





December 2002



AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND FORESTRY - AUSTRALIA

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	5
INTRODUCTION	7
BACKGROUND	9
SCOPE	9
CURRENT QUARANTINE POLICY ON CHERRY FRUIT	
NATIONAL	
INTERSTATE	10
OUTLINE OF THE CHERRY INDUSTRY IN NEW ZEALAND	10
OUTLINE OF THE CHERRY INDUSTRY IN WESTERN AUSTRALIA	10
PEST RISK ANALYSIS	
STAGE 1: INITIATION	11
STAGE 2: RISK ASSESSMENT	11
RISK ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION	27
CONDITIONS FOR IMPORTATION OF CHERRY FRUIT FROM NEW ZEALAND INTO WESTERN AUSTRALIA	
APPENDIX 1 - CATEGORISATION OF PESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CHERRY FRUIT ZEALAND (DISTRIBUTION)	
APPENDIX 2: CATEGORISATION OF PESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CHERRY FRUIT II ZEALAND CHERRIES (PATHWAY ASSOCIATION)	
REFERENCES	39

Executive summary

The importation of stone fruit (*Prunus* spp.) into Western Australia from any source had been prohibited due to the absence of brown rot caused by *Monilinia fructicola* and *M. laxa*. Brown rot of stone fruit was discovered in Western Australia in 1997. In 1999, the presence of both *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa*, in Western Australia was confirmed and determined to be widespread. Following confirmation of brown rot in Western Australia, eastern Australian states and New Zealand requested access for stone fruit into Western Australia.

In September 2001, the Department of Agriculture Western Australia completed a State Import Risk Analysis (SIRA) on cherry fruit (*Prunus avium*) from South Australia. The SIRA on cherry fruit from South Australia recommended that the Plant Diseases Regulations 1989 be amended to allow the entry of cherry fruit from South Australia under a specific importation protocol.

This document reports on the findings of a review of import policy for fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand into Western Australia. The review recommends that the Plant Diseases Regulations 1989 be similarly amended to also allow the entry of fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand into Western Australia.

In completing the review for fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand the initial pest list, provided by New Zealand Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (NZ MAF), was evaluated and records verified. Twenty-one arthropods and 18 pathogens were identified as being associated with cherry production in New Zealand. Of these pests, 11 insects, 2 mites and 1 pathogen were considered further. These 14 pests were evaluated and 7 insects, 1 mite and 1 pathogen are considered to be associated with the fresh fruit pathway and hence regarded as potential quarantine pests for further consideration.

The SIRA conducted by the Department of Agriculture on fresh cherry fruit from South Australia established quarantine policy for fresh cherry fruit into Western Australia. Furthermore, all of the pests and diseases of quarantine concern associated with fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand are dealt with under existing state import quarantine policy for current imports of either interstate and or international horticultural commodities. For this reason Biosecurity Australia in consultation with the Department of Agriculture, determined that a review of existing policy was appropriate for the extension of these measures to cherry fruit of New Zealand origin.

This review proposes that the import conditions for fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand into eastern Australian states (pre-clearance or on arrival inspection) will effectively manage the quarantine pests associated with fresh cherry fruit from orchards in New Zealand into Western Australia for consumption, meeting the appropriate level of protection for Australia.

Glossary of terms and abbreviations

AFFA Commonwealth Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and

Forestry - Australia

The Department of

Agriculture

The Western Australian Department of Agriculture

ALOP Appropriate Level of Protection; the level of protection deemed

appropriate for establishing sanitary or phytosanitary measures

to protect human, animal or plant life or health

AQIS Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service, a section within

AFFA

Biosecurity Australia An agency within the Commonwealth Department of

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry - Australia. Biosecurity Australia protects consumers and animal and plant health, and facilitates trade, by providing sound scientifically based and

cost effective quarantine policy

Eastern Australia or

Eastern States

New South Wales, Victoria, Queensland, South Australia,

Tasmania and the Northern Territory

Entry potential

Likelihood of the entry of a pest

Establishment The perpetuation, for the foreseeable future, of a pest within an

area after entry

Establishment potential Likelihood of the establishment of a pest

Harmonisation The establishment, recognition and application by different

countries of phytosanitary measures based on common

standards

Introduction (of a pest) Entry of a pest resulting in its establishment

Introduction Potential The likelihood that a given pest species will remain viable and

undetected on or associated with the commodity as it moves from the exporting area to the endangered area where it may

establish

IRA Import Risk Analysis, an administrative process through which

quarantine policy is developed or reviewed, incorporating risk

assessment, risk management and risk communication

ISPM International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures

MOU Memorandum of Understanding on Animal and Plant

Quarantine Measures (MOU) between the Commonwealth and

States/Territories signed in December 1995

National Plant Protection Organisation (NPPO) Official service established by a government of a country to discharge the functions specified by the IPPC (AFFA is

Australia's NPPO)

Official Established, authorised or performed by a National Plant

Protection Organisation

Official control

(of a regulated pest)

the active enforcement of mandatory phytosanitary regulations and the application of mandatory phytosanitary procedures with the objective of eradication or containment of quarantine pests or for the management of regulated non-quarantine pests

Pathway Any means that allows the entry or spread of a pest

Pest Any species, strain or biotype of plant or animal, or any

pathogenic agent, injurious to plants or plant products

Pest of quarantine concern Any species, strain or biotype of plant or animal, or any other

biological agent that will or could cause significant damage to human beings, animals, plants, other aspects of the environment

or economic activities

Phytosanitary measure Any legislation, regulation or official procedure having the

purpose to prevent the introduction and/or spread of quarantine

pests

PIRSA Primary Industries and Resources South Australia

Polyphagous Feeding on a relatively large number of host plants from

different plant families

Quarantine pest A pest of potential economic importance to the area endangered

thereby and not yet present there, or present but not widely

distributed and being officially controlled

SIRA State Import Risk Analysis; a process for assessing the risk and

determining measures needed for the movement of plants and animals and their products between the States and Territories of

Australia

Unrestricted Risk 'Unrestricted' risk estimates are those derived in the complete

absence of risk management

Introduction

Fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand has been imported into the eastern states of Australia for several years. Stone fruit imports from eastern Australia and New Zealand into Western Australia have not been permitted due to the absence of suitable phytosanitary measures to mitigate the risk of the introduction of the stone fruit disease brown rot, caused by *Monilinia fructicola* and *M. laxa*, into Western Australia.

Brown rot of stone fruit was discovered in Western Australia in 1997. In 1999, the presence of both *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa* in Western Australia were confirmed and determined to be widespread. Following confirmation of brown rot in Western Australia, eastern Australian states and New Zealand requested access for stone fruit into Western Australia.

In September 2001, Department of Agriculture Western Australia completed a SIRA on cherry fruit (*Prunus avium*) from South Australia. The SIRA on cherry fruit from South Australia recommended that the Plant Diseases Regulations 1989 be amended to allow the entry of cherry fruit from South Australia under a specific import protocol.

Biosecurity Australia is the agency within Agriculture Fisheries and Forestry – Australia responsible for developing international quarantine policy for imports and for liasing with overseas National Plant Protection Organisations (NPPO's) to determine their requirements for exports of Australian plants and plant products. Quarantine policy for the importation of fresh cherry fruit into Australia from New Zealand and certain other sources has been in place for some time, except for importation into Western Australia. If an extension of existing quarantine policy is sought by our international trading partners, Biosecurity Australia may conduct a policy review rather than an IRA for the relevant commodity and PRA area.

The decision to use an extension of existing quarantine policy is dependent upon the conditions of commodity importation and the similarities between the phytosanitary status of the PRA area under consideration and the area to which imports are already approved or accepted. In consultation with the Department of Agriculture, Biosecurity Australia has determined that such a review is appropriate for the consideration of importation of fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand into Western Australia.

In co-operation with NZ MAF and the Department of Agriculture, Biosecurity Australia has conducted a review on fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand into Western Australia. In accordance with ISPM Pub. No. 11 *Pest Risk Analysis for Quarantine Pests*, the review comprises three discrete stages:

- Stage 1: initiation of the review
- Stage 2: risk assessment
- Stage 3: risk management

An outline of the methodology used for this review is provided in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

This document includes the following sections:

- Background to this review
- Current quarantine policy for the importation of fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand
- A reference to the review methodology used
- Results of stages 1-3 of the review
- Recommendations for the importation of fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand into Western Australia

Background

Brown rot of stone fruit was discovered in Western Australia in 1997. At the time of detection, the disease was found to be established and widespread in the State. In 1999, the Department of Agriculture and Central Science Laboratories, United Kingdom, confirmed the presence in Western Australia of both *Monilinia fructicola* and *M. laxa*, the pathogens that cause brown rot of stone fruit. Following the establishment of brown rot in Western Australia, a number of requests were received to import stone fruit into the State from eastern Australia and New Zealand.

Western Australia's previous freedom from brown rot had enabled a prohibition on the importation of stone fruit into the State, as there was no effective phytosanitary measure for the disease. This prohibition has been in place for many years and as a result there has been no formal analysis of other exotic pests that could be introduced into Western Australia with imported stone fruit.

