contents

1	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Project aims	2
	1.3	Approach	2
		1.3.1 Risk assessment framework	2
TI	HE R	ISK ASSESSMENT	5
2		tification of the problem: information on the stressor I the environment	6
	2.1	Ashmore Reef – brief overview of habitats, flora, fauna, historical and cultural values	6
		2.1.1 Geographical location and oceanographic conditions	6
		2.1.2 Historical and cultural values	7
		2.1.3 Ecological values – marine ecosystems	7
		2.1.4 Ecological values – terrestrial ecosystems	7
	2.2	Tropical fire ant overview of natural history: reproductive strategy, growth development and survival of all life stages (eggs, larvae, adults)	11
		2.2.1 Description	12
		2.2.2 Food	12
		2.2.3 Reproduction and colonies	12
		2.2.4 Life cycle stages	12
	2.3	History of tropical fire ant invasion at Ashmore Reef	13
3		ct characterisation: evaluation of data and information potential impacts	15
		Effects of invasive alien species (IAS)	15
		Island populations vulnerability and the effect of multiple pressures	17
	3.3	Potential impact of the tropical fire ant on native species	18
		3.3.1 Impact on ant communities and other invertebrates	18
		3.3.2 Impact on birds and reptiles	19
	3.4	Overview of status and conservation of breeding seabirds species at Ashmore Reef	19
		3.4.1 Wedge-tailed shearwater (<i>Puffinus pacificus</i>)	20

		3.4.2 Masked booby (Sula dactylatra bedouti)	20
		3.4.3 Brown booby (Sula leucogaster)	21
		3.4.4 Red-footed booby (Sula sula)	22
		3.4.5 Great frigatebird (Fregata minor)	23
		3.4.6 Lesser frigatebird (Fregata ariel)	24
		3.4.7 White-tailed tropicbird (Phaeton lepturus lepturus)	25
		3.4.8 Red-tailed tropicbird (Phaeton rubricauda)	26
		3.4.9 Bridled tern (Sterna anaethetus)	26
		3.4.10 Crested tern (Sterna bergii)	27
		3.4.11 Lesser crested tern (Sterna bengalensis)	28
		3.4.12 Roseate tern (Sterna dougallii)	28
		3.4.13 Common noddy (Anous stolidus)	29
		3.4.14 Black noddy (Anous minutus)	30
		3.4.15 Lesser noddy (Anous tenuirostris melanops)	31
		3.4.16 Sooty tern (Sterna fuscata)	31
		3.4.17 Eastern reef egret (Egretta sacra)	33
	3.5	Current status of breeding seabird at Ashmore	34
		3.5.1 Methods	34
		3.5.2 Results	37
4	•	osure characterisation: identification of the extent of the	
	-	blem	44
		Tropical fire ant habitat preferences and reasons for preference	44
	4.2	Current distribution and density of tropical fire ants on Ashmore Reef	44
		4.2.1 Methods	44
		4.2.2 Results	46
5	Risk	c characterisation	53
Ŭ		Damage – pest density relationships in pest management	53
		Number of dead seabird chicks and the abundance of tropical fire	00
	J. <u>_</u>	ants	53
		5.2.1 Working hypotheses and model assumptions	53
		5.2.2 Methods of analysis	55
		5.2.3 Results: common noddy on East and Middle islands	57

6 Discussion of risk quantification and recommendation for	
management of tropical fire ants at Ashmore Reef	64
6.1 Results summary	64
6.2 Management strategy	66
6.3 Eradication	66
6.3.1 Failure of treatment:	66
6.3.2 Re-infestation	67
6.4 Control to a target density	67
6.4.1 Ant monitoring methods	68
6.4.2 Data and methods to survey seabird nesting recruitment for future monitoring	68
6.5 No action	69
6.6 Conclusions	69
References	71
Appendices	79
Appendix 1 GPS coordinates of location of lesser frigatebird colonies at East Island	80
Appendix 2 GPS coordinates of location of lesser frigatebird (FB) and crested tern (CT) colonies, red-footed booby (RFB) – brown morph (b) and white morph (w) – and eastern reef egrets nest on Middle Island	81
Appendix 3 Coordinates of location of eastern reef egret nests on Middle Island	82
Appendix 4 Counts of seabirds (adults – otherwise specified juvenile) on West, East and Middle Island at the time of the survey in November 2004	84
Appendix 5 Opportunistic counts of shorebirds at West, Middle and East Islands, Ashmore Reef, November 2004	85
Appendix 6 GPS coordinates of points delimiting the transects along which fresh turtle tracks were counted on West Island on the 17 th of November 2004	86
Appendix 7 List of GPS way-point readings (using WGS 84) for each island	87
Appendix 8 Ant abundance and numbers caught in traps	88
Appendix 9 Middle Island ant nest distribution around bait stations	96