Following the requests to import stone fruit into the State, the Department of Agriculture embarked on a formal assessment of stone fruit from eastern Australia using the State Import Risk Analysis process. The first step in the analysis was to determine the pest status of Western Australia and the other Australian states and to conduct a pest risk assessment. In September 2001, the Department of Agriculture released a SIRA on fresh cherry fruit from South Australia recommending the import of fresh cherry fruit commence under an agreed import protocol.

This review has been conducted as an adjunct to the SIRA released by the Department of Agriculture on fresh cherry fruit from South Australia. Factors such as the biology, host range, distribution, presence on the pathway (fruit), history of trade with eastern states, establishment and spread potential and economic consequences were taken into account. The review identified pests of cherry fruit of quarantine concern to Western Australia and considered to have a significant likelihood of introduction, establishment or spread.

Scope

In this review, conducted utilising existing Western Australian policy for importation of fresh cherry fruit from South Australia, Biosecurity Australia has considered the pests associated with cherry (*Prunus avium*) in New Zealand. These pests have been categorised and assessed to determine whether the international criteria for a quarantine pest are met. This pest list and categorisation will form the basis of future policy in respect to the entry of cherry fruit into Western Australia from New Zealand. Cherry fruit is defined as fresh, mature fruit (including the pedicel or stalk of a single fruit and the peduncle or stalks of the fruit cluster) of *Prunus avium* of the family Rosaceae imported for the purpose of consumption from export orchards in New Zealand.

Current quarantine policy on cherry fruit

National

The Quarantine Act 1908, including Quarantine Proclamation 1998 as amended, prohibits except by permit the entry of all fresh fruit, including cherry, into Australia. Permits are issued by AQIS for the entry of cherry fruit into Australia from New Zealand and parts of the United States of America, subject to certain conditions. Current AQIS import conditions allow entry of New Zealand cherry fruit to Australia but restrict the entry of cherry fruit from the United States to the eastern states. (AQIS, 2002a and AQIS, 2002b).

New Zealand cherry fruit can enter eastern Australia either under an AQIS pre-clearance program or require inspection upon arrival. If cherry fruit is exported under the AQIS pre-clearance program, no inspection is required on arrival in Australia. Inspection on arrival and treatment for quarantine pests, if detected, is required for New Zealand cherry fruit if they are not exported under the AQIS pre-clearance program.

Interstate

Under the Plant Diseases Act 1914 and Regulations 1989 the importation of cherry fruit is permitted from South Australia but is not permitted from the eastern states, New Zealand or the United States of America.

Outline of the cherry industry in New Zealand

New Zealand cherry production is primarily restricted to the South Island around the Blenheim and Otago regions. The orchard area currently covers approximately 335 hectares. Harvest of fruit commences during late November and concludes mid to late February. New Zealand producers export ten to thirty tonnes of fresh cherry fruit to the eastern states annually, with precise volumes dependent upon seasonal variation. Other export markets include Japan and Taiwan. Total export production may reach 2000 tonnes in 2003, as new orchard plantings begin to yield export quality fruit.

Outline of the cherry industry in Western Australia

The value of cherry production in Western Australia is approximately \$1.5m per annum. The cherry industry is in a strong growth phase and appears set to double in size over the next few years. In 1995/96, there were over 37,000 cherry trees in Western Australia.

Pest Risk Analysis

In accordance with ISPM Pub. No. 11 *Pest Risk Analysis for Quarantine Pests*, this review comprises three discrete stages:

- Stage 1: initiation
- Stage 2: risk assessment
- Stage 3: risk management

An outline of the methodology used is provided in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines* for *Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Stage 1: Initiation

Initiation of this analysis followed the confirmation in 1999 that brown rot of stone fruit, caused by *Monilinia fructicola* and *M. laxa*, had established in Western Australia and the subsequent requests to import stone fruit into the State.

During 2000, NZ MAF and PIRSA sought access for fresh stone fruit for consumption. NZ MAF later indicated access for fresh cherries was a priority. In September 2001, the Department of Agriculture completed a SIRA on cherry fruit (*Prunus avium*) from South Australia. The SIRA on cherry fruit from South Australia recommended that the Plant Diseases Regulations 1989 be amended to allow the entry of cherry fruit from South Australia under a specific importation protocol. After the release of the South Australian import recommendations, the Department of Agriculture commenced work on a review for fresh cherry fruit from orchards within New Zealand.

The "PRA area" is defined in this review as the State of Western Australia. The 'endangered area' is defined as any area within Western Australia, where susceptible hosts are present, and in which ecological factors favour the establishment of a pest that might be introduced in association with cherry fruit from New Zealand. The pathway is considered to be fresh cherry fruit for consumption from export orchards in New Zealand.

Stage 2: Risk Assessment

The first step in the process is to determine which of the plant pests associated with cherry fruit in New Zealand meet the definition of a quarantine pest, i.e. "A pest of potential economic importance to the area endangered thereby and not yet present there, or present but not widely distributed and being officially controlled" (FAO, 1996a).

The pests of potential guarantine significance have been determined through the lack of records of

presence in Western Australia, presence on the pathway (cherry fruit), potential for establishment and economic consequences. These factors are used in the following tables to categorise and subsequently identify the quarantine pests of cherry fruit for Western Australia. If pests are identified as being present in Western Australia and not under official control, or the pests do not have the potential for establishment or economic consequences, then further consideration is not required as they do not meet the definition of a quarantine pest.

Of the 21 arthropods and 18 pathogens associated with the production of cherry fruit in New Zealand, 11 insects, 2 mites and 1 pathogen were considered further (Appendix 1). These 14 species not known to occur in Western Australia were then assessed for their presence on the cherry pathway (Appendix 2). Of the 14 species, 7 insects, 1 mite and 1 pathogen were considered to be present on the pathway and retained as pests for further consideration (Table 1).

Datasheets were prepared for these 9 pests. Unrestricted risk estimates were determined using the methodology as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001 and presented in Table 2.

Table 1. Pests for further consideration associated with fresh cherry fruit from New Zealand

Scientific name	Common name	
Arthropods	·	
Ctenopseustis herana (Feld. & Rogen.) [Lepidoptera:	Brownheaded	
Tortricidae]	leafroller	
Ctenopseustis obliquana (Walker) [Lepidoptera:	Brownheaded	
Tortricidae]	leafroller	
Eucolaspis brunnea (F.) [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae]	Bronze beetle	
Myzus cerasi (F.) [Hemiptera: Aphididae]	Black cherry aphid	
Panonychus ulmi (Koch) [Acarina: Tetranychidae]	European red mite	
Planetarini anno (Mallan) II aridantara Tarkinida 1	Green headed	
Planotortrix excessana (Walker) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	leafroller	
Physical Control of the Control of t	Green headed	
Plantortrix octo (Dugdale) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	leafroller	
Thrips obscuratus		
[Thysanoptera:Thripidae]	NZ flower thrips	
Pathogens		
Venturia cerasi Aderhold [Dothideales: Ascomycotina]	Cherry scab	

Risk Assessment for Pests of Possible Quarantine Concern

The next step in the pest risk assessment is to assess the risk posed by the pests that have been

identified. This is undertaken by assessing the probability of entry, establishment and spread for the pests. The probability of entry was obtained by considering the importation and distribution pathway(s) for the commodity and the likelihood that a given pest will remain viable and undetected after each of the component steps in the pathway. The probability of establishment and the probability of spread were obtained by examining biological and other factors in the endangered area that may influence a pest's ability to become established and subsequently spread to other areas.

Pest data sheets have been compiled for the 9 pests of cherry fruit from New Zealand. The data sheets provide a summary of the biology of the pest and the rationale for determining the probabilities for entry, establishment and spread of the pest in Western Australia.

Brown-Headed Leafroller

Scientific name: Ctenopseustis herana (Felder & Rogenhofer, 1875) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]

Synonyms: *Tortrix herana* Felder & Rogenhofer, 1875; *Cacoecia inana* Butler, 1877; *Ctenopseustis herana* Felder & Rogenhofer; *Ctenopseustis obliquana* Type II of Foster *et al.* (1986), Foster and Dugdale (1988) (Dugdale, 1990; MAFNZ, 1999b).

Other common names: None known.

Probability of importation: Low

A highly polyphagous species, larvae may be present on cherry fruit. Egg masses of this leafroller are laid on the leaves. The larvae feed mainly on leaves by spinning them together with silk and developing a protective shelter. Thomas (1979) indicated that "larvae eject frass (droppings) outside the fruit or protective shelter". They may also feed on shoots, buds, stems and externally or internally on fruit. The externally feeding larvae are likely to be eliminated by packinghouse procedures (including washing, sorting and grading). Most fruit with internally feeding larvae would show external damage or the presence of droppings and are therefore likely to be rejected during sorting. Internally infested fruit is susceptible to fungal attack and would be likely to be detected during visual inspection.

Probability of distribution: Low

Any early instar larvae escaping detection would be very unlikely to develop through to pupation from any disposed cherry fruit before the fruit desiccates or decays. The larvae would also be very unlikely to find a suitable alternate host to complete its development. Any late instar larvae escaping detection may be able to pupate and emerge as adults but would be very unlikely to find a suitable mate and establish an incursion population.

Probability of entry: Very low

The overall probability of entry is determined by combining the likelihoods of importation and distribution using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the

Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Probability of establishment: High

This species has been reported on numerous host plants (MAFNZ, 1999b), many of which occur in Australia, such as apple, cherry, kiwifruit, peach, plum and wattle. The moths are likely to adapt to Australian conditions, as they have a wide climatic tolerance in New Zealand (MAFNZ, 1999b). Development from egg to adult can be completed in 4-6 weeks in summer (MAFNZ, 1999b).

Probability of spread: High

This species is common and widespread in New Zealand and they have a wide range of host plants (MAFNZ, 1999b). This suggests that they would be able to spread widely in a similar environment such as some parts of Australia. Human activity can help the spread of these pests, as the larvae associated with fruit, especially those in the calyx or feeding internally, can be readily moved around with the commodity.

Probability of entry, establishment and spread: Very low

The probability of entry, establishment and spread is determined by combining the likelihoods of entry, establishment and spread using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Consequences: Moderate

Criteria	Estimate
Direct consequences	
Animal or plant health or welfare	D – significant at regional level
Human life	A – unlikely to be discernible at national level
Environment	C – minor at the regional level
Indirect consequences	
Eradication, control etc	C – programs to control/eradicate this pest at the regional level are likely to be costly
Domestic trade	C – initial significant domestic trade restrictions until mitigation strategies in place
International trade	B – international trade not significantly affected
Environment	D – possibly significant effects at the regional level

Unrestricted risk estimate: Very low

The unrestricted risk estimate is determined by combining the overall probability of entry, establishment and spread with the consequences using the risk estimation matrix as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Brown-Headed Leafroller

Scientific name: Ctenopseustis obliquana (Walker, 1863) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]

Synonyms: Teras obliquana Walker, 1863; Sciaphila transtrigana Walker, 1863; Sciaphila turbulentana Walker, 1863; Teras spurcatana Walker, 1863; Tortrix ropeana Felder & Rogenhofer, 1875; Cacoecia charactana Meyrick, 1881; Ctenopseustis obliquana Types I and III of Foster et al. (1986); Ctenopseustis obliquana Types I of Foster and Dugdale (1988) (Dugdale, 1990; MAFNZ, 1999b).

Other common names: Non known.

Probability of importation: Low

A highly polyphagous species, larvae may be present on cherry fruit. Egg masses of this leafroller are laid on the leaves. The larvae feed mainly on leaves by spinning them together with silk and developing a protective shelter. Thomas (1979) indicated that "larvae eject frass (droppings) outside the fruit or protective shelter". They may also feed on shoots, buds, stems and externally or internally on fruit. The externally feeding larvae are likely to be eliminated by packinghouse procedures (including washing, sorting and grading). Most fruit with internally feeding larvae would show external damage or the presence of droppings and are therefore likely to be rejected during sorting. Internally infested fruit is susceptible to fungal attack and would be likely to be detected during visual inspection.

Probability of distribution: Low

Any early instar larvae escaping detection would be very unlikely to develop through to pupation from any disposed cherry fruit before the fruit desiccates or decays. The larvae would also be very unlikely to find a suitable alternate host to complete its development. Any late instar larvae escaping detection may be able to pupate and emerge as adults but would be very unlikely to find a suitable mate and establish an incursion population.

Probability of entry: Very low

The overall probability of entry is determined by combining the likelihoods of importation and distribution using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Probability of establishment: High

This species has been reported on numerous host plants (MAFNZ, 1999b), many of which occur in Australia, such as apple, cherry, kiwifruit, peach, plum and wattle. The moths are likely to adapt to Australian conditions, as they have a wide climatic tolerance in New Zealand (MAFNZ, 1999b). Development from egg to adult can be completed in 4-6 weeks in summer (MAFNZ, 1999b).

Probability of spread: High

15

This species is common and widespread in New Zealand and they have a wide range of host plants (MAFNZ, 1999b). This suggests that they would be able to spread widely in a similar environment such as some parts of Australia. Human activity can help the spread of these pests, as the larvae associated with fruit, especially those in the calyx or feeding internally, can be readily moved around with the commodity.

Probability of entry, establishment and spread: Very low

The probability of entry, establishment and spread is determined by combining the likelihoods of entry, establishment and spread using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Consequences: Moderate

Consequences: Moderate	
Criteria	Estimate
Direct consequences	
Animal or plant health or	D – significant at regional level
welfare	
Human life	A – unlikely to be discernible at national level
Environment	C – minor at the regional level
Indirect consequences	
Eradication, control etc	C – programs to control/eradicate this pest at the regional level are
	likely to be costly
Domestic trade	C – initial significant domestic trade restrictions until mitigation
	strategies in place
International trade	B – international trade not significantly affected
Environment	D – possibly significant effects at the regional level

Unrestricted risk estimate: Very low

The unrestricted risk estimate is determined by combining the overall probability of entry, establishment and spread with the consequences using the risk estimation matrix as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Bronze Beetle

Scientific name: Eucolaspis brunnea (Fabricius) [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae]

Synonyms: Colaspis brunnea Fabricius

Other common names: None known.

Probability of importation: Very low

Bronze beetles have an activity period coinciding with the harvest of early and mid season stone

fruit varieties and may be present on harvested fruit as a contaminant. Post harvest grading, washing and packing procedures are likely to remove this pest from the fruit. Pre-clearance or on arrival inspection is likely to detect this pest.

Probability of distribution: High

Developmental stages of the beetle include egg, larvae, pupae and adult. The adult beetle is the destructive stage of the bronze beetle through defoliation of the host plant. Adults are capable of dispersal through flight. The larvae feed on roots, and may be dispersed via contaminated nursery stock.

Probability of entry: Very low

The overall probability of entry is determined by combining the likelihoods of importation and distribution using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Probability of establishment: Moderate

Bronze beetle's host range extends to several horticultural crops and ornamental species. The distribution of bronze beetle in New Zealand indicates the species would be restricted to the lower south west of Western Australia.

Probability of spread: High

This species is common and widespread in New Zealand and has a wide range of host plants. Larvae attack clovers and grasses. Adults have been recorded on *Chenopodium quinoa* (Quinoa goosefoot), *Cyndon dactylon* (couch grass), stone fruit, pome fruit, berry fruits (Penman, 1984), pine (Kay, 1980), eucalypts, acacia, hawthorn, elm, clover, geranium, rose (Lysaght, 1930). This suggests that this species may be able to spread widely in some parts of Australia.

Probability of entry, establishment and spread: Very low

The probability of entry, establishment and spread is determined by combining the likelihoods of entry, establishment and spread using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Consequences: Low

Criteria	Estimate
Direct consequences	
Animal or plant health or	C – host damage by larvae and adults is likely to be of minor
welfare	significance at regional level
Human life	A – unlikely to be discernible at regional level
Environment	B – whilst recorded on many hosts damage is minor at the regional
	level
Indirect consequences	

Eradication, control etc	C – programs to control/eradicate this pest at the regional level may
	be costly
Domestic trade	C – may be initial significant domestic trade restrictions until
	mitigation strategies in place
International trade	B – international trade not significantly affected due to mitigation
	strategies
Environment	B – whilst recorded on many hosts damage is minor at the regional
	level

Unrestricted risk estimate: Negligible

The unrestricted risk estimate is determined by combining the overall probability of entry, establishment and spread with the consequences using the risk estimation matrix as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Cherry Aphid

Scientific name: Myzus cerasi Fabricius [Hemiptera: Aphididae]

Synonyms: Aphis cerasi, Fabricius; Myzoides cerasi, Fabricius; Myzus asperulae, Walker; Myzus alectorolophi, Heinze; Aphis asperulae, Walker; Myzus callange, Essig; Aphis cerasi, Müller; Myzoides cerasi, van der Goot; Aphis euphrasiae, Walker; Myzus quasipyrinus, Theobald; Aphis veronicae, Walker.

Other common names: Cherry black aphid, Black cherry aphid, Cherry black fly.

Probability of importation: Very low

This species primarily colonises new growth but can be present on the fruit (McLaren *et al.*,1999). The presence of high numbers of cherry aphid will be in association with highly visible honeydew production and associated sooty mould. Fruit harvested in this condition is likely to be detected during pack-house quality control inspection and by standard on-arrival inspection. Standard post-harvest practices for export cherry fruit in New Zealand should also help minimise the occurrence of adult cherry aphid on the fruit.

Probability of distribution: Low

Cherry aphids can disperse independently but are more likely to disperse in association with host material such as fruit and nursery stock. Adults are weak fliers and cherry aphid's limited host range would further restrict independent dispersal.

Probability of entry: Very low

The overall probability of entry is determined by combining the likelihoods of importation and distribution using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Probability of establishment: Low

Cherry aphid has the ability to establish a colony from one viviparous adult, but has a limited host range with cherry considered the primary host and other *Prunus* species considered secondary host species.