Tables

Table 1 Non indigenous terrestrial plants and animals established at Ashmore Reef Islands	16
Table 2 Some of the ecological and socio-economic factors that can influence the risk of introduction, establishment and spread of IAS in island ecosystems	17
Table 3 Coordinates of red-tailed tropicbird nests found at West Island on 9 November 2004	37
Table 4 Summary of the number of dead common noddy chicks found in the sample grids of East and Middle islands	55
Table 5 Summary of the multiple regression relationship between the density of all dead common noddy chicks, with the density index of tropical fire ants and percentage vegetation cover, across East and Middle islands	58
Table 6 MANCOVA of the density of dead common noddy chicks in each age/size class with vegetation cover and tropical fire ant density index and island	59
Table 7 Summary of multiple regression analyses between the density of dead common noddy chicks with vegetation cover and tropical fire ant density index, for each size class on each island	60
Table 8 Summary of multiple regression analyses between the density of dead common noddy chicks in vulnerable age/size Classes 1 and 2 combined, with vegetation cover and tropical fire ant density index, separately for both islands and combined, respectively	61
Table 9 The frequency distribution of dead common noddy chicks by two vulnerability classes and by island, and associated estimates of mortality rate	63
Table 10 Most likely pathway of invasion of tropical fire ants to Ashmore Reef	67
Table A8.1 Ant numbers caught in the pitfall traps at West Island	88
Table A8.2 Ant abundance in the three bait stations at West Island	89
Table A8.3 Ant numbers caught in the pitfall traps at Middle Island	91
Table A8.4 Ant abundance in the three bait stations at Middle Island	92
Table A8.5 Ant numbers caught in the pit traps at East Island	94
Table A8.6 Ant abundance in the three bait stations at East Island	95

Figures

Figure 1 Location of Ashmore Reef	1
Figure 2 Wetland risk assessment framework	3
Figure 3 Physical feature of Ashmore reef	6
Figure 4 Aerial view of West Island	8
Figure 5 Red-footed boobies and lesser frigatebirds nesting on vestigial remnants of <i>Argusia argentea</i> , November 2004	9
Figure 6 Sooty terns on Midddle Island, November 2004	10
Figure 7 Green turtle tracks at West Island	11
Figure 8 Masked booby on nest at Middle Island	21
Figure 9 Brown booby adult at East Island	22
Figure 10 Red-footed booby on nest at Middle Island, November 2004	23
Figure 11 Lesser frigatebird juvenile at Middle Island	24
Figure 12 Crested tern colony breeding at Middle Island	28
Figure 13 Common noddy at East Island, November 2004	30
Figure 14 Adult of sooty tern at Middle Island, November 2004	32
Figure 15 Eastern reef egret – dark morph	33
Figure 16 Method used to sample the number of dead chicks of the common noddy and brown booby in each grid cell	36
Figure 17 Common noddy dead chick Class 1 and Class 2	36
Figure 18 Common noddy dead chick Class 3 and Class 4	36
Figure 19 West island. A juvenile red-tailed tropicbird on a nest	37
Figure 20 West Island. A nest of the eastern reef egret in a bush of Argusia argentea	38
Figure 21 Eastern reef egret. Percentage of nests with 1, 2, 3 and 5 eggs	39
Figure 22 Eastern reef egret. Percentage of nests with 1, 2 and 3 chicks	39
Figure 23 East Island. Location and extent of lesser frigatebird nesting colonies	40
Figure 24 Middle Island. Location of nesting colonies of lesser frigatebirds, crested terns and red-footed boobies, November 2004	40
Figure 25 Common noddy distribution of total number of dead chicks in each sampling grid, Middle Island	41
Figure 26 Common noddy. Percentage of dead chicks in each of four size classes, Middle Island	41

in each sampling grid, East Island	42
Figure 28 Common noddy distribution of total number of dead chicks in each sampling grid, East Island	42
Figure 29 Brown booby distribution of total number of dead chicks in each sampling grid, Middle Island	43
Figure 30 Pitfall trap in dead grass	45
Figure 31 Peanut paste bait station	46
Figure 32 Middle Island. Distribution of the five abundance classes of tropical fire ants recorded visually at bait stations in the centre of each grid cell.	47
Figure 33 Middle Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants across the sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set at night.	47
Figure 34 Middle Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants across the sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set during the day.	48
Figure 35 Middle Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ant nests found across the sample grid.	48
Figure 36 West Island. Distribution of the five classes of abundance of tropical fire ant activity visually recorded at bait stations in the centre of each grid cell.	49
Figure 37 West Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants across the sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set at night.	50
Figure 38 West Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants in each sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set during the day.	50
Figure 39 East Island. Distribution of the five classes of abundance of tropical fire ant activity visually recorded at each sample grid point.	51
Figure 40 East Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants in each sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set during the night.	51
Figure 41 East Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants in each sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set during the day.	52
Figure 42 Nonlinear regression between mid-point range of tropical fire ant counts and abundance rank at bait stations	56
Figure 43 Regression between the mean number of ants caught in pitfall traps at night and the visual abundance rank at bait stations	56

noddy chicks, vegetation cover and tropical fire ant density between East and Middle islands	57
Figure 45 a & b Comparison of the (a) mean density of total dead common noddy chicks in each of the four age/size classes between East and Middle islands and (b) the percentage of dead chicks in each age/size class by island.	58
Figure 46a & 46b Partial regression plots between the density of all dead common noddy chicks with: (a) the density index of tropical fire ants and (b) percentage vegetation cover	59
Figure 47a & 47b Partial regression relationships between the total density of dead common noddy chicks in Classes 1 and 2 combined with: (a) the density index of tropical fire ants on Middle Island; and (b) vegetation cover on East Island	61
Figure 48 Proportion of deaths in each age/size class of common noddy chicks on East and Middle islands	63