Probability of spread: Low

Cherry aphids can disperse independently but are more likely to disperse in association with host material such as fruit and nursery stock. Adults are weak fliers and cherry aphid's limited host range would further restrict independent dispersal.

Probability of entry, establishment and spread: Very low

The probability of entry, establishment and spread is determined by combining the likelihoods of entry, establishment and spread using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Consequences: Low

Consequences . Low	
Criteria	Estimate
Direct consequences	
Animal or plant health or	C – host damage is likely to be of minor significance at regional level
welfare	
Human life	A – unlikely to be discernible at regional level
Environment	A – unlikely to be discernible at regional level
Indirect consequences	
Eradication, control etc	C – programs to control/eradicate this pest at the regional level are
	likely to be costly
Domestic trade	A – unlikely to be discernible as pest widespread in areas other than
	WA
International trade	A – unlikely to be discernible as pest widespread in areas other than
	WA
Environment	A – unlikely to be discernible at regional level

Unrestricted risk estimate: Negligible

The unrestricted risk estimate is determined by combining the overall probability of entry, establishment and spread with the consequences using the risk estimation matrix as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

European Red Mite

Scientific name: Panonychus ulmi Koch [Acarina: Tetranychidae]

Synonyms: Metatetranychus pilosus (Canestrini & Fanzago), Metatetranychus mali, Oligonychus ulmi, Paratetranychus pilosus occidentalis, Paratetranychus ulm, Tetranychus pilosus, Tetranychus ulmi, Paratetranychus pilosus (Canestrini & Fanzago), Metatetranychus ulmi Koch

Other common names: Fruit tree red spider mite, European red spider mite, European fruit tree red spider mite.

Probability of importation: Low

European red mites are principally foliage feeding mites. They may become associated with the host fruit under high population pressures. Standard post-harvest practices for export cherry fruit in New Zealand will minimise the occurrence of adult European red mites on the fruit.

Probability of distribution: Low

European red mites rely on wind currents, animals and orchard workers for dispersal. These dispersal strategies would not be effective in enabling European red mite to be transferred from a discarded fruit to a suitable host species.

Probability of entry: Very low

The overall probability of entry is determined by combining the likelihoods of importation and distribution using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Probability of establishment: Low

Female European red mites produce two types of eggs, namely winter and summer eggs. Resilient winter eggs enable the mite to 'overwinter' and are produced from late summer to late autumn. Winter eggs can be laid on leaves (Beament, 1951) and on late season fruit (Hely *et al.*, 1982) if population pressures are high enough. Summer eggs are usually produced from adults hatched from winter eggs and hatch within 12 days depending on weather conditions. Lifecycle development from eggs to adult usually takes 28 days depending on weather conditions and successive generations can occur over a season. Climatic conditions in Western Australia may be marginal for the establishment of the pest.

Probability of spread: Low

European red mites rely on wind currents, animals and orchard workers for dispersal. These dispersal strategies would not be effective in enabling European red mite to be transferred from a discarded fruit to a suitable host species.

Probability of entry, establishment and spread: Very low

The probability of entry, establishment and spread is determined by combining the likelihoods of entry, establishment and spread using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Consequences: Low

Criteria	Estimate
Direct consequences	

Animal or plant health or	C – host damage is likely to be of minor significance at regional level
welfare	
Human life	A – unlikely to be discernible at regional level
Environment	A – unlikely to be discernible at regional level
Indirect consequences	
Eradication, control etc	C – programs to control/eradicate this pest at the regional level are
	likely to be costly
Domestic trade	A – unlikely to be discernible as pest widespread in areas other than
	WA
International trade	A – unlikely to be discernible as pest widespread in areas other than
	WA
Environment	A – unlikely to be discernible at regional level

Unrestricted risk estimate: Negligible

The unrestricted risk estimate is determined by combining the overall probability of entry, establishment and spread with the consequences using the risk estimation matrix as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Green-Headed Leafroller

Scientific name: Planotortrix excessana (Walker, 1863) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]

Synonyms: *Teras excessana* Walker, 1863; *Teras biguttana* Walker, 1863; *Cacoecia excessana* (Walker, 1863); *Tortrix excessana* (Walker, 1863); *Planotortrix excessana* Type B of Foster *et al.* (1986); *Planotortrix excessana* Types B and C of Foster and Dugdale (1988) (Dugdale, 1990).

Other common names: Orchard leafroller.

Probability of importation: Low

A highly polyphagous species, larvae may be present on cherry fruit. Egg masses of this leafroller are laid on the leaves. The larvae feed mainly on leaves by spinning them together with silk and developing a protective shelter. Thomas (1979) indicated that "larvae eject frass (droppings) outside the fruit or protective shelter". They may also feed on shoots, buds, stems and externally or internally on fruit. The externally feeding larvae are likely to be eliminated by packinghouse procedures (including washing, sorting and grading). Most fruit with internally feeding larvae would show external damage or the presence of droppings and are therefore likely to be rejected during sorting. Internally infested fruit is susceptible to fungal attack and would be likely to be detected during visual inspection.

Probability of distribution: Low

Any early instar larvae escaping detection would be very unlikely to develop through to pupation from any disposed cherry fruit before the fruit desiccates or decays. The larvae would also be very

unlikely to find a suitable alternate host to complete its development. Any late instar larvae escaping detection may be able to pupate and emerge as adults but would be very unlikely to find a suitable mate and establish an incursion population.

Probability of entry: Very low

The overall probability of entry is determined by combining the likelihoods of importation and distribution using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Probability of establishment: High

This species has been reported on numerous host plants (MAFNZ, 1999b), many of which occur in Australia, such as apple, cherry, kiwifruit, peach, plum and wattle. The moths are likely to adapt to Australian conditions, as they have a wide climatic tolerance in New Zealand (MAFNZ, 1999b). Development from egg to adult can be completed in 4-6 weeks in summer (MAFNZ, 1999b).

Probability of spread: High

This species is common and widespread in New Zealand and they have a wide range of host plants (MAFNZ, 1999b). This suggests that they would be able to spread widely in a similar environment such as some parts of Australia. Human activity can help the spread of these pests, as the larvae associated with fruit, especially those in the calyx or feeding internally, can be readily moved around with the commodity.

Probability of entry, establishment and spread: Very low

The probability of entry, establishment and spread is determined by combining the likelihoods of entry, establishment and spread using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Consequences: Moderate

Criteria	Estimate
Direct consequences	
Animal or plant health or	D – highly significant at regional level
welfare	
Human life	A – unlikely to be discernible at national level
Environment	C – minor at the regional level
Indirect consequences	
Eradication, control etc	C – programs to control/eradicate this pest at the regional level are
	likely to be costly
Domestic trade	C – initial significant domestic trade restrictions until mitigation
	strategies in place
International trade	B – international trade not significantly affected
Environment	D – possibly significant effects at the regional level

Unrestricted risk estimate: Very low

The unrestricted risk estimate is determined by combining the overall probability of entry, establishment and spread with the consequences using the risk estimation matrix as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Green-Headed Leafroller

Scientific name: *Planotortrix octo* Dugdale, 1990 [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]

Synonyms: *Planotortrix excessana* Type A of Foster *et al.* (1986), Foster and Dugdale (1988) (Dugdale, 1990).

Other common names: Non known.

Probability of importation: Low

A highly polyphagous species, larvae may be present on cherry fruit. Egg masses of this leafroller are laid on the leaves. The larvae feed mainly on leaves by spinning them together with silk and developing a protective shelter. Thomas (1979) indicated that "larvae eject frass (droppings) outside the fruit or protective shelter". They may also feed on shoots, buds, stems and externally or internally on fruit. The externally feeding larvae are likely to be eliminated by packinghouse procedures (including washing, sorting and grading). Most fruit with internally feeding larvae would show external damage or the presence of droppings and are therefore likely to be rejected during sorting. Internally infested fruit is susceptible to fungal attack and would be likely to be detected during visual inspection.

Probability of distribution: Low

Any early instar larvae escaping detection would be very unlikely to develop through to pupation from any disposed cherry fruit before the fruit desiccates or decays. The larvae would also be very unlikely to find a suitable alternate host to complete its development. Any late instar larvae escaping detection may be able to pupate and emerge as adults but would be very unlikely to find a suitable mate and establish an incursion population.

Probability of entry: Very low

The overall probability of entry is determined by combining the likelihoods of importation and distribution using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Probability of establishment: High

This species has been reported on numerous host plants (MAFNZ, 1999b), many of which occur in Australia, such as apple, cherry, kiwifruit, peach, plum and wattle. The moths are likely to adapt to Australian conditions, as they have a wide climatic tolerance in New Zealand (MAFNZ, 1999b).

Development from egg to adult can be completed in 4-6 weeks in summer (MAFNZ, 1999b).

Probability of spread: High

This species is common and widespread in New Zealand and they have a wide range of host plants (MAFNZ, 1999b). This suggests that they would be able to spread widely in a similar environment such as some parts of Australia. Human activity can help the spread of these pests, as the larvae associated with fruit, especially those in the calyx or feeding internally, can be readily moved around with the commodity.

Probability of entry, establishment and spread: Very low

The probability of entry, establishment and spread is determined by combining the likelihoods of entry, establishment and spread using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Consequences: Moderate

Criteria	Estimate
Direct consequences	
Animal or plant health or	D – significant at regional level
welfare	
Human life	A – unlikely to be discernible at national level
Environment	C – minor at the regional level
Indirect consequences	
Eradication, control etc	C – programs to control/eradicate this pest at the regional level are
	likely to be costly
Domestic trade	C – initial significant domestic trade restrictions until mitigation
	strategies in place
International trade	B – international trade not significantly affected
Environment	D – possibly significant effects at the regional level

Unrestricted risk estimate: Very low

The unrestricted risk estimate is determined by combining the overall probability of entry, establishment and spread with the consequences using the risk estimation matrix as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

New Zealand flower thrips

Scientific name: Thrips obscuratus (Crawford) [Thysanoptera: Thripidae]

Synonyms: *Isoneurothrips obscuratus* Crawford, 1941; *Isothrips (Isoneurothrips) obscuratus* (Crawford, 1941); *Thrips (Isothrips) obscuratus* (Crawford, 1941) (MAFNZ, 1999b)

Other common names: None known

Probability of importation: Moderate

A highly polyphagous species that has been detected on imported cherry fruit from New Zealand.

Probability of distribution: Moderate

May disperse on infested fruit or nursery stock. Historical dispersal of other introduced thrips species would suggest moderate probability of spread for this species.

Probability of entry: Low

The overall probability of entry is determined by combining the likelihoods of importation and distribution using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Probability of establishment: Moderate

This species is polyphagous, and is noted for its ecological and physiological plasticity.

Probability of spread: Moderate

May disperse on infested fruit or nursery stock. Historical dispersal of other introduced thrips species would suggest moderate probability of spread for this species.

Probability of entry, establishment and spread: Low

The probability of entry, establishment and spread is determined by combining the likelihoods of entry, establishment and spread using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Consequences: Low

Consequences. Low	
Criteria	Estimate
Direct consequences	
Animal or plant health or	C – of minor significance at regional level
welfare	
Human life	A – unlikely to be discernible at regional level
Environment	C – minor significance at the regional level
Indirect consequences	
Eradication, control etc	C – programs to control/eradicate this pest at the regional level are
	likely to be costly
Domestic trade	C – initial significant domestic trade restrictions until mitigation
	strategies in place
International trade	B – international trade not significantly affected
Environment	C – possibly significant effects at the regional level

Unrestricted risk estimate: Very low

The unrestricted risk estimate is determined by combining the overall probability of entry, establishment and spread with the consequences using the risk estimation matrix as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Cherry Scab

Scientific name: Venturia cerasi, Aderhold, 1900 [Dothideales, Ascomycotina]
Synonym/s: Fusicladium cerasi (Rabenh.) Sacc., 1886; Acrosporium cerasi (Rabenh. in Braun),
1854; Cladosporium cerasi (Rabenh.) Aderhold, 1901; Megacladosporium cerasi (Rabenh.) Vienn-

Bourg., 1949.

Probability of importation: Very low

The fungus can be introduced on fruit, which record low levels of infection. Cherry fruit with disease symptoms usually do not ripen and fall off prematurely. Fruit showing symptoms are likely to be discarded following the stringent pack-house procedures used for export cherries.

Probability of distribution: Moderate

Infection and survival in leaf debris provide a dispersal mechanism. The proximity of cherry orchards in the south west of Western Australia may favour the spread of this fungus.

Probability of entry: Very low

The overall probability of entry is determined by combining the likelihoods of importation and distribution using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Probability of establishment: Moderate

If the fungus is introduced, its inoculum can survive the dry summer on discarded infected fruit and sporulate to produce conidia during winter and spring rain. The conidia are dispersed by wind and rain-splash.

Probability of spread: Moderate

Infection and survival in leaf debris provide a dispersal mechanism. The proximity of cherry orchards in the south west of Western Australia may favour the spread of this fungus

Probability of entry, establishment and spread: Very low

The probability of entry, establishment and spread is determined by combining the likelihoods of entry, establishment and spread using the matrix of rules for combining descriptive likelihoods as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Consequences: Low

Criteria	Estimate
Direct consequences	
Animal or plant health or welfare	C – of minor significance at regional level
Human life	A – unlikely to be discernible at national level
Environment	C – minor significance at the regional level
Indirect consequences	
Eradication, control etc	C – programs to control/eradicate this pest at the regional level are likely to be costly
Domestic trade	C – initial significant domestic trade restrictions until mitigation strategies in place
International trade	B – international trade not significantly affected
Environment	A – unlikely to be discernible at the regional level

Unrestricted risk estimate: Negligible

The unrestricted risk estimate is determined by combining the overall probability of entry, establishment and spread with the consequences using the risk estimation matrix as outlined in the Biosecurity Australia publication *Guidelines for Import Risk Analysis* – September 2001.

Risk assessment conclusion

Five quarantine pests, the four species of leafrollers and New Zealand flower thrips, have an unrestricted risk estimate of very low (Table 2). Four quarantine pests, the black cherry aphid, the European red mite, the bronze beetle and cherry scab have an unrestricted risk estimate of negligible (table 2). For entry into Western Australia all of the quarantine pests' unrestricted risk estimates are below Australia's appropriate level of protection. These pests do not require the use of risk management measures over and above the standard practice used in the production of export quality cherry fruit in New Zealand.

Extension of Existing Policy for Cherry Fruit Exported from New Zealand into Western Australia

Table 2: Unrestricted risk summary

Scientific Name	Common name		Probability of		Overall Probability of introduction	Economic	Unrestricted
		Entry	Establishment	Spread	spread¹	Conseduences	Risk⁴
Arthropods							
<i>Ctenopseustis herana</i> (Feld. & Rogen.) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	Brownheaded leafroller	Very low	High	High	Very low	Moderate	Very low
<i>Ctenopseustis obliquana (Wa</i> lker) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	Brownheaded leafroller	Very low	High	High	Very low	Moderate	Very low
Eucolaspis brunnea (F.) [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae]	Bronze beetle	Very low	Moderate	High	Very low	Low	Negligible
Myzus cerasi (F.) [Hemiptera: Aphididae]	Cherry aphid	Very low	Low	Low	Very low	Low	Negligible
Panonychus ulmi (Koch) [Acarina: Tetranychidae]	European red mite	Very low	Low	Low	Very low	Low	Negligible
Planotortrix excessana (Walker)	Green headed	Very low	High	High	Very low	Moderate	Very low
[Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	leafroller						
Plantortrix octo (Dugdale)	Green headed	Very low	High	High	Very low	Moderate	Very low
[Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	leafroller						
Thrips obscuratus	NZ Flower thrips	Low	Moderate	Moderat	Low	Low	Very low
[Thysanoptera: Thripidae]				ט			

¹ The 'introduction, establishment and spread potential' is the probabilities of introduction, establishment and spread. This product is achieved by using the matrix of rules shown in Table 2 to cumulatively combine; (a) the probability of introduction and the probability of establishment, and, (b) the result of this with the probability of spread.

Unrestricted risk is the product of; (a) probability of introduction, establishment and spread; and, (b) the consequence of introduction, establishment and spread, using the rules set out in Table 4, to give a measure of 'risk', or expected loss.

Extension of Existing Policy for Cherry Fruit Exported from New Zealand into Western Australia

Scientific Name	Common name	1	Probability of		Overall Probability of introduction	Economic	Unrestricted
		Entry	Establishment Spread	Spread	establishinent and spread ¹	Consednences	Risk²
Pathogens							
Venturia cerasi	Cherry Scab	Very low	Moderate	Moderate Very low	Very low	Low	Negligible

Conditions for importation of cherry fruit from New Zealand into Western Australia

Conditions for import shall be as specified on ICON by AQIS for fresh cherry fruit (*Prunus avium*) from New Zealand to eastern Australian states with the following pests listed as actionable for exported cherries where their first port of entry into Australia is Western Australia.

Scientific name	Common name
Arthropods	
Panonychus ulmi (Koch) [Acarina:	European red mite
Tetranychidae]	
Eucolaspis brunnea (F.)	Bronze beetle
[Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae]	
Myzus cerasi (F.) [Hemiptera:	Black cherry aphid
Aphididae]	
Ctenopseustis herana (Feld. &	Brownheaded
Rogen.) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	leafroller
Ctenopseustis obliquana (Walker)	Brownheaded
[Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	leafroller
Planotortrix excessana (Walker)	Green headed
[Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	leafroller
Plantortrix octo (Dugdale)	Green headed
[Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	leafroller
Thrips obscuratus	N 7 6
[Thysanoptera:Thripidae]	NZ flower thrips
Pathogens	
Venturia cerasi Aderhold	Cherry scab
[Ascomycetes]	23119 0000

An organism that is detected on cherries from New Zealand that has not been categorised will be treated as a pest of quarantine concern until it has been assessed, its status confirmed and an appropriate action determination made in consultation with the Department of Agriculture. The detection of any significant pests of quarantine concern not already identified in the analysis may result in the suspension of the trade while a review is conducted to ensure that the measures continue to provide the appropriate level of protection for Australia.

Appendix 1 - Categorisation of pests associated with cherry fruit in New Zealand (distribution)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Presence in NZ	Presence in WA	Presence in SA	Eligible for further consideration
ARTHROPODA (arthropods)					
Acarina (mites)					
Aculus fockeui (Nalepa & Troussart) syn. Aculus cornutus (Banks) [Acarina: Eriophyidae]	plum rust mite	Yes; Manson, 1984; Mclaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999	Yes; AGWEST, 2001	Yes; AQIS, 1998a	ON
Bdellodes sp. [Acarina: Bdellidae]	snout mite	Yes; McDaniel, 1979	Yes; Halliday <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1998	Yes; SARDI, 2002	ON
Orthotydeus californicus (Banks) [Acarina: Tydeidae]	tydeid mite	Yes; Charles, 1984; McLaren, 1991	No records found	No records found	YES
Panonychus ulmi (Koch) [Acarina: Tetranychidae]	European red mite	Yes; Scott, 1984; McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999	No records found	Yes; Thwaite, 1991	YES
Typhlodromus occidentalis Nesbitt syn. Metaseiulus occidentalis (Nesbitt) [Acarina: Phytoseiidae]	predatory mite	Yes; Scott, 1984; McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999	Yes; AGWEST, 2002	No records found	ON
Coleoptera (beetles, weevils)					
Eucolaspis brunnea (F.) [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae]	bronze beetle	Yes; Scott, 1984; McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999	No records found	No records found	YES

Extension of Existing Policy for Cherry Fruit Exported from New Zealand into Western Australia

Scientific Name	Common Name	Presence in NZ	Presence in WA	Presence in SA	Eligible for further consideration
Diptera (flies)					
Drosophila spp. [Diptera: Drosophilidae]	vinegar fly	Yes; Mclaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999	Yes; Evenhuis, 1989	Yes; Evenhuis, 1989	ON
Hemiptera (aphids, leafhoppers, mealybugs, scales, true bugs)					
Brachycaudus persicae (Passerini) [Hemiptera: Aphididae]	black peach aphid	Yes; Cottier, 1953	Yes; Berlandier, 1997	Yes; Baker, 1998	ON
<i>Lygus</i> sp. [Hemiptera: Miridae]	native mirids	Yes; Helson, 1952 (ex Spiller & Wise, 1982)	No records found	No records found	YES
Myzus cerasi (F.) [Hemiptera: Aphididae]	black cherry aphid	Yes; Cottier, 1953; McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999	No records found	Yes; Baker, 1998	YES
Philaenus spumarius (L) [Hemiptera: Cercophidae]	meadow spittlebug	Yes; Scott, 1984	No records found	No records found	YES
Pulvinaria hydrangeae Steinwarden [Hemiptera: Coccidae]	hydrangea scale	Yes; Scott, 1984	No records found	No records found	YES
Quadraspidiotus perniciosus (Comstock) [Hemiptera: Diaspididae]	San Jose scale	Yes; Scott, 1984; McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999	Yes; Woods <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1996	Yes; Baker, 1998	ON
Ctenopseustis herana (Feld. & Rogen.) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	brownheaded leafroller	Yes; Dugdale, 1990	No records found	No records found	YES

Extension of Existing Policy for Cherry Fruit Exported from New Zealand into Western Australia

Scientific Name	Common Name	Presence in NZ	Presence in WA	Presence in SA	Eligible for further consideration
Ctenopseustis obliquana (Walker) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	brownheaded leafroller	Yes; Green, 1979; McLaren et al., 1999	No records found	No records found	YES
Epiphyas postvittana (Walker) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	lightbrown apple moth	Yes; Thomas, 1984	Yes; Geier & Springett, 1976	Yes; Baker, 1998	ON
Grapholita molesta (Busck) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae	Oriental fruit moth	Yes; Murrel <i>et.al.,</i> 1998	No	Yes; Baker, 1998	YES
Planotortrix excessana (Walker) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	green headed leafroller	Yes; Thomas, 1979; McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999	No records found	No records found	YES
Planotortrix octo Dugdale [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	green headed leafroller	Yes; Dugdale, 1990; McLaren <i>et al.,</i> 1999	No records found	No records found	YES
Thysanoptera (thrips)					
<i>Thrips tabaci</i> Lindeman [Thysanoptera: Thripidae]	onion thrips	Yes; Scott, 1984	Yes; Mound & Gillespie, 1997	Yes; CIE, 1969	ON
Thrips obscuratus (Crawford) [Thysanoptera: Thripidae]	NZ flower thrips	Yes; McLaren <i>et al.,</i> 1999	No records found	No records found	YES
BACTERIA					
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae van Hall [Pseudomonadaceae]	bacterial canker, blast, blister spot	Yes; Persley, 1993	Yes; Shivas, 1989	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
Xanthomonas campestris pv. pruni (Smith) Dye [Pseudomonadaceae]	bacterial spot	Yes; Persley, 1993	Yes; Shivas, 1989	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON

Extension of Existing Policy for Cherry Fruit Exported from New Zealand into Western Australia

Scientific Name	Common Name	Presence in NZ	Presence in WA	Presence in SA	Eligible for further consideration
FUNGI					
Alternaria alternata (Fr.) Keissl. [Hyphomycetes]	black mould, fruit rot, mould	Yes; Snowdon, 1990	Yes; APDD, 2002	Yes; APDD, 2002	ON
Botrytis cinerea Pers.:Fr. (teleomorph: Botryotinia fuckeliana (de Bary) Whetzel) [Hyphomycetes]	dry eye rot, ghost spot, grey mould	Yes; Wilson & Ogawa, 1979	Yes; Shivas, 1989	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
Cladosporium cladosporioides (Fresen.) G.A. De Vries [Hyphomycetes]	mould	Yes; PPIN Ref: PHA 1916 PHO1	Yes; APDD, 2002	Yes; APDD, 2002	ON
Colletotrichumgloeosporioides (Penz.) Penz. & Sacc. in Penz. (teleomorph: Glomerella cingulata (Ston.) Spauld. & H. Schrenk) [Pyrenomycetes: Phyllachorales]	anthracnose, bitter rot	Yes; Snowdon, 1990	Yes; APDD, 2002; Shivas, 1989	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
Fusarium culmorum (W.G. Sm.) Sacc. [Hyphomycetes]	fusarium mould, fusarium rot	Yes; Snowdon, 1990	Yes; CABI, 2002	Yes; APDD, 2002	ON
Monilinia fructicola (G.Wint.) Honey [Discomycetes: Helotiales]	brown rot	Yes; Wilson & Ogawa, 1979	Yes; AGWEST, 2000	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
Monilinia laxa (Aderhold & Ruhland) Honey [Discomycetes: Helotiales]	brown rot	Yes; Wilson & Ogawa, 1979	Yes; AGWEST, 2000	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
Nectria ochroleuca (Schwein.) Berk. [Pyrenomycetes: Hypocreales]	mould	Yes; PPIN Ref: PHA 10588 PHO 1	Yes; Shivas, 1989	Yes; APDD, 2002	ON
Penicillium sp. [Hyphomycetes]	blue mould, penicillium mould	Yes; Snowdon, 1990	Yes; Shivas, 1989	Yes; APDD, 2002	ON

Extension of Existing Policy for Cherry Fruit Exported from New Zealand into Western Australia

Scientific Name	Common Name	Presence in NZ	Presence in WA	Presence in SA	Eligible for further consideration
Rhizopus stolonifer (Ehr.:Fr.) Vuill. [Zygomycetes: Mucorales]	rhizopus rot	Yes; Snowdon, 1990	Yes; Shivas, 1989	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
Tranzschelia discolor (Fuckel) Tranzschel & Litv. [Basidiomycetes: Uredinales]	rust	Yes; Wilson & Ogawa, 1979	Yes; Shivas, 1989	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
Venturia carpophila E.E Fisher [Loculoascomycetes: Dothideales]	peach scab	Yes; Atkinson, 1971	Yes; Shivas, 1989	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
Venturia cerasi Aderhold [Loculoascomycetes: Dothideales]	cherry scab	Yes; Ogawa, 1995	No; not recorded	Yes; Cartwright, 2000	YES
Wilsonomyces carpophilus (Lev.) Adaskaveg, Ogawa, and Butler [Hyphomycetes]	shothole	Yes; Wilson & Ogawa, 1979	Yes; Shivas, 1989	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
VIRUSES					
Prune dwarf virus [Ilarvirus: Bromoviridae]		Yes; Wood, 1979; Yes; Nemeth, 1986	Yes; McLean & Price, 1984	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON
Prunus necrotic ringspot virus [llarvirus: Bromoviridae]		Yes; Wood, 1979	Yes; McLean & Price, 1984	Yes; Cook & Dube, 1989	ON

Appendix 2: Categorisation of pests associated with cherry fruit in New Zealand cherries (pathway association)

Scientific name	Common name	Associated with fresh cherries	Reference	Consider
ARTHROPODA (arthropods)				
Acarina (mites)				
Orthotydeus californicus (Banks) [Acarina: Tydeidae]	Tydeid mite	Secondary pest of cherry, scavengers (on foliage, fruit), associated with sooty mould and fungi.	Charles, 1984; McLaren, 1991	ON
Panonychus ulmi (Koch) [Acarina: Tetranychidae]	European red mite	Primary pest of cherry (fruit & foliage)	McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999; Scott, 1984	YES
Coleoptera (beetles, weevils)				
Eucolaspis brunnea (F.) [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae]	Bronze beetle	Yes; Larvae attack roots, adults attack cherry foliage and fruit. Primary pest of cherry (adults defoliate), Huntley, 1869, does not actually identify the "little brown beetles" as <i>E. brunneus</i> . Huntley states, "a small brown beetle, which makes its appearance in the apple trees, when the apples are about the size of cherriesthe beetle also attacks cherries and to a small extent peaches". Huntley does not specifically state that peach fruit is attacked. Mclaren, 1999, states "feed on fruit as well as foliagecould be present on trees at time of harvest", therefore possible on fruit/in box.	McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999; Penman, 1984; Scott, 1984	YES

Extension of Existing Policy for Cherry Fruit Exported from New Zealand into Western Australia

Scientific name	Common name	Associated with fresh cherries	Reference	Consider
Hemiptera (aphids, leafhoppers, mealybugs, scales, true	ers, mealybugs, scale	s, true bugs)		
<i>Lygus</i> sp. [Hemiptera: Miridae]	Native mirids	No; Endemic to NZ (3 native spp), no details: potentially a primary pest of cherry buds, fruitlets but no information available. Note one of these 3 native species (Lygus buchanani) has been deleted from the NZ fauna (Eyles, 1999). The "Lygus complex" in New Zealand is being revised by Eyles (in press).	Cherry pest list NZ notes	Q Z
Myzus cerasi (F.) [Hemiptera: Aphididae]	Black cherry aphid	Primary pest of cherry, on foliage, (on fruit and leaves at time of harvest); possibly on fruit.	Cottier, 1953; McLaren et al., 1999	YES
Philaenus spumarius (L.) [Hemiptera: Cercophidae]	Meadow spittlebug	Not a pest of cherry.	Scott, 1984	ON
Pulvinaria hydrangeae (Steinwarden)	Hydrangea scale	Primary pest on hydrangeas. 83/6763 (on stems, foliage, fruit) is an unusual host record, however Lincoln also has a record from flowering cherry (plant part affected not recorded). No Rosaceae recorded as hosts in Ben-Dov, 1993.		O _N
Lepidoptera (butterflies, moths)	(SL			
Ctenopseustis herana (Feld. & Rogen.) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	Brownheaded leafroller	Yes; Cherry fruit and foliage are attacked. Formerly a synonym for <i>C. obliquana</i> (sp. nr), not separated until 1990 and then only separated as adults (Dugdale, 1990). <i>C. obliquana</i> is present in both North and South Is, C. herana is only in the South Is. A tortricid larval key	Dugdale, 1990; Poole <i>et al.</i> ., 1999	YES

Scientific name	Common name	Associated with fresh cherries	Reference	Consider
		in prep. will allow differentiation of species in larval stage. Primary pest of cherry (on foliage and fruit) of all the same hosts as C. obliquana.		
Ctenopseustis obliquana (Walker) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	Brownheaded leafroller	Yes; Leaves, also sometimes buds, stems and fruits. Post-harvest. endemic, primary (on foliage and fruit).	Green, 1979; McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999; Poole <i>et al.</i> , 1999	YES
<i>Grapholita molesta</i> (Busck) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae	Oriental fruit moth	No; cherry fruit infestation extremely rare	Yokoyama & Miller, 1998	ON
Planotortrix excessana (Walker) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae]	Green headed Ieafroller	Yes; Leaves and fruit, post-harvest. Primary pest of cherry (fruit & foliage)	CABI, 2002; McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999; Thomas, 1979	YES
Plantortrix octo (Dugdale) [Lepidoptera: Tortricidae] Thysanoptera (thrips)	Green headed leafroller	Yes; Leaves and fruit. Primary pest of cherry (on foliage, fruit). Recommend retention of this record.	Dugdale, 1990; McLaren <i>et al.</i> , 1999; Poole <i>et al.</i> , 1999	YES
Thrips obscuratus (Crawford) [Thysanoptera: Thripidae] FUNGI	NZ flower thrips	Yes	AQIS interception records	YES
Venturia cerasi Aderhold [Loculoascomycetes: Dothideales]	Cherry scab	Yes; Primary, infects fruit but rare. Small, round, olive black spots appear on infected cherries about 6 weeks after petals have fallen. Cracking may follow.	Horst, 1990; Ogawa, 1995	YES

References

- AFFA (2000). Draft Import Risk Analysis on the Importation of Apples (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.) from New Zealand. Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Australia, Biosecurity Australia, Canberra.
- AGWEST (2000). Disease Records Database. Agriculture Western Australia.
- AGWEST (2001). Insect Reference Collection. Agriculture Western Australia.
- AGWEST (2002). Understanding the Two-Spotted mite (*Tetranychus urticae*) on Cut flowers in Glasshouse Floriculture. Agriculture Western Australia website, http://www.agric.wa.gov.au/programs/hort/floriculture
- APDD (2002). Australian Pest and Disease Database.
- AQIS (1997). The AQIS Pest Risk Analysis Process Handbook. Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service. Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Australia, Canberra.
- AQIS (1998a). Pests and Diseases of All Stone Fruits in Australia. Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service. Canberra. Personal communication.
- AQIS (1998b). Sampling Procedures for Non-protocol Fresh Fruit and Vegetables. Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service. Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Australia, Canberra.
- AQIS (2000a). National Risk Management and the SPS Agreement.

 http://www.aqis.gov.au/docs/qdu/riskmgmtoc.htm Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service. Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Australia, Canberra.
- AQIS (2002a). Import Conditions Database (ICON).

 http://www.aqis.gov.au/icon/asp/ex_QueryResults.asp?Commodity=cherry&Area=&EndUse=Al_l&QueryType=Pattern+Match Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service. Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Australia, Canberra.
- AQIS (2002b). Import Conditions Database (ICON). http://www.aqis.gov.au/icon/asp/ex_casecontent.asp?intNodeId=179888&intCommodityId=626 7&Types=none&WhichQuery=Go+to+full+text
- Atkinson, J. D. (1971). Diseases of tree fruits in New Zealand. A. R. Shearer, Government Printer, Wellington 406 pp.
- Baker, G.J. (1998). Records of insects associated with South Australian stone fruit. Personal communication, SARDI Entomology Unit 5/5/98.
- Beament, J.W.L. (1951). The structure and formation of the egg of the fruit tree red spider mite, *Metatetranychus ulmi* Koch. *Annals of Applied Biology* **38**: 1-24.
- Ben-Dov, Y. (1993). A Systemic Catalogue of the Soft Scale Insects of the World. Sandhill Crane Press, Gainsville.

- Berlandier, F.A. (1997). "The distribution of Black peach aphid *Brachycaudus persicae* (Passerini) in Western Australia". Personal Communication.
- Blackman, R.L. and Eastop, V.F. (1985). Aphids on the Worlds Crops; An Identification Guide. John Wiley, London.
- Bollard, H.R., Gutierrez, J. and Flechtmann, C.H.W. (1998). World Catalogue of the Spider Mite Family (Acari: Tetranychidae). K. Brill, Leiden.
- Brough, E.J., Elder, R.J. and Beavis, C.H.S. (1996). Managing insects and mites in horticultural crops. Information series Q194010, Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane.
- CAB International (1999). Crop Protection Compendium CD. Global edition. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- CAB International. (2002). Crop Protection Compendium, Global edition. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Cannon, R.M. and Roe, R.T. (1982). Livestock disease surveys: A field manual for veterinarians. Australian Bureau of Animal Health, Department of Primary Industry, Canberra.
- Cartwright, D. (2000). Cherry Export Proposal South Australia. Primary Industries and Resources, South Australia. 13th July 2000.
- Charles, J.G. (1984). Mites in fruit crops The good, the bad and the ugly. *Orchardist of New Zealand* **57**(9): 387-394.
- CIE. (1969). Distribution Maps of Plant Pests, No. 20. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Clearwater, J.R., Richards, M.N. (1984) "Bronze beetle control in Auckland apple orchards". *Proceedings of the 37th weed and pest control conference.* 235-240.
- Cook, R.P. and Dube, A.J. (1989). Host-Pathogen Index of Plant Diseases in South Australia. South Australian Department of Agriculture, Adelaide.
- Cottier, W. (1953). Aphids of New Zealand. New Zealand DSIR, Bulletin 106, 382 pp.
- Dugdale, J.T. (1990). Reassessment of *Ctenpseustis meyrick* and *Planotortrix dugdale* with descriptions of two new general (Lepidoptera: Torticidae). *New Zealand Journal of Zoology*. **17**: 437-465
- Evenhuis, N. L. (1989). Catalogue of the Diptera of the Australasian and Oceanian Regions. Bishop Museum Special Publication 86. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu.
- FAO (1954). Red Spiders in Western Europe. FAO Plant Protection Bulletin 2 (5): 71-74.

- FAO (1995). International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures Principles of Plant Quarantine as Related to International Trade. No. 1. Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (1996a). International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures Guidelines for Pest Risk Analysis, Publication. No. 2. Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (1996b). International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures. Pest Surveillance. Requirements for Establishment of Pest Free Areas. No. 4. Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (1998). International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures Determination of Pest Status in an Area. No. 8. Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (1999a). International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures Draft Standard for Country Consultation. Pest Risk Analysis for Quarantine Pests. Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (1999b). International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures Requirements for the establishment of Pest Free Places of Production and Pest Free Production Sites. No. 10. Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (1999c). International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures Glossary of Phytosanitary Terms. Publication. No. 5. Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- Geier, P.W., Springett, B.P. (1976). "Population characteristics of Australian leafrollers (*Epiphyas* spp., Lepidoptera) infesting orchards". *Australian Journal of Ecology* 1: 129-144.
- Green, C. J. (1979). Brownheaded leafroller, *Ctenopseustis obliquana* (Walker), life cycle. DSIR Information Series 105/27. New Zealand Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.
- Halliday, R.B., Walter, D.E., Proctor, H.C., Norton, R.A and Colloff M.J. (Editors) (1998).

 Acarology Proceedings of the 10th International Congress. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, VIC.
- Helson, G. A. H. (1952). Insect pests affecting horticultural crops and plants in New Zealand. Plant Quarantine Service, circular No. 2: 1-32
- Hely, P. C., Pasfield, G. and Gellatley, G. J. (1982). Insect pests of fruit and vegetables in New South Wales. Inkata Press, Melbourne.
- Horst, R.K. (1990). Westcott's Plant Disease Handbook. Fifth Edition. Van Nostrand Reinhold, NY.

- Kay, M.K. (1980) "*Eucolaspsis brunnea* (Frabricius) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Bronze beetle". Forest and timber insects in New Zealand. 49 4.
- Lawson, D.S., Nyrop, J.P. and Dennehy, T.J. (1996). Aerial dispersal of European red mites (Acari: Tetranychidae) in commercial apple orchards. *Experimental and Applied Acarology* **20**: 193-202.
- Lysaght, A.M. (1930) "Bronze beetle research" New Zealand Department of science and industrial research.
- Lo, P.L., McLaren, G.F. and Walker, J.T.S. (2000). Developments in pest management for integrated fruit production of stonefruit in New Zealand. *Acta Horticulturae* **325**: 93-99.
- MAFNZ (1999a). The list of organisms recorded in New Zealand associated with parts other than fruit of apple (*Malus sylvestris* var. *domestica*). Sent via email by MAFNZ to AQIS in May 1999.
- MAFNZ (1999b). Data sheets compiled by MAFNZ on AQIS request (received in May 1999).
- MAFNZ (1999c). Correspondence with AQIS.
- MAFNZ (2000b). The list of organisms recorded in New Zealand associated with apple fruit (*Malus sylvestris* var. *domestica*) as categorised by Australia. Sent via email by MAFNZ to AQIS in February 2000.
- Manson, D.C.M. (1984). Eriophyinae (Arachnida: Acari). Fauna of New Zealand No 5.
- McDaniel, B. (1979). How to know the mites and ticks. Wm. C. Brown Company Publishers. Dubeque, Iowa.
- McLaren, G.F. (1991). *Tydeus californicus* food sources. Department of Scientific and Industrial Research report to the Ministry of Agriculture.
- McLaren, G.F. Grandison, G. Wood, G.A. Tate, G. Horner, I. (1999). Summerfruit in New Zealand Management of Pest and Diseases.
- McLean, G.D. and Price, L.K. (1984). Virus, Viroid, Mycoplasma and Rickettsial Diseases of Plants in Western Australia. Technical Bulletin number 68, Western Australian Department of Agriculture.
- Mound, L.A. & Gillespie, P. (1997). "Identification guide to thrips associated with crops in Australia". NSW Agriculture, Orange, NSW.
- Nemeth, M. (1986). Virus, Mycoplasma and Ricketssia Diseases of Fruit Trees. M. Nijhoff & W. Junk Publishers. 840 pp.
- Ogawa, J. M. (1995). Miscellaneous Postharvest Fruit Decay. IN: Compendium of Stone Fruit Diseases. Ogawa, J. M. ed. pp.26. The American Phytopathology Society, St Paul, Minnesota.

- Paton, R. (1998). List of pests and diseases of stone fruit in NSW. Personal communication, NSW Agriculture, Division of Plant Industries.
- Penman, D.R. (1984) "Deciduous tree fruit pests". IN: Scott, R.R. (editor) "New Zealand pests and beneficial insects" Lincoln University College of Agriculture.
- Persley, D. [Ed] (1993). Diseases of fruit crops. Queensland Government. 114 pp.
- Poole, M.C., McKirdy S., Stuart, M. J. (1999) "Draft biological threat assessment of insect, mites and pathogens associated with the importation of stonefruit from New Zealand and of concern to Western Australia". Internal report, Plant Industry Protection, Agriculture Western Australia. 105 pp.
- PPIN. Plant Pest Information Network, New Zealand. (Information provided by NZ MAF)
- SARDI. (2002). Photographic insect collection. http://www.sardi.sa.gov.au/foto
- Scott, R.R. (editor). (1984). New Zealand pest and beneficial insects. Lincoln University College of Agriculture, Lincoln, New Zealand.
- Sharkey, P. (1998). List of pests and diseases associated with Victorian stone fruit. Personal communication, Institute for Horticultural Development; Plant Standards Branch, Knoxfield Victoria.
- Shivas, R.G. (1989). Fungal and bacterial diseases of plants in Western Australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* **72**(1 & 2): 1-62.
- Sivanesan, A. and Holliday, P. (1981). *Venturia cerasi. In:* 'CMI Descriptions of Pathogenic Fungi and Bacteria.' No. 706. CMI, Kew, Surrey.
- Snowdon, A. (1990). A colour atlas of post-harvest diseases and disorders of fruit and vegetables. Volume 1: General introduction and fruits. Wolfe Scientific Ltd, Spain.
- Stuart, M.J (2000). Hosts of European red mite (*Panonychus ulmi*). Internal report, Agriculture Western Australia.
- Terauds, A., Ireson, J.E., McQuillan, P.B., Williams, M.A., Hill, L., Rath, A.C., Leighton, S.M. and Pickett, K.A. (1989). Insect Pest Occurrences in Tasmania 1986/87: Insect Pest Survey No. 20. Department of Agriculture, Hobart, Tasmania.
- Teulon, D.A.J. and Penman, D.R. (1990). Host records for the New Zealand flower thrips (*Thrips obscuratus* (Crawford) Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *New Zealand Entomologist* **13**: 46-51.
- Thomas, W.P. (1979). Greenheaded leafroller, *Planotortrix excessana* (Walker), life cycle. DSIR Information Series No. 105/26. New Zealand Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.
- Thomas, W.P. (1984). Lightbrown apple moth, *Epiphyas postvittana* (Walker) life cycle. DSIR Information Series No. 105/3; 1st revision. New Zealand Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

- Thwaite, W.G. (1991). Acaricide resistance in Australian deciduous fruit crops. *Resistant Pest Management* **3**: 22-23.
- Yokoyama, V.Y and Miller, G.T. (1998). Laboratory evaluations of oriental fruit moth (Lepidoptera:Tortricidae) oviposition and larval survival on five species of stone fruits. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 3: 867-872.
- Wearing, C.H., Thomas, W.P., Dugdale, J.S., Danthanarayana, W. (1991) "Tortricid pests of pome and stone fruits, Australian and New Zealand species". IN van der Geest, L.P.S., Evenhuis, H.H *eds* (1991) "Tortricid pests; their biology, natural enemies and control" Elsevier Science Publishers, The Netherlands.
- Williams, M. (1996). Personal communication. Draft submission to the Interstate Plant Health Working Group.
- Wilson, E. E. & Ogawa, J. M. (1979). Bacterial, fungal, and certain non parasitic diseases of fruit and nut crops in California. Agricultural Science Publications, University of California, 190 pp.
- Wood, G. A. (1979). Virus and virus-like diseases of pome fruits and stone fruits in New Zealand. DSIR Bulletin 226, New Zealand Department of Scientific and Industrial Research. 87 pp.
- Woods, W., Dick, J., Learmonth, S. (1996). "Orchard and Vineyard pest and disease management guide, March 1996" Agriculture Western Australia Bulletin 4313.
- Zeck, E.H. (1936). The cherry aphid (*Myzus cerasi* Fab.). *Agricultural Gazette of N.S.W.* **47**: 255-258.